A New Approach for Easy Computation by using Θ -Matrix for solving Integer Linear Fractional Programming Problems

V.Seerengasamy, Professor of Mathematics, PSNA College of Engineering and Technology, Dindigul-624 622, Tamil Nadu, India.

ABSTRACT

To minimize the computational effort needed in solving a Integer Linear Fractional programming problem a new approach has been proposed. Here we use θ matrix for finding the solution of the integer linear fractional programming problems.

Keywords:

Integer Linear Fractional Programming Problems, θ matrix and Promising variables.

1. INTRODUCTION

To solve Integer Linear Fractional Programming Problems with reduced computational effort, a new method of approach has been proposed. In this method, among the decision variables, the variables which can enter into the basis are identified and ordered based on the maximum contribution to the objective function. The ordered decision variables one by one are allowed to enter into the basis by checking whether it is still giving an improved solution.

2. GENERAL INTEGER LINEAR FRACTIONAL PROGRAMMING PROBLEMS IN MATRIX FORM

The general Integer Linear Fractional Programming Problems is given by

Extremize Z =
$$\frac{C^T X + c_0}{D^T X + d_0}$$

Subject to

AX (
$$\leq = \geq$$
) P₀

 $X \ge 0$ and are integers Where

K.Jeyaraman, PhD. Dean of Science and Humanities, PSNA College of Engineering and Technology, Dindigul-624622, Tamil Nadu, India.

$$nx1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \qquad P_0 = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Let the columns corresponding to the matrix A be denoted by P_1 , P_2 , P_3 , ..., P_n where

$$\mathbf{P}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{12} \\ a_{22} \\ a_{32} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_{m2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{P}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{13} \\ a_{23} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_{m3} \end{bmatrix} \dots \mathbf{P}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1n} \\ a_{2n} \\ a_{3n} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C^{T} = (c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \dots, c_{n}),$$

 $D^{T} = (d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, \dots, d_{n}) \text{ and } c_{0}, d_{0} \text{ are scalars}$

3. APPROACH

In this new approach to solve Integer Linear Fractional Programming Problems, three phases are included and those phases are given below.

Phase I:

Promising decision variables are identified to enter in the basis and those promising variables are ordered based on the contribution to the objection function.

Phase II :

The arranged promising variables are allowed to enter into the basis in the arranged order after checking whether the newly entering variables will improve the objective function of the problem, keeping the feasibility.

Phase III:

Finding of the improved solution vector.

The above three phases are repeated till the optimum solution reached.

The step by step procedure is as given below

Step 1 : Let iteration = 0

Step 2 : Perform phase I

Step 3 : Perform phase II

Step 4: If the set J is empty then, Perform phase III

Step 5: stop.

Phase I - Ordering of Promising variables

Step 1. Using the intercepts of the decision variables along the respective axes with respect to the chosen basis a matrix is called θ matrix is to be constructed. A typical intercept for the jth variable, \mathbf{x}_{j} due to the ith the

resource,
$$b_i$$
 is $\left\{\frac{b_i}{a_{ij}}\right\} a_{ij} > 0$

The expanded form of θ matrix is

$$\mathbf{S}_1 \quad \mathbf{S}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{S}_i \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{S}_m$$

Each row of the θ matrix consists of m number of intercepts of the decision variable along their respective axes and each column consists of intercepts formed by the number of promising decision variables in each of the m constraints.

Step 2. The minimum intercept and its position in each row of θ matrix is find out. If there are more one minimum intercept then one of them is selected arbitrarily. Multiply the minimum intercept of the variable corresponding to a row with the corresponding contribution coefficient in the objective function both in

the numerator and denominator and the objective function

value
$$\left(\frac{c_j x_j + c_0}{d_j x_j + d_0}\right)$$
 is calculated

v

Step 3. Repeat step2 till the minimum for each row as well as its contribution to the objective function are calculated.

Step 4 . Let $\ell = 0$. J is a set consisting of the subscript of the promising variables.

Step 5. Select the variable whose
$$\begin{pmatrix} c_j x_j + c_j \\ d_j x_j + d_j \end{pmatrix}$$

value is the largest. If the same largest $\begin{pmatrix} c_j x_j + c_j \\ d_j x_j + d_j \end{pmatrix}$

occurs, for more than one variable then the variable that has maximum contribution including the fractional value is taken as the promising variable. If that is also same then select any one arbitrarily.

Step 6. Let it be x_R . Then x_R is selected as the promising variable.

Step 7. Increment ℓ by 1. The subscript of the variable x_R is stored as the l^{th} element in set J.

Step 8. The row corresponding to the variable x_R as well as the other rows whose minimum occurs in the column at which the minimum for X_R occurs are deleted

Step 9. Step 5 to 8 are repeated till either all the rows or all the columns are deleted.

Step 10. The set of variables collected in Steps 5 to 8 are the ordered promising variables.

Let J= { Subscripts of the promising variables arranged in the descending

order
$$\left(\frac{c_j x_j + c_0}{d_j x_j + d_0}\right)$$
 value }.

Let ℓ be the total number of elements in the set J.

Phase II – Arranged variables are allowed to enter into the basis

The arranged promising variables are allowed to enter into the basis one by one based on the entering criteria. The step by step procedure is given below.

Step 1. Let k = 1, X_{R} is the solution vector and flag(=0) is the flag vector.

flag =
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1}$$

;

 $P_{0-old} = P_0$ Step 2. Iteration is incremented by 1.

Step 3. The k^{th} element in the set J is selected and let it be j. Then the entering variable is x_i .

Step 4. Computation of x_i value.

The value with which x_j can enter into the basis is computed by using the following formula

$$\theta_{k} = \min \{ \inf \{ \frac{(P_{0-old})_{i}}{(P_{j})_{i}} \}$$

(P_j)_i > 0 } i = 1,2,3...m

Step 5. If θ_k =1 and k=1 then the value of α =1 else the value of α is chosen between 0 to 1 (Let α =0.5)

Compute S = int (
$$\alpha \theta_k$$
)
 \mathcal{E}_k = int (1- α) θ_k

Step 6. S is added to the jth element of the vector X_B and 1 is added to flag_i

Step 7. P_0 vector is modified using the relation

$$(P_{0-new})_i = (P_{0-old})_i - (P_j)_i S_i = 1,2,...m$$

Step 8. (P_{0-old}) is replaced by (P_{0-new}) If k=1 or S \leq 1 goto step 16

Step 9. Check whether k^{th} element is still promising among the remaining list of ($\ell - k$) promising variables in set J using the following steps.

Step 11. Select the $(k + r)^{th}$ element in this set J. Let it be q. Then the variable corresponding position x_{q} .

Step 12. Find θ_a using the formula

$$\theta_{q} = \min \{ \inf \{ \frac{(P_{0-old})_{i}}{(P_{j})_{i}} \}; (P_{j})_{i} > 0 \}$$
$$\mathcal{E}_{q} = \left(\frac{c_{q}\theta_{q} + c_{0}}{d_{q}\theta_{q} + d_{0}} \right)$$

Step 13. If $\mathcal{E}_k < \mathcal{E}_q$ goto step 15

Step 14. Increment r by one

If $r \leq (\ell - k)$ then go to step 11. Else go to step 16.

Step 15. k is replaced by k + r and \mathcal{E}_k is replaced by \mathcal{E}_a

If $k < \ell$ goto step 10

Step 16. If flag $_k \leq 1$ goto step 3. Else go to Perform Phase I.

Phase III – Determination of new (improved) solution vector to the Integer Linear Fractional Programming Problems

Except for the most promising variable in the solution set obtained in phase II the values of remaining variables are set to zero. Taking this as starting solution, phase I and II are performed until improved solution is obtained. If there is no improvement the next promising variable value along with the most promising variable also is retained and the remaining basic variables made to zero. Phase III is repeated until the basic variables list exhausted.

4. ALGORITHM

Stage I. The basic variables are arranged according to the descending order of their contribution to the objective function

Step 1. $\ell = 0$, k =0, n₁ is is the number of basic variables having nonzero values in the solution

Step 2. X is the solution vector obtained in phase II.

Step 3. If ℓ^{th} element in X, ie $X_{\ell} > 0$, then

Multiply
$$\left(\frac{c_{\ell}x_{\ell}+c_{0}}{d_{\ell}x_{\ell}+d_{0}}\right)$$
, let it be stored as kth

row 0^{*th*} column element of array W and ℓ is stored as k ^{*th*} row 1^{*th*} column element of array W. k is incremented by one.

Step 4. ℓ is incremented by one

Step 5. If $\ell < n_1$ then go ostep 3.

Step 6. The array W is sorted in the descending order t_{th}^{th}

based on the 0^{th} column values of W

Stage II. Finding the solution by assigning all the variable values except one in the basis to zero level. *Step 7.* k = 0

Phase - I

Step 8. $\ell = 0$ Step 9. i = 0Step 10. If i > k then

$$J = W_{i1}$$
$$X_{i} = 0$$

Step 11. i is incremented by one

Step 12. If $i < n_1$ then go to step 10

Step 13. Now P_c is the current resource vector or (

RHS) and corresponding objective function value \mathbf{Z}_1 is calculated.

Stage III. Find the new solution

Step 14. Use phase I and phase II and find the new solution X which is stored as $Y(\ell)$ and the corresponding objective function value Z_2 is stored as V (ℓ).

Step 15. ℓ is incremented by one

Step 16. If $\ell < n_1$ then go to step 9

Step 17. Find the largest of V (ℓ) and its position pos, where ($0 \le \ell < n_1$), Let it be stored in Z₃.

Step 18. If $Z_3 > Z$, then Replace X by Y (pos) goto

step 1. else if $k < n_1$ then increment k by 1.goto step 8.

5. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Solve the following Integer linear fractional Programming Problem. Maximize $Z = 4x_1 + 17x_2 + 24x_3 + 23x_4 + 19x_5 + 13x_6 + 2$

 $\frac{1}{2x_1+3x_2+4x_3+6x_{4+}3x_5+3x_6+50}$ Subject to the constraints $4x_1+2x_2+5x_3+7x_4+7x_5+7x_6 \le 325$ $4x_1+2x_2+4x_3+9x_4+9x_5+x_6 \le 400$ $9x_1+3x_2+x_3+4x_4+7x_5+9x_6 \le 425$ $5x_1+3x_2+x_3+2x_4+4x_5+6x_6 \le 425$ Where $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 \ge 0$ and all are integers

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ 4 & 2 & 4 & 9 & 9 & 1 \\ 9 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 7 & 9 \\ 5 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$P_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 325 \\ 400 \\ 425 \\ 425 \\ 425 \end{pmatrix}, P_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 9 \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, P_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ \end{pmatrix}, P_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3} \\ x_{4} \\ x_{5} \\ x_{6} \end{pmatrix}, P_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 9 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ \end{pmatrix}, P_{5} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 9 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ \end{pmatrix}, P_{6} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{C}^{\rm T}=(4,17,24,23,19,13~)~,~\mathbf{D}^{\rm T}=(~2,3,4,6,3,5,50~)~,\mathbf{C}_0=2~,~\mathbf{D}_0=50.$

To find θ Matrix $d_j \quad c_j \ x_j$ $\theta =$ 2 4 ^{*x*1} Г 81.25 100.00 47.22 85.00 1.32 3 17 x_2 162.50 200.00 141.67 5.07 141.67 4 24 *x*₃ **65.00** 5.04 100.00 425.00 425.00 23 x₄ 6 46.43 44.44 106.25 212.50 3.23 19 x_5 3 46.43 44.44 60.71 106.25 4.62 3 13 x₆ **46.43** J 3.20 400.00 47.22 70.83 Arrangement of promising variables $J = \{2, 3, 5\}$ Phase - II $X_2 \rightarrow$ promising variable $\theta = \min \left\{ int\left(\frac{325}{2}, \frac{400}{2}, \frac{425}{3}, \frac{425}{3}\right) \right\} = \min \left(162, 200, 141, 141\right) = 141$ **∴** S = 70 $Z = \frac{1190 + 2}{210 + 50} = \frac{1192}{260} = 4.581$ $(P_0 - new)_{1=} 325 - 70 \times 2 = 185$ $(P_0 - new)_{2} = 400 - 70 \times 2 = 260$ $(P_0 - new)_{3} = 425 - 70 \times 3 = 215$ $(P_0 - new)_{4} = 425 - 70 \times 3 = 215$ $X_2 \rightarrow$ promising variable $\theta = \min \left\{ int\left(\frac{185}{2}, \frac{260}{2}, \frac{215}{3}, \frac{215}{3}\right) \right\} = \min \left(92, 130, 71, 71\right) = 71$ •• S = 35 $Z = \frac{17 \times 35 + 1192}{3 \times 35 + 260} = 4.90$ $(P_0 - new)_{1 =} 115, (P_0 - new)_{2 =} 190,$ $(P_0 - new)_{3=} 110, (P_0 - new)_{4=} 110$ $X_2 \rightarrow$ promising variable $\theta = \min \left\{ int\left(\frac{115}{2}, \frac{190}{2}, \frac{110}{3}, \frac{110}{3}\right) \right\}$ = minimum (57, 95, 36, 36) = 36 •• S = 18 $Z = \frac{17 \times 18 + 1787}{3 \times 18 + 365} = 5.00$ (P₀ - new)_{1 =}79,(P₀ - new)_{2 =} 154,(P₀ - new)_{3 =}56,(P₀ $new)_{4=}56$ $X_3 \rightarrow$ promising variable $\theta = \min \left\{ int\left(\frac{79}{5}, \frac{152}{4}, \frac{56}{1}, \frac{56}{1}\right) \right\}$ = minimum (15, 38, 56,56) = 15 •• S = 7 $Z = \frac{7 \times 24 + 2093}{7 \times 4 + 419} = 5.06$ $(P_0 - new)_1 = 44, (P_0 - new)_2 = 126, (P_0 - new)_3 = 49, (P_0 - new)_3 = 40, (P_0 - new)_3 = 40, (P_$ $(new)_{4} = 49$

X₃ → promising variable θ = minimum { $int\left(\frac{44}{5}, \frac{126}{4}, \frac{49}{1}, \frac{49}{1}\right)$ } = minimum (8, 31, 49,49) = 8

... S = 4 $Z = \frac{4 \times 24 + 2261}{4 \times 4 + 447} = \frac{2357}{463} = 5.09$ (P₀ - new)_{1 =} 24,(P₀ - new)_{2 =}110,(P₀ - new)_{3 =} 45,(P₀ $new)_{4=}$ 45

 $X_3 \rightarrow$ promising variable $\theta = \min \left\{ int\left(\frac{24}{5}, \frac{110}{4}, \frac{45}{1}, \frac{45}{1}\right) \right\}$ = minimum (4, 27, 45, 45) = 4 \therefore S = 2 $Z = \frac{2 \times 24 + 2357}{2 \times 4 + 463} = 5.11$ (P₀ - new)₁ = 14,(P₀ - new)₂ = 102,(P₀ - new)₃ = 43,(P₀ $new)_{4} = 43$ The current solution is $x_2 = 123$, $x_3 = 13$ $Z = \frac{123 \times 17 + 24 \times 13 + 2}{3 \times 123 + 4 \times 13 + 50} = \frac{2405}{471} = 5.11$ Repeating this procedures Phase I and Phase II we get the

solution as

The current solution is $x_2 = 130$, $x_3 = 13$ $Z = \frac{130 \times 17 + 24 \times 13 + 2}{3 \times 130 + 4 \times 13 + 50} = \frac{2524}{492} = 5.13$

x2 is retained 130 and remaining variables are set to zero , that is $x_3 = 0$

Now
$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 65\\140\\35\\35 \end{pmatrix}$$

Following similarly we get the final solution the optimal solution is

 $x_2 = 130, x_3 = 13$ $MaxZ = \frac{130 \times 17 + 24 \times 13 + 2}{3 \times 130 + 4 \times 13 + 50} = \frac{2524}{492} = 5.13$

6. CONCLUSION

In this a new approach to solve Integer Linear Fractional Programming problem has been discussed. The above algorithm rendered best optimal solution. In Future this method can be applied in Zero-One Integer Linear Fractional Programming to get better optimal solution.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My sincere thanks to my guide Dr.K.Jeyaraman & Dr.S.Sakthivel Principal, PSNA-CET-DGL. I whole heartly thank for the cooperation rendered by my wife Mrs.S.Jayalakshmi.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] C.Audet, P.Hansen, B.Jaumard and G.Savard, Journal of Optimization theory and Application. Vol.93, No.2, (1997) 273-300.
- A.I.Barros, J.B.G. Frenk, S.Schaible and S. Zhang, A [2] new algorithm for generalized fractional programs Mathematical Programming 72 (1996), 2. 147-175.
- [3] A.Charles, W.W. Cooper An explicit general solution in linear fractional programming, Vol.20 449-467 September 1973.
- [4] Erik B.Bajalinov Linear Fractional programming theory, methods, Applications and Siftware.
- [5] Fengquiyou & Ignacio Grossmam. Solving Mixed-Integer Linear Fractional Programming Problems with Dinkelbach's Algorithm and MINLP methods
- "Operations [6] Hamdy A.Taha, Research-An Introduction", Seventh Edition, Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited, 2004
- [7] H.Ishii, T. Ibaraki and H.Mine, Fractional knapsack problems, Mathematical Programming 13 (1976), 3, 255-271.
- [8] Kanti Swarup, Gupta P.K.Manmohan, "Operations Research", Sultan Chand and Sons, 2010.
- [9] G.KarthiKeyan,"Design of a new computer oriented algorithm to solve linear programming problems", Ph.D., thesis, Alagappa University, India, May 2011.
- [10] Stancu-Minasian, I.M. Fractional programming theory, methods and applications series, Mathematics and its Application Vol. 409 (1997)432p.
- [11] Suresh Chandra, M. Chandra Mohan, A note on integer linear fractional programming, Volume 27 (1980)171-174.
- [12] L.Vicente, G.Savard and S.Judics, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 89, No.3 (1996) 597-614.
- [13] Wukfred Candler and Robert Townsley, Computers and Operations Research, 9(1982) 59-76.