Walsh, Texture and GIST Descriptors with Bayesian Networks for Recognition of Tifinagh Characters

Mustapha OUJAOURA Laboratory of Information Processing and Telecommunications, Computer Science Department Faculty of Science and

Technology, Sultan Moulay Slimane University Mghila, PO Box. 523, Béni Mellal, Morocco Brahim MINAOUI Laboratory of Information Processing and Telecommunications, Computer Science Department Faculty of Science and Technology, Sultan Moulay Slimane University Mghila, PO Box. 523, Béni Mellal, Morocco Mohammed FAKIR Laboratory of Information Processing and Telecommunications, Computer Science Department Faculty of Science and Technology, Sultan Moulay Slimane University Mghila, PO Box. 523, Béni Mellal, Morocco

ABSTRACT

This paper provides an approach to automatically recognize the Tifinagh characters. The proposed recognition system is based on Texture, Walsh transformation and GIST descriptors as feature extraction methods while the Bayesian Networks are used as a classifier. A comparative study between the Texture descriptor, Walsh transformation and GIST descriptor is given. The experimental results are obtained using a character database of isolated Amazigh characters. A recognition rate of 98.18% is achieved using GIST descriptors.

General Terms

Pattern Recognition, Machine learning.

Keywords

Character recognition; texture; GIST; Walsh transformation; Bayesian networks; Tifinagh database.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Optical Character Recognition (OCR) is a rapidly expanding field in several areas where the text is the working basis. In general, a character recognition system consists of several phases as stated in many recent works [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. The extraction phase focuses on the release of attributes from an image. The effectiveness of the system is based on the results given by the classification phase. The objective of this paper is to produce this automated characters recognition system. The tifinagh characters recognition system based on the texture, Walsh transformation and GIST descriptors is introduced. The Bayesian network is used as a classifier.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The Section 2 presents the texture, Walsh and GIST features extraction method. The Section 3 is reserved for the recognition of tifinagh characters by using a Bayesian network classifier while the Section 4 is reserved to present and discuss the experimental results of the tifinagh characters recognition system. Finally, in the last section, the principal conclusion concerning the proposed approach is given in addition to the possible future works.

2. TEXTURE, WALSH AND GIST DESCRIPTORS

The feature vector must be extracted carefully from an input image character in order to reduce the rich content and large data of character images while maintaining and preserving the content representation of the entire character image. Therefore, the feature extraction task can decrease the processing time.

Tifinagh characters are the set of alphabets used by the Amazigh population in morocco and Algeria. The Moroccan Royal Institute of Amazigh Culture (IRCAM) has normalized the Tifinagh alphabet of thirty-three characters as shown in Figure 1.

0	θ	X	X	٨	Ε	00	H	R	R
Φ	K	Η	X	Z	٤	Ι	И		ĺ
00	0	Q	۲	0	Ø	៥	ł	E	Ц
5	Ж	¥							

Fig.1: Thirty-three Tifinagh characters adopted by the Moroccan Royal Institute of Amazigh Culture (IRCAM).

The Tifinagh alphabet has several characters that can be obtained from others by a simple rotation, which makes invariant descriptors commonly used less effective. For this reason, we used a texture descriptors and GIST descriptors.

All the features descriptors are extracted for all the tifinagh characters in a reference database.

2.1 Texture Descriptors

The texture descriptor is extracted using the co-occurrence matrix introduced by Haralick in 1973 [10]. So for a grayscale image I of size $N \times N$, for $(k, l) \in [1, \dots, N]^2$ and

 $(a, b) \in [1, \dots, G]^2$, the co-occurrence matrix $M_{k, l}[I]$ of the character image I is defined by:

$$M_{k,l}(a,b) = \beta \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} \sum_{j=1}^{N-l} \delta(I(i,j) - a, I(i+k, j+l) - b)$$

Where δ is the unit pulse defined by:

$$\delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y = 0\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

And

$$\beta = \frac{1}{(N-k)(N-l)}$$

As they measure local interactions between pixels, they are sensitive to significant differences in spatial resolution between the images. To reduce this sensitivity, it is necessary to normalize these matrices by the total number of the considered co-occurrences matrix: Where T is the number of quantization.

To reduce the large amount of information of these matrices, the 14 Haralick indices [10] of these matrices are used.

2.2 GIST descriptors

In computer vision, GIST descriptors are a representation of a low-dimensional image that contains enough information to identify the scene in an image. The GIST descriptors allow a very small size representation of an image. These descriptors were introduced by Oliva and Torralba in 2001 [11, 12]. They can represent the dominant spatial structure of the scene from a set of perceptual dimensions. The authors have tried to capture the gist descriptor of the image by analyzing the spatial frequency and orientation. The global descriptor is constructed by combining the amplitudes obtained at the output of the K Gabor filters [13] at different scales E and orientations O. To reduce the feature vector size, each filtered output image is scaled and divided into N * N blocks (N between 2 and 16), which gives a vector of dimension N * N * K * E * O. This dimension can be further reduced by a principal component analysis (PCA), which also gives the weights applied to different filters [14].



Fig.2: Block diagram for computation and extraction of GIST Descriptor.

As specified above, the Figure 2 presents a block diagram summarizing the different steps for the computation and extraction of GIST descriptors. After the pre-processing step of the input character image, the next step consists on changing the character image into different scales and orientations. Finally, the features vectors are calculated for each scale, orientation and frequency. Those features vectors are combined to form a global feature descriptor which is reduced by a principal component analysis (PCA).

2.3 Walsh Transformation

The Walsh transformation W (u, v) can be calculated using the following formula:

$$W(u,v) = \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} f(x,y)g(x,y,u,v)$$

Where f(x, y) is intensity of the pixel with the coordinates (x, y) in the original binary image, u, v = 0, 1... N-1. The size of the image f is N x N, and function g is the kernel function of the transformation and has the following form:

$$g(x, y, u, v) = \frac{1}{N} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{b_i(x)b_{n-i-1}(u) + b_i(y)b_{n-i-1}(v)}$$

Where $b_i(x)$ is the ith bit in the binary expansion of x, so it is equal either 0 or 1, and N=2ⁿ.

The Walsh transform is unique in the sense that if two considered binary images are different, the corresponding feature vectors are also different. Since the Walsh transformation is invariant under size changes, to perform and calculate the Walsh transformation, the original image is firstly changed and resized to the size of $2^n \times 2^n$ for n=8.

3. BAYESIAN NETWORK CLASSIFIER

Bayesian networks are based on a probabilistic approach governed by Bayes' rule. The Bayesian approach is then based on the conditional probability that estimates the probability of occurrence of an event assuming that another event is verified. A Bayesian network is a graphical probabilistic model representing the random variable as a directed acyclic graph. It is defined by [15]:

- G = (X, E), Where X is the set of nodes and E is the set of edges, G is a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) whose vertices are associated with a set of random variables $X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$;
- $\theta = \{P(X_i | Pa(X_i))\}$ is a conditional probabilities of

each node X_i relative to the state of his parents $Pa(X_i)$ in G.

The graphical part of the Bayesian network indicates the dependencies between variables and gives a visual representation tool of knowledge more easily understandable by users. Bayesian networks combine qualitative part that are graphs and a quantitative part representing the conditional probabilities associated with each node of the graph with respect to parents [15].

Pearl and all [16] have also shown that Bayesian networks allow to compactly representing the joint probability distribution over all the variables:

$$P(X) = P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i | Pa(X_i))$$

Where $Pa(X_i)$ is the set of parents of node X_i in the graph G of the Bayesian network.

This joint probability could be actually simplified by the Bayes rule as follows [17]:

$$P(X) = P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i | Pa(X_i))$$

= $P(X_n | X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1) \times \dots \times P(X_2 | X_1) \times P(X_1)$
= $P(X_1) \times \prod_{i=2}^n P(X_i | X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1)$

The construction of a Bayesian network consists in finding a structure or a graph and estimates its parameters by machine learning. In the case of the classification, the Bayesian network can have a class node C_i and many attribute nodes

 X_{i} . The naive Bayes classifier is used in this paper due to

its robustness and simplicity. The Figure 3 illustrates its graphical structure.



Fig.3: Naive Bayes classifier structure.

To estimate the Bayesian network parameters and probabilities, Gaussian distributions are generally used. The conditional distribution of a node relative to its parent is a Gaussian distribution whose mean is a linear combination of the parent's value and whose variance is independent of the parent's value [18]:

$$P(X_i|Pa(X_i)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \exp\left\{\frac{-1}{2\sigma_i^2}\left(x_i - \left(\mu_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sigma_j^2}\left(x_j - \mu_j\right)\right)\right)^2\right\}$$

Where,

- $Pa(X_i)$ Are the parents of X_i ;
- μ_i, μ_j, σ_i and σ_j are the means and variances of the attributes X_i and X_j respectively without considering their parents;
- n_i is the number of parents;
- σ_{ii} is the regression matrix of weights.

After the parameter and structure learning of a Bayesian network, The Bayesian inference is used to calculate the probability of any variable in a probabilistic model from the observation of one or more other variables. So, the chosen class C_i is the one that maximizes these probabilities [19, 20]:

$$P(C_i|X) = \begin{cases} P(C_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n} P(X_j | Pa(X_j), C_i) & \text{if } X_j \text{ has parents} \\ P(C_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n} P(X_j | C_i) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

For the naive Bayes classifier, the absence of parents and the variables independence assumption are used to write the posterior probability of each class as given in the following equation [21]:

$$P(C_i|X) = P(C_i)\prod_{j=1}^n P(X_j|C_i)$$

Therefore, the decision rule d of an attribute X is given by:

$$d(X) = \arg \max_{C_i} P(C_i | X)$$

= $\arg \max_{C_i} P(X | C_i) P(C_i)$
= $\arg \max_{C_i} P(C_i) \prod_{j=1}^n P(X_j | C_j)$

The class with maximum probability leads to the suitable character for the input image.

4. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

4.1 Experiments

In the experiments, for each character image, the number of input features extracted using Texture extraction method is 14x4 = 56 while the number of input features extracted using GIST extraction method is 32. These inputs are presented and

feed to the classifier; which is the Bayesian network in order to select the appropriate keywords from the reference database.

The accuracy of the recognition system is evaluated by the precision rate which is the number of correct results divided by the number of all returned results.

All the tests are performed using a database containing a set of 33 reference characters and 165 image characters [22]. The proposed system has been implemented and tested on a core 2 Duo personnel computer using Matlab software.

4.2 **Results and Discussion**

The comparison of the general recognition rates between the Texture descriptors, GIST descriptors and Walsh transformation descriptors, used as feature extraction methods, is illustrated in the Table 1. When using the Bayesian network as a classifier, the learning and total execution times are also given in order to know the fastest descriptor. The experimental results showed that the recognition rates of the Bayesian network classifier based on GIST descriptor is higher than the recognition rate based on Texture descriptors.

 Table 1. Recognition and Error Rates with Learning and

 Execution Times of Texture, Walsh transformation and

 GIST Descriptors using Bayesian Network classifier.

Extraction Method	Learning Time (s)	Execution Time (s)	Recognition Rate (%)	Error Rate (%)
Texture	12.02	528.65	86.06	13.94
GIST	7.12	298.62	98.18	01.82
Walsh	11.10	476.88	69.09	30.91

The following figures give the confusion matrix of each descriptor.



Fig 4: Confusion matrix of the recognition system based on the Texture descriptor and Bayesian network classifier.

The Figure 4 gives the confusion matrix of the recognition system based on the Texture descriptor and the Bayesian network classifier while the Figure 5 gives the confusion matrix of the recognition system based on the GIST descriptor and the Bayesian network classifier in the case of using kmeans segmentation directly and the case of regrouping region.



Fig 5: Confusion matrix of the recognition system based on the GIST descriptor and Bayesian network classifier.

The Figure 6 illustrates the confusion matrix of the recognition system based on the Walsh transform and a Bayesian network classifier.



Fig 6: Confusion matrix of the recognition system based on the Walsh transformation descriptor and Bayesian network classifier.

In addition to their good recognition rates, the processing time (execution and learning times) of the GIST descriptors is considerably less than the processing time (execution and learning time) of the Texture and Walsh transformation descriptors. This is what makes GIST descriptor an effective means of description and recognition compared with the other descriptors.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the Tifinagh character recognition system based on the texture, Walsh transform and the GIST descriptors as extraction algorithms and a Bayesian networks as a classifier is presented. For this recognition system, the effect of using different extraction algorithm is discussed. The performance of the proposed system has been experimentally analyzed. The successful experimental results proved that the proposed recognition system gives good results for the GIST descriptors. However, some character remains a challenge that stills need more attention in order to increase precision and accuracy of the Tifinagh character recognition system. Also, the tifinagh character segmentation must be considered in the future work for more accuracy of the possible online recognition system.

6. REFERENCES

- Y. Es Saady, A. Rachidi, M. El Yassa, D. Mammass. (2010). Printed Amazigh Character Recognition by a Syntactic Approach using Finite Automata, ICGST-GVIP Journal, Volume 10, Issue 2, June 2010.
- [2] O. Bencharef, M. Fakir, N. Idrissi, B. Bouikhalen et B. Minaoui. (2011). Application de la géométrie riemannienne à la reconnaissance des caractères Tifinaghe, Agadir-Maroc, pp : 179 :188, 06-07 Mai 2011.
- [3] R. El Ayachi, M. Fakir and B. Bouikhalen. (2012). Transformation de Fourier et moments invariants appliqués à la reconnaissance des caractères Tifinaghe, Revue eTI, Numéro 6. 2012.
- [4] .El Ayachi, K. Moro, M. Fakir and B. Bouikhalene. (2010). On The Recognition Of Tifinaghe Scripts, JATIT, vol. 20, No. 2, pp: 61-66, 2010.
- [5] Y. Es Saady, A. Rachidi, M. El Yassa and D. Mammass. Amazigh Handwritten Character Recognition based on Horizontal and Vertical Centerline of Character. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, Vol. 33, pp. 33-50, Août 2011.
- [6] O. Bencharef, M. Fakir, B. Minaoui and B. Bouikhalene. Tifinagh Character Recognition Using Geodesic Distances, Decision Trees & Neural Networks. (IJACSA) International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, Special Issue on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 1-5, 2011.
- [7] M. OUJAOURA, B. MINAOUI, M. FAKIR, B. BOUIKHALENE, R. EL AYACHI and O. BENCHAREF, Invariant Descriptors and Classifiers Combination for Recognition of Isolated Printed Tifinagh Characters, (IJACSA) International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, special issue on selected papers from third international symposium on automatic amazigh processing SITACAM13, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp.22–28, 2013. Published by The Science and Information Organization, New York, USA.
- [8] Mustapha OUJAOURA, R. EL AYACHI, O. BENCHAREF, Y. CHIHAB and B. JARMOUNI, application of data mining tools for recognition of tifinagh characters, (IJACSA) International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, special issue on selected papers from third international symposium on automatic amazigh processing SITACAM13, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp.1–4, 2013. Published by The Science and Information Organization, New York, USA.
- [9] H. MOUDNI, M. ER-ROUIDI, Mustapha OUJAOURA and O. BENCHAREF, Recognition of Amazigh characters using SURF & GIST descriptors, (IJACSA) International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, special issue on selected papers from third international symposium on automatic amazigh

processing SITACAM13, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp.41–44, 2013. Published by The Science and Information Organization, New York, USA.

- [10] R. Haralick, K. Shanmugan, and I. Dinstein. Textural features for image classification. IEEE Transactions on SMC, 3(6): pp. 610–621, 1973.
- [11] Aude Oliva and Antonio Torralba. Modeling the shape of the scene : A holistic representation of the spatial envelope. International Journal of Computer Vision, 42 : pp. 145–175, 2001.
- [12] Aude Oliva, Antonio Torralba, Building the gist of a scene: the role of global image features in recognition, Progress in Brain Research, 2006.
- [13] Hans G. Feichtinger, Thomas Strohmer: "Gabor Analysis and Algorithms", Birkhäuser, 1998.
- [14] Olivier Augereau, Nicholas Journet, Jean-Philippe Domenger, "Reconnaissance et Extraction de Pièces d'identité : Une application industrielle à la détection de cartes d'identité et de passeports," 1re soumission à CIFED 2012, le 8 décembre 2011.
- [15] Ann.Becker, Patrick Naim : les réseaux bayésiens : modèles graphiques de connaissance. Eyrolles.1999.
- [16] J. Pearl, "Bayesian Networks" UCLA Cognitive Systems Laboratory, Technical Report (R-216), Revision I. In M. Arbib (Ed.), Handbook of Brain Theory and Neural Networks, MIT Press, 149-153, 1995.
- [17] Sabine Barrat, Modèles graphiques probabilistes pour la reconnaissance de formes, thèse de l'université Nancy 2, Spécialité informatique, décembre 2009.
- [18] George H. John and Pat Langley. Estimating continuous distributions in bayesian classifiers, the Eleventh Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence, 1995.
- [19] Philippe LERAY, Réseaux bayésiens : apprentissage et modélisation de systèmes complexes, Habilitation A Diriger Les Recherches, Spécialité Informatique, Automatique et Traitement du Signal, Université de Rouen, novembre 2006.
- [20] Patrick Naïm, Pierre Henri Wuillemin, Philippe Leray, Olivier pourret, Anna becker, Réseaux bayésiens, Eyrolles, 3ème édition, Paris, 2008.
- [21] Tom .Mitchell: Generative and discriminative classifier: Naïve bayes and logistic regression. Machine learning. Draft 2010.
- [22] Y. Ait ouguengay, M. Taalabi, Elaboration d'un réseau de neurones artificiels pour la reconnaissance optique de la graphie amazighe : Phase d'apprentissage". 5e Conférence internationale sur les "Systèmes Intelligents : Théories et Applications", Paris : Europia, cop. 2009 (impr. au Maroc), ISBN 978-2-909285-55-3. 2009.