Analysis and Classification of EEG Signals based on a New Quantum Inspired Wavelet Neural Network Model

Saleem M. R. Taha, Ph.D. Department of Electrical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Baghdad, Jadiryah, Baghdad, Iraq

ABSTRACT

In this paper, electroencephalographic (EEG) signals are analyzed and classified based on a new multilevel transfer function quantum wavelet neural network (QWNN) model. The independent component analysis (ICA) is used as processing after normalization of these signals. Some features are extracted from the data using the clustering technique (CT). The classification result of the new model is compared with that of wavelet neural network (WNN), quantum neural network (QNN), and feed forward neural network (FFNN). The new QWNN model is found to achieve average classification accuracy of 94.187%, but classification accuracies using WNN, QNN and FFNN are 89.803%, 83.713% and 75.076%, respectively

General Terms

Pattern Recognition, Theory, Algorithms

Keywords

EEG Signals, Neural Networks, Quantum Computing, Wavelet Transforms, Wavelet Neural Networks

1. INTRODUCTION

The electroencephalographic (EEG) examination is a standard procedure in the study of all forms of cerebral disease. Its use in the study of patients with seizures and those suspected of having seizures becomes essential [1].

The brain is an electrochemical organ. Its electrical activity (EEG) is easily recorded, amplified, and displayed [2]. The amplitude and frequency of EEG signals vary with human state (asleep or awake), age, health, etc. EEG represents the recording of spontaneous electrical activity of the brain. EEG signals are recorded in a short time, normally for 20-40 minutes. The electrodes are placed at various positions on the scalp to get the required recordings. It is believed that the EEG signals represent the status of the whole body, as well as the electrical signals of the brain [3], [4].

The EEG consists of a set of multichannel signals. The pattern of changes in signals indicates brain activities. In addition the EEG also reflects activation of the head musculature, eye movements, interference from nearby electrical devices, and changing conductivity in the electrodes due to the movements of the subject or physiochemical reactions at the electrode sites. All of these activities are referred to as background activities [5].

The amplitude of EEG signals is very low, varying between 5 and 100 mV. There are five categories of these signals: delta,

theta, alpha, beta, and gamma. Each of which is associated with a certain activity of the brain.

EEG signals possess a combination of slow variations over long periods, with sharp, transient variations over short Zahraa K. Taha, M.Sc. Department of Electrical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Baghdad, Jadiryah, Baghdad, Iraq

periods. Hence, it seems that wavelet neural networks (WNNs) are the more suitable choice than other mainstream neural networks for EEG analysis. The aim of the paper is to develop a new quantum wavelet neural network (QWNN) model for the analysis and classification of EEG signals.

2. QUANTUM NEURAL NETWORKS

QNN has an inherently fuzzy architecture which can encode the sample information into discrete levels of certainty/uncertainty. The goal is accomplished by using quantum neurons in the hidden layer of the network. The transfer function of the quantum neuron has the ability to form graded partitions in feature space. One possibility of obtaining this kind of transfer function is to take the superposition of n_s sigmoidal functions, each shifted by quantum interval θ^s (s=1, 2, ..., n_s), where n_s is called the total number of quantum levels [6].

Consider QNN with n_i inputs, n_o output nodes and one layer of n_h hidden nodes. Let $v_{lj} = [v_{1j}, v_{2j}, ..., v_{n_{ij}}]^T$ be the weight vector connection of the jth hidden node to the inputs and $w_{ji} = [w_{1i}, w_{2i}, ..., w_{n_ki}]^T$ be the weight vector connection of the ith output node to hidden nodes. Let the activation function of the hidden nodes be the sigmoid function $g_o: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$. Then the input to the *jth* hidden node from *kth* feature vector x^k is $\hat{h}_j^k = \sum_{l=1}^{n_i} v_{lj} x_l^k$. Suppose a multi-level hidden node has n_s discrete quantum levels. Then its activation function can be written as a superposition of n_s sigmoid functions, each shifted by θ^s [7].

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} g_o \left(\beta_h \left(x - \theta^s \right) \right)$$
 (1)

where $g_o(x) = \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-x})}$ is a sigmoid function, β_h is a slope factor of hidden function, and θ^s defined the jump-positions in activation function. Therefore, the response of the

jth multi-level hidden unit to the *kth* feature vector x^k can be written as [6]

$$\hat{H}_{j}^{k} = \frac{1}{n_{s}} \sum_{s=1}^{n_{s}} h_{j}^{k} = \frac{1}{n_{s}} \sum_{s=1}^{n_{s}} g_{o} \left(\beta_{h} \left(\hat{h}_{j}^{k} - \theta_{j}^{s}\right)\right)$$
(2)

The response of the *ith* output nodes to the *kth* feature vector can be written as $z_i^k = f(\hat{y}_i^k)$, where $\hat{y}_i^k = \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} w_{ji} \hat{H}_j^k$ and $f(x) = g_o(\beta_o x)$. β_o is the slope factor of output function.

3. THE LEARNING ALGORITHM OF QNN

The gradient-descent-based algorithm is used to train the QNN. In each training epoch, the training algorithm updates both connectivity weights among different layers and quantum intervals of the hidden layer [8].

3.1 Updating the Weights in QNN

First should train the weights which involve presenting all the training set to the network and a forward pass and back propagation like a normal neural network. Let $d_i^k = [d_1^k d_2^k d_3^k \dots d_{n_o}^k]^T$ be the desired output vector for the *k*th input feature vector $x^k = [x_1^k, x_2^k, \dots, x_{n_i}^k]$. Let $z_i^k = [z_1^k z_2^k z_3^k \dots z_{n_o}^k]^T$ be the actual output. A gradient-descent-based algorithm for learning the synaptic weights of the QNN can be derived by minimizing the quadratic error function sequentially for each *k* [8],[9].

$$E^{k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{o}} (d_{i}^{k} - z_{i}^{k})^{2} \text{ with } k = 1, 2, \dots, m$$
(3)

Where z_i^k is the actual output of the training pattern k and output *i*. d_i^k is desired output of the training pattern k and output *i*. *m* is the total number of the training pattern. E are mean square error functions.

Example-by-Example or on-line learning (in which the weights are adjusted after every training pattern) is used to update the weights.

The parameters v_{lj} , w_{ji} are updated by minimizing objective function E^k [6]

$$\frac{\partial E^{k}}{\partial w_{ji}} = \beta_{o} e_{i}^{k} z_{i}^{k} \left(1 - z_{i}^{k}\right) \hat{H}_{j}^{k}$$

$$\frac{\partial E^{k}}{\partial v_{lj}} = \beta_{h} \beta_{o} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{o}} e_{i}^{k} z_{i}^{k} \left(1 - z_{i}^{k}\right) w_{ji} \frac{1}{n_{s}} \sum_{s=1}^{n_{s}} h_{j}^{s,k} \left(1 - h_{j}^{s,k}\right) x_{l}^{k}$$

$$(5)$$

Where $e_i^k = z_i^k - d_i^k$.

At any epoch r, adjustment of parameters v_{lj} , w_{ji} are performed according to

$$w_{ji}(r+1) = w_{ji}(r) - \alpha \frac{\partial E^k}{\partial w_{ji}}$$
(6)

$$\mathbf{v}_{lj}\left(r+1\right) = \mathbf{v}_{lj}\left(r\right) - \alpha \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}^k}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{lj}} \tag{7}$$

Where α is the learning rate, $0 < \alpha < 1$.

3.2 Updating the Quantum Intervals

The QNN must be first trained to recognize the occurrence of transitions between classes. The synaptic weights of the QNN must be updated to enable the network to learn the class boundaries on the feature space [9]. After that training the quantum intervals θ_j^s , before the jump-positions are updated, the training set is presented to the network. Once again to calculate $\langle \hat{H}_j^{c_i} \rangle$ (it's the sum of outputs of hidden neurons for all the inputs that belong to class c_i divided by the number of samples in that class). This is seem as a kind of forward pass, then θ_i^s is updated.

The idea of amendments to quantum intervals is to realize the minimal output variety of hidden layer neuron based on the same category of sample data, essentially, it's also based on the negative gradient algorithm, and the samples at the fuzzy boundary which do not belong to the same category can be mapped to different class by this algorithm. The variance of the output of the *jth* hidden nodes for *ith* class is [10]

$$\sigma_{j,i}^{2} = \sum_{x^{k} \epsilon c_{i}} (\langle \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{j}^{c_{i}} \rangle - \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{j}^{k})^{2}$$

$$Where \langle \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{j}^{c_{i}} \rangle = \frac{1}{c_{i}} \sum_{x^{k} \epsilon c_{i}} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{j}^{k}$$

$$(8)$$

The adjustment of quantum intervals θ_j^s will be realized by minimizing objective function G.

$$G = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_o} \sigma_{j,i}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_o} \sum_{x^k \in c_i} (\langle \hat{H}_j^{c_i} \rangle - \hat{H}_j^k)^2$$
(9)

The update equation for θ_j^s can be obtained by setting the change in θ_j^s , say $\Delta \theta_j^s$ proportional to the gradient of *G* with respect to θ_j^s as

$$\Delta \theta_{j}^{s} = -\alpha_{\theta} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_{j}^{s}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{o}} \sum_{x^{k} \in c_{i}} \left(< \hat{H}_{j}^{c_{i}} > - \hat{H}_{j}^{k} \right) \left[\frac{\partial < \hat{H}_{j}^{c_{i}} >}{\partial \theta_{j}^{s}} - \frac{\hat{H}_{j}^{k}}{\partial \theta_{j}^{s}} \right]$$
(10)

Where α_{θ} is the learning rate, $0 < \alpha_{\theta} < 1$. The definition of Equation (8) gives

$$\frac{\partial <\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{\epsilon_{i}}>}{\partial \theta_{j}^{s}} = \frac{1}{c_{i}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}^{k} \epsilon c_{i}} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{j}^{k}}{\partial \theta_{j}^{s}}$$
(11)

$$\frac{\partial \hat{H}_j^k}{\partial \theta_j^s} = \frac{-\beta_h}{n_s} \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} h_j^{s,k} \left(1 - h_j^{s,k} \right) = \frac{-\beta_h}{n_s} \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} v_j^{s,k} \tag{12}$$

Where
$$v_j^{s,k} = h_j^{s,k} (1 - h_j^{s,k})$$
, $\langle v_j^{s,c_i} \rangle = \frac{1}{c_i} \sum_{x^k \in c_i} v_j^{s,k}$

Substituting Equations (11) and (12) into Equation (10) gives the update equation as:

$$\Delta \theta_{j}^{s} = \alpha_{\theta} \frac{\beta_{h}}{n_{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{o}} \sum_{x^{k} \in c_{i}} (<\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{j}^{c_{i}} > -\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{j}^{k}) \ (< v_{j}^{s,c_{i}} > -v_{j}^{s,k})$$
(13)

The network is trained in a sequence of adaptation cycles. Each adaptation cycle involves the adaptation of all the internal parameters of the network, that is, the synaptic weights and the locations θ_j^s of the shifted and superimposed sigmoid functions of the hidden units. Since the criterion employed for updating the parameters θ_j^s is based on all the input vectors from the training set, θ_j^s are updated after the presentation of all the inputs to the network and the corresponding adaptation of the synaptic weights [6].

4. QUANTUM WAVELET NEURAL NETWORK

The traditional neural networks have many disadvantages of slow speed, low accuracy convergence and shortcomings of generalization ability for pattern recognition. The concept of Quantum Neural Network (QNN) is developing in the 1990s; it can overcome the shortcomings and inadequacies of traditional neural network model by introducing quantum mechanics. QNN based on multilayer activation function is a three-layer network structure (input layer, hidden layer and output layer), where the input layer and output layer are the traditional feed forward neural networks while the quantum of hidden layer neurons borrowed the idea of superposition of quantum states in quantum theory. These QNNs are of very high theoretical value and application potential due to that they combine the respective advantage of neural computation and quantum computation.

QNN combines with wavelet theory form the quantum wavelet neural network (QWNN). The hidden layer neurons of the QWNN model using a linear superposition of wavelet function as incentive function, not only can express more of the status and magnitude, but also can improve network speed and accuracy of convergence [8]. A motivation for using QWNN is that they can quantify indeterminate sampling data by learning arithmetic. The QWNN will press certain

comparison to assign it to all related categories if characteristic vector of sample is edge overlap which locates two types of modes. This make network have the feature of fuzziness and assign indeterminate data to all related categories.

5. MODEL FOR QUANTUM WAVELET NEURAL NETWORK

Quantum wavelet neural network (QWNN) is a new field which combines the quantum neural network (QNN) with wavelet theory. It is meaningful for ONN and classical neural network since will overcome some intractable problems and improve the network performance essentially. The incentive function of the hidden layer of QWNN uses superposition of nonlinear wavelet; here we selected Mexican hat functions. Such a hidden layer nodes can express more states [8]. The multilevel transfer function has several different quantum intervals, the different classes of data are mapped onto corresponding state; accordingly the classification has greater degree of freedom. Mexican hat wavelet has been chosen to serve as an adaptation basis function to network's hidden layer for this QWNN, due to that data used correlates to the shape of the Mexican hat. As well the Mexican hat wavelets are continuous and differentiable wavelets respect to its dilation and translation parameters, the expression is as following:

$$\varphi(t) = 1.373 * (1 + 2\pi t^2)e^{-\pi t^2}$$
(14)

As shown in Figure 1, the structure of QWNN includes three layers. The input layer includes n_i nodes, the hidden layer includes n_h multilevel nodes and the output layer includes n_o nodes. Let the synaptic weight connection of the jth hidden nodes to the *lth* input be w_{lj} . synaptic weight connection of the ith output nodes to the jth hidden nodes be v_{ji} . Let $x^k = [x_1^k, x_2^k, x_3^k, ..., x_{n_i}^k]^T \forall k = 1, ..., m$, where m is the number of the feature vectors of data set X. Suppose a multilevel hidden node has n_s discrete quantum levels. Then its activation function can be written as a superposition of n_s activation functions, each shifted by θ^s ,

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} h_o(\beta(x - \theta^s))$$
(15)

Where $h_o(.)$ is activation function, β is slope factor, $\{\theta^s\}$ is defined as the jump positions in the activation function. The step widths of the multilevel activation function, called the quantum intervals, are determined by jump positions $\{\theta^s\}$. Then the input to the jth hidden node from kth feature vector is $\hat{h}_j^k = \sum_{l=1}^{n_i} w_{lj} x_l^k$. Therefore response of the jth multilevel hidden node to the kth feature vector x^k can be written as

$$B_{j} = \frac{1}{n_{s}} \sum_{s=1}^{n_{s}} h[\beta(\hat{h}_{j}^{k} - \theta_{j}^{s})] \quad s = 1, 2, ..., n_{s} \text{ and}$$

$$j=1, 2, ..., n_{h}$$
(16)

Where h (•) = $h(\frac{x_l - \tau_j}{\lambda_j})$ is the incentive function of hidden nodes, λ_i is dilation factor and τ_i is translation factor.

The output vector of output layer is

$$C_i^k = \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} v_{ji} B_j , i=1,2,...,n_o$$
(17)

The general model of the QWNN is

$$C_{i}^{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{h}} v_{ji} \left(\frac{1}{n_{s}} \sum_{s=1}^{n_{s}} h \left(\frac{(\beta (\sum_{l=1}^{n_{i}} w_{lj} x_{l}) - \theta_{j}^{s}) - \tau_{j}}{\lambda_{j}} \right) \right)$$
(18)

Where k is sample number.

The linear partition generated by an additional hidden node has all the degree of freedom to align itself along any direction on the feature space. On the other hand, the activation function within multilevel hidden nodes transfer function can only "spread-out" or "collapse-in" parallel to each other [10]. Another advantage could be reduction of the number of nodes in the hidden layer which could lead to a smaller number of weights [11].

6. GRADIENT DESCENT BASED LEARNING ALGORITHM FOR QUANTUM WAVELET NEURAL NETWORK

The gradient descent based learning algorithm is easily computed, better for large data sets. Convergence of this algorithm depends on the learning rate α . If it is too large (such as constant) oscillation may occur. If it is too small, may not move far enough to reach a local minimum [12]. This can be done in two ways: example-by-example (or on-line learning), in which the weights are adjusted after every training pattern; and batch (or off-line) learning, in which learning weight adjustment occurs after all of the training examples have been presented to the network once [13].



Fig 1: Quantum wavelet neural network structure.

The learning of QWNN parameters is considered in two steps. The synaptic weights need to be updated first in order to train the QWNN to consistently partition the feature space of the given data set. Simultaneously, the uncertainty present in the feature space must be learned through the adaptation of the parameters θ_r^s [10].

6.1 Updating the Weights in QWNN

Let $Y_i^k = [Y_1^k Y_2^k Y_3^k \dots Y_{n_0}^k]^T$ be the desired output vector for the kth input feature vector x_k . Let $C_i^k = [C_1^k C_2^k C_3^k \dots C_{n_0}^k]^T$ be the actual output vector. A gradient descent based algorithm for learning the synaptic weights of the QWNN can be derived by minimizing the quadratic error function sequentially for each k.

$$E^{k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{o}} (Y_{i}^{k} - C_{i}^{k})^{2}$$
(19)

Where k = 1, 2, ..., m. C_i^k is the actual output of the training pattern k and output i. Y_i^k is the desired output of the training pattern k and output i. m is the total number of the training pattern. E are mean square error functions.

The weights are adjusted after each feature vector x_k is given as the input to the QWNN so that E^k is minimized, this method called example-by-example or on-line learning. The advantages of this method are [12]:

- It requires less computation per step.
- Randomization may help escape poor local minima.
- It allows working with a stream of data rather than a static set.

The parameters w_{lj} , v_{ji} , λ_j , τ_j are updated by minimizing objective function E^k

$$\frac{\partial E^{\kappa}}{\partial \nabla_{ji}} = e_i * B_j$$

$$\frac{\partial E^{k}}{\partial w_{rj}} = e_i v_{ji} \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_l} \beta x_l$$
(20)
(21)

 $x_j' = \beta(\sum_{l=1}^{n_i} w_{lj} x_l - \theta_j^s)$

Where

$$t'_{j} = \frac{x'_{j} - \tau_{j}}{\lambda_{j}}, \quad e_{i} = C_{i}^{k} - Y_{i}^{k}$$
$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial w_{ir}} = 1.373 * 4\pi t \ e^{-\pi t^{2}} - 2\pi t * 1.373(1 + 2\pi t^{2})e^{-\pi t^{2}}$$
(22)

At any epoch h, adjustment of parameters w_{lj} , v_{ji} , λ_j , τ_j are performed according to

$$\mathbf{v}_{ji}(h+1) = \mathbf{v}_{ji}(h) - \alpha \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}^k}{\partial \mathbf{V}_{ji}}$$
(23)

$$w_{lj}(h+1) = w_{lj}(h) - \alpha \frac{\partial E^k}{\partial w_{lj}}$$
(24)

Where α is the learning rate, $0 < \alpha < 1$.

6.2 Updating the Quantum Intervals

The quantum intervals of quantum nodes in the hidden layer can be learned by minimizing the class-conditional variances at the output of hidden nodes [14]. On other hand quantum intervals can be learned by minimal output variety of hidden layer node based on the same data category of sample data [10]. The output of variance for class c_i is

$$\sigma_{j,i}^2 = \sum_{x_k \in ci} (\langle B_{j,ci} \rangle - B_{j,k})^2$$
(25)

Where $\langle B_{j,ci} \rangle = \frac{1}{|c_i|} \sum_{x_k \in c_i} B_{j,k}$

 $\langle B_{j,ci} \rangle$ is the sum of outputs of hidden nodes for all the inputs that belong to class c_i divided by the number of samples in that class.

The adjusting of quantum intervals θ_j^s will be realized by minimizing objective function G.

$$G = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_o} \sigma_{j,i}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_o} \sum_{x_k \in c_i} (\langle B_{j,ci} \rangle - B_{j,k})^2$$
(26)

The update equation for θ_j^s can be obtained by setting the change in θ_j^s , say $\Delta \theta_j^s$ proportional to the gradient of *G* with respect to θ_j^s as:

$$\Delta \theta_j^s = -\alpha_\theta \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_j^s} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_o} \sum_{x_k \in c_i} (\langle B_{j,ci} \rangle - B_{j,k}) [\frac{\partial \langle B_{j,ci} \rangle}{\partial \theta_j^s} - \frac{B_{j,k}}{\partial \theta_j^s}]$$
(27)

Where α_{θ} is the learning rate of θ_{j}^{s} and $0 < \alpha_{\theta} < 1$. $\frac{\partial < B_{j,ci} >}{\partial \theta_{j}^{s}} = \frac{1}{|C_{j}|} \sum_{x_{k} \in c_{i}} \frac{\partial B_{j,k}}{\partial \theta_{j}^{s}}$ (28) $\frac{\partial B_{j,k}}{\partial \theta_{j}^{s}} = \frac{1}{n_{s}} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}^{s}} \beta$ (29)

Where

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial X'_{i}} = [1.373(4\pi t)exp^{-\pi t'^{2}} - 2\pi t *$$

$$1.373(1 + 2\pi t^{2})exp^{-\pi t'^{2}}]\frac{-1}{\lambda_{j}}$$
Let $DB_{j,k} = \frac{\partial B_{j,k}}{\partial \theta_{j}^{s}} = -\frac{\beta}{n_{s}}\frac{\partial h}{\partial X'_{i}}$, and
 $< DB_{j,k} > = \frac{\partial < B_{j,ci} >}{\partial \theta_{j}^{s}} = -\frac{\beta}{n_{s}}\frac{1}{C_{j}}\sum_{x_{k} \in C_{i}}\frac{\partial h}{\partial X'_{i}}$

Substitution of Equations (28) and (29) into Equation (27) gives the update equation as:

$$\Delta \theta_j^s = -\alpha_\theta \frac{\beta}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{n_o} \sum_{k \in c_i} (\langle B_{j,ci} \rangle - B_{j,k}) * (\langle \mathsf{DB}_{j,k} \rangle - \mathsf{DB}_{j,k})$$
(30)

Where $\alpha_{\theta} \in (0,1)$ is the learning ratio of θ_j^s .

$$\theta_j^{s}$$
 can be updated according to the above equations:

$$\frac{(\theta_j^s)^{h+1} = (\theta_j^s)^h - \alpha_\theta \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \theta_j^s}}{\text{Algorithm: Training The OWNN}}$$
(31)

Initialize all the parameters according to the above equations.

Update the synaptic weights:

For k=1,2,...,m
For j=1,2,..., n_h

$$h_j^k = \sum_{l=1}^{n_i} w_{lj} x_l^k$$

 $h_j^{k,s} = \beta(\hat{h}_j^k - \theta_j^s)$ $s = \{1,2,...,n_s\}$
 $H_j^{ks} = \frac{h_j^{ks} - t_j}{\lambda_j}$
 $B_j^k = \frac{1}{ns} \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} h[(H_j^{ks})]$
For i=1,2,..., n_o
 $C_i^k = \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} v_{jl} B_j$
For i=1,2,..., n_o
 $dv_i^k = Y_i^k - C_i^k$
For j=1,2,3,..., n_h and i=1,2,..., n_o
 $v_{ji} = v_{ji} - \alpha dv_i^k B_j^k$
For j=1,2,3,..., n_h
 $dw_j^k = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} * \left(\frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}(H_j^{ks})\right) * \sum_{i=1}^{n_o} dv_i^k * v_{ji}$
For j=1,2,3,..., n_h and $l = 1,2,...,n_i$
 $w_{ji} = w_{ji} - \alpha \beta dw_i^k x_l^k$
Update the quantum intervals:
For k=1,2,..., n_h
 $h_j^k = \sum_{l=1}^{n_i} w_{lj} x_l^k$
 $h_j^{k,s} = \beta(\hat{h}_j^k - \theta_j^s)s = \{1,2,...,n_s\}$
 $H_j^{ks} = \frac{h_j^{ks} - t_j}{\lambda_j}$
 $B_j^k = \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{s=1}^{n_s} h[(H_j^{ks})]$
 $DB_j^{k,s} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} [(H_j^{k,s})]$

For j=1,2,...,n_h

$$< B_{j,ci} > = \frac{1}{c_i} \sum_{x_{k \in c_i}} B_j^k$$

 $< DB_j^{k,s} > = \frac{1}{c_i} \sum_{x_{k \in c_i}} DB_j^{k,s}$
For k=1,2,...,m
For q=1,2,...,n_h and For s=1,2,...,n_s
 $\theta_q^s = \theta_q^s + \alpha_\theta \frac{\beta}{n_s} \sum_{i=1}^{n_o} \sum_{x_{k \in c_i}} (< B_{j,ci} > -B_j^k) (< DB_j^{k,s})$
 $> -DB_j^{k,s})$

7. DATA SELECTION

From the data available at [15], the whole database consists of five EEG data sets (denoted A - E), each containing 100 single channel EEG signals of 23.6 seconds from five separate classes. Sets A and B consist of signals taken from surface EEG recordings of five healthy volunteers with eye open and eve closed, respectively. Signals in sets C and D were recorded in seizure-free intervals from five epileptic patients from the hippocampal function formation of the opposite hemisphere of the brain and from within the epileptic zone, respectively. Set E contains the records of five epileptic patients during seizure activity. All EEG recordings were made with the same 128-channel amplifiers system, using an average common reference [14]. The recorded data are digitized at 173.61 samples per second using 12-bit resolution. Band-pass filter setting is 0.53-40 Hz (12 dB/oct). The amplitude of EEG recordings is given in micro volt.

8. CLASSIFICATION OF EEG SIGNAL

The classification operation of EEG signals can be divided into three stages. Stage one is used to process raw EEG signals in such a way that they are ready to be used. Stage two is feature extraction by cluster technique (CT). The last stage is EEG signal classification by QWNN.

8.1 Normalization

Normalization is a process to simplify the data. Each feature should be normalized (rearrange the data to range between 0 and 1) before the processing.

8.2 Data Processing

Raw EEG data is generally a mixture of several things: brain activity, eye blinks, muscle activity, environmental noise, etc. After the data have been collected, the preprocessing step starts to remove all noise and artifacts from the signal, but preserving all the characteristics of the original signal. As well as cleaning the signal from the influence of the reference electrode, if one is used [16].

All the above can be performed using different methods and algorithms, but Independent Component Analysis (ICA) is the best method for processing EEG signals. ICA is adequate if the data are no Gaussian, nonlinear, and no stationary [17]. EEG signal has all these properties, therefore ICA is used.

A simple definition of the ICA problem can be given by reducing the problem to two original signal sources, s1 and s2, and two recorded mixtures, x1 and x2. The mixtures of the two sources are given by:

 $x_1(n) = a_{11}s_1(n) + a_{12}s_2(n) \tag{32}$

$$x_2(n) = a_{13}s_1(n) + a_{14}s_2(n) \tag{33}$$

Where a_{11} , a_{12} , a_{13} , and a_{14} are parameters that depend on

the position and characteristics of the recording locations [18]. The problem is now defined as solving for the source signals s1 and s2 using only mixtures x1 and x2.

8.3 Feature Extraction

Feature extraction is the process of extracting useful information from the signal. Features are characteristics of a signal that are able to distinguish between normal and abnormal of EEG signals. The requirements for feature extraction are [19]:

- reduce the size of the data by selecting appropriate features,
- the selected features should be minimally redundant and the expected results should maximally depend on these features,
- preserve all information from the signal that is needed for classification.

It is basically impossible to apply any classification method directly to EEG samples, because of the large amount and the high dimension of the examples to describe such a big variety of clinical situation. There are many methods (feature extraction) used for this purpose [20].

Cluster technique (CT) is used to characterize brain activities from recording, several features are computed from the segmented data. These features allow representing each segmented data as a point in input vector space. The CT method is proposed for feature extraction from the original EEG database. This approach is conducted in three steps, which determines different clusters, sub clusters and statistical features extracted from each sub cluster, respectively.

The steps of CT approach are:

- 1) Step 1: Each EEG data is divided into n groups, which are called clusters with specific time interval.
- 2) Step 2: Each cluster is partitioned into m sub clusters with a specific duration.
- 3) Step 3: Eight statistical features are extracted from each sub cluster data point. The statistical features are minimum, maximum, mean, median, first quartile range, third quartile range, inter-quartile range, and standard deviation of the EEG data.

9. EXPERIMENTS ON EEG SIGNALS

The epileptic EEG data have five sets, set A to set E. Each set contains 100 channels of data. Every channel consists of 4096 data points with 23.6 seconds. Each channel data is normalized and then divided into 16 groups, where each group is called cluster. Each cluster consists of 256 data points of 1.475 seconds. Then every cluster is again partitioned into sub clusters and each sub cluster contains 64 observations of 0.3688 second. Eight statistical features are calculated from each sub cluster. These features are the input for each of four different techniques applied to classify EEG signals. These techniques are Feed Forward Neural Network (FFNN), Quantum Neural Network (QNN), Wavelet Neural Network (WNN), and finally the new model of Quantum Wavelet Neural Network (QWNN).

The number of elements of each pair of sets has been divided into two parts. The first part is used for training the network, it is called training samples. These samples usually take 70% from data. Then the error and accuracy of training data are calculated. The second part is used for testing the network, it is called testing samples. The testing samples are the remainder 30% of data, from which the error and accuracy of testing data are usually calculated. The performance of a particular run of the program, or a particular reading by an expert is evaluated in terms of the accuracy of classification, where:

$$Accuracy = \frac{\text{Total number of correct classifications}}{\text{Total number of testing samples}} * 100\%$$

(34)

10. RESULTS OF QUANTUM WAVELET NEURAL NETWORK MODEL

In this work, the data has been divided into two parts: the learning part and the testing part. Each trial is composed of feature vectors. The selection of the patterns for training and testing was made randomly. Training was conducted until standard of error fell below 0.0001 or a maximum iteration limit of 200 was reached. The mean square error (MSE) denotes the error limit to stop QWNN training.

A three layer structure of QWNN is applied. It consists of 32 inputs, 2 outputs, and 64 hidden layer nodes. The slope factor of multilevel transfer function is chosen to be 1.25 by trial and error.

The number of levels in quantum hidden layer will be chosen as a compromise between increased efficiency or increased computation cost. We will choose ns=10. We can choose greater than this but that will increase computation cost. The data must be dividable by the number of your choice because feature space cannot be divided into two regions and a half.

The experiment results demonstrate that our proposed QWNN classifier achieves excellent performance in terms of classification accuracy compared to FFNN, QNN, and WNN; that will be discussed fully in the next section. As shown in Table 1, the average accuracy of 94.187% is obtained over 200 iterations. The average of MSE is 0.07188 decreasing with the increase of the number of iterations which means that the network is convergence to a solution as iterations number increases.

11. DISCUSSION

An epileptic EEG data is used in this work to test the performance of the proposed QWNN model. All calculations are performed using MATLAB (version 7.0.019920.R14). Here, different pairs of two-class EEG signals from five data sets in epileptic EEG data are to be classified. 1600 vectors of 32 dimensions (the dimensions of the extracted features) are obtained from each data set. 2240 vectors are used for training and 960 vectors for testing.

Table	1. Perform	ance of Q	WNN f	or differ	ent p	airs of	two-
	class EEG	signal fro	om the e	pileptic	EEG	data	

Different pairs	MSE for training set	MSE for testing set	Accuracy for testing set (%)
Sets A and E	0.0448	0.3054	97.946
Sets B and E	0.0711	0.3572	95.535
Sets C and E	0.0629	0.2292	95.758
Sets A and D	0.1231	0.5907	84.062
Sets D and E	0.0575	0.3130	97.633
Average	0.07188	0.3591	94.187

The proposed QWNN model is the most efficient to classify EEG signals. It is important to note that the EEG data from sets A and E are more classifiable than the other cases, because there are large variations among the recorded EEG. Due to the nature of large differences, it is easier to classify set A and set E as demonstrated by 97.946% accuracy of classification. In this application, the pair of sets A and D produce lowest classification accuracy of 84.062%.

The result of the experiments shows that the proposed QWNN model has improved the classification accuracy compared to the FFNN, QNN, and WNN classifiers. Table 2 illustrates this fact.

FFNN produces the lowest classification accuracy of 75.076% among the reported methods, because it creates its internal representations from sample information provided by training data. Training from sample data means that if a training vector belongs to the ith class, the ith output unit is required to respond with 1 while the responses of all other output units are required to be 0. The disadvantage of FFNN as compared with other methods is that, it is incapable of allowing the sample information to be encoded into certain levels (graded) of certainty/uncertainty.

The classification of QNN based on multilayer activation function is of more freedom than traditional FFNN, because activation function of the hidden nodes has a number of different quantum intervals. By adjusting the quantum intervals, the different classes of data are mapped onto corresponding state; accordingly the classification has more freedom. This is clear from Table 2, where the average accuracy of QNN is 83.713% while it is 75.076% for FFNN.

WNN is fast, simple, robust, and reliable. Its classification accuracy is better than QNN and FFNN. WNN overcomes the problem of the sigmoid activation functions of QNN by using wavelet as activation function. But WNN has not inherently fuzzy architecture; therefore it should not be capable of generalizing the sample information into various graded levels of certainty over the entire feature space.

Quantum neural networks (QNNs) combined with wavelet theory can give full play to their respective advantages. This combination can optimize the topology of quantum neural network with premises of ensuring classification capabilities, thus making the network clearer, simpler, and greatly reduces the size of the network and improve the learning speed. The other advantages of QWNN are overcoming the problems of slow speed and low accuracy of convergence and the shortcomings of generalization ability for pattern recognition of the traditional neural network.

TABLE 2. Comparison of EEG classification results

Classification method	MSE for training set	MSE for testing set	Accuracy for testing set (%)
FFNN	0.1755	0.361	75.076
QNN	0.1654	0.3967	83.713
WNN	0.046	0.4892	89.803
QWNN	0.07188	0.3591	94.187

Replacing sigmoid function in ONN with Mexican hat wavelet basis function in QWNN solves the problem of QNN that the shape of sigmoid function is not identical to the shape of EEG signal. Mexican hat wavelet is often used for timefrequency analysis of EEG signals, because it can capture EEG events well. Another key advantage of Mexican hat wavelet is that it will manage to detect the great changes in EEG signals. Therefore, Mexican hat mother wavelet is used as activation function to provide considerable flexibility in designing the QWNN. Experiments show that the average accuracy is 94.187% for QWNN, whereas it is 83.713% for QNN. Also, the advantage of QWNN over FFNN is that if the eigenvectors of samples are located in the overlap edges of two modes, the QWNN will distribute them to all the relevant categories in proportion. The responses of the hidden nodes to input segments from the training and testing sets indicate that the trained OWNN and ONN produce a more structured internal representation of input samples than that produced by the trained WNN and FFNN. This experimental result was justified by illustrating the ability of trained QWNN and QNN to implement a multilevel partition of the input space.

12. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a new QWNN model with Mexican hat as incentive function applied for classification of EEG signals. It overcomes the problems of slow speed and low accuracy of convergence and the shortcomings of generalization ability for pattern recognition of the traditional neural network. The combination of QNN with wavelet makes the network clearer and greatly reduces the size of the network, as well as improving the learning speed. Since we can choose the suitable basis function for the data in OWNN, therefore QWNN is more flexible than the other methods. In addition, this paper presents a learning algorithm. The validity of the model and the study algorithm are proved by simulation. The results proved that QWNN combined the theory of quantum superposition with wavelet theory can improve the accuracy and accelerate the speed of convergence. OWNN can classify the pattern precisely, and provides a method for those two modes which have overlap edges with difficulty to classify.

13. REFERENCES

- [1] Ropper, A. H., and Brown, R. H. 2011 Adams and Victor's Principles of Neurology.8th ed.
- [2] Hauser, S. L., and Josephson, S. A. 2011 Harrison Neurology in Clinical Medicine, 2nd ed. San Francisco: University of California.
- [3] Verma, A. K., and Mangaraj, A. K. 2010 Analysis and Classification of Electroencephalography Signals. M.Sc. thesis, Electrics and Communication Eng. Dept., National Institute of Technology, Rourkela.
- [4] Adikarapatti, V. 2007 Optimal EEG Channels and Rhythm Selection for Task Classification. Madras University, India, April 2007.
- [5] Bartosova, V., Vysata, O. and Prochazka, A. 2006 Graphical User Interface for EEG Signal Segmentation. Computing and Control Eng. Dept., Institute of Chemical Technology.
- [6] Zhou, J., Gan, Q., Krzyzak, A., and Suen, C. Y. 1999. Recognition of handwriting numerals by quantum neural network with fuzzy features. International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition, ©Springer-Verlag, no. 2, 30-36.
- [7] Karayiannis, N. B., Mukherjee, A., Glover, J. R., Frost, Jr, J. D., Hrachovy, R. A., and Mizrahi, E. M. 2005. An evaluation of quantum neural networks in the detection of epileptic seizures in the neonatal electroencephalogram. Soft Comput., Springer-Verlag,(10 May 2005).
- [8] Liu, K., Peng, L. and Yang, O. 2010. The algorithm and application of quantum wavelet neural networks. in 2010 Chinese Control and Decision Conference, pp. 2941-2945.
- [9] Karayiannis, N. B. and Purushothaman, G. 1997 Quantum neural networks (QNN's): inherently fuzzy feed forward neural networks. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks. vol. 8, no. 3, May 1997.
- [10] Xianwen, R., Feng, Z., Lingfeng, Z. and Xianwen, M. 2010. Application of quantum neural network based on rough set in transformer fault diagnosis. In Proc. Asia-Pacific Power and Engineering Conference, 2010, pp. 1-4.
- [11] Malinowski, A., Cholewo, T. J. and Zurada, J. M. 1995. Capabilities and limitations of feed forward neural networks with multilevel neurons. In Proc. of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, vol. 1, Seattle, Wash., USA, April 1995, pp. 131-134.
- [12] (2005, September 6).More on regression gradient descent classification (COMP-652,Lecture2)[Online].Available: http://www.facweb.iitkgp.ernet.in/~sudeshna/courses/M L06/regression-mcgill.pdf,
- [13] Samarasinghe, S. 2006 Neural Networks for Applied Sciences and Engineering From Fundamental to Complex Pattern Recognition. Mechanical Eng. Dept., Lumumba Univ.
- [14] Zhu D. and Wu, R. 2007. A multilayer quantum neural networks recognition system for handwritten digital recognition. In Proc. of Third International Conference on Natural Computation (ICNC), 2007, vol. 1, pp. 718-722.

International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 92 – No.5, April 2014

- [15] (2005, November). EEG time series (epileptic data).[Online]. Available:http://www.meb.Unibonn.de/epileptologie/scie nce/physikleegdata.html
- [16] Horlings, R. 2008 Emotion Recognition Using Brain Activity. Faculty Electrical Engineering, Mathematics, and Computer Science, Man-machine Interaction Group, Delft University of Technology, March 2008.
- [17] Principal component analysis (PCA) and independent component analysis (ICA). [Online]. Available:<u>http://www.cis.hut.fi/projects/ica/fastica/</u>
- [18] Faul, S. D. 2007 Automated Neonatal Seizure Detection. M.Sc. thesis, Electrical and Electronic Eng. Dept., National University of Ireland, Cork, 1st August 2007.
- [19] Feature extraction and selection methods. [Online].Available:<u>http://www.isa.umh.es/asignaturas/cs cs/PR/3%20-%20Feature%20extraction.pdf</u>
- [20] Siuly L. Y. and Wen, P. 2010. Analysis and classification of EEG signals using a hybrid cluster technique. In Proc. IEE/ICME International Conference on Complex Medical Engineering, Gold Cost, Australia, July 13-15, pp. 34-39.