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#### Abstract

Agriculture is one of the most important inventions of human civilization. The development of human civilization and development of agriculture technology were the two wheels of the cart. Unfortunately, it has been witnessed that the development of agriculture technology is not in the same ratio as human civilization is developed. Traditional tools and techniques used for forming are neither sufficient to predict nor, to optimize production results of yield. The agricultural data is diversified, complex and non-standard and information available about agriculture is in the form of static maps or tables or reports. Such information is not flexible enough to provide quick answers to the queries of farmers and decision makers. In this view the computerization of agricultural data is increasing need for economist and decision makers.

Data warehouse technology is a dynamic and versatile technology capable of providing information to farmers for efficient planning and implementation. Historically, data warehouses have been implemented in marketing and financial institutions. However, a remarkable shift in agricultural practices has occurred over the past century in response to new technologies. This also led to design an agriculture data warehouse and provide a decision support system, based on OLAP and data mining techniques. In the absence of computational model, an enormous amount of redundancy of data is observed, it increases complexities in OLAP. To overcome this redundancy in operational data, a mathematical procedure is needed for computation of decision coefficient. In this manuscript, we are introducing a computational model to optimize cropping system that leads to design of data warehouse for agriculture.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Agricultural data is diversified and complex. It has large volume and many inter-related attributes. Extracting useful information and, decision making on this large volume of data is difficult and costly. As a result we require an efficient model that stores agricultural data in proper manner and efficient to response ad-hoc queries of the farmers and economist. In particular, agriculture technology is dependent on the prediction about weather and diagnosis of fertilizers in soil, type of crop and environmental issues. Too precisely for particular crop, we have to select proper quantity of various minerals and fertilizers related to a particular soil ${ }^{[1]}$. Similarly, the other attributes related to environment and weather may be classified on the basis of cold, rain, humidity, heat and other issues. The optimal selections of all these attributes help us to predict the production of crop and diagnose all related agricultural issues. The proposed model
produces mathematical values of decision making coefficient for production of various types of crop, in a particular land type and in a particular environmental attributes. The mathematical equations and supported tables are included to rectify the purpose of the model.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

A decision support system (DSS) is a computerbased information system that supports business or organizational decision-making activities. Decision support systems can be either fully computerized or human based or a combination of both. The expert system is a computer system that emulates the decision-making ability of a human expert.

An expert system for use in land drainage decisions was designed to diagnose the causes of drainage problems in the command area of an irrigation system ${ }^{[2]}$. Factors such as water regime in the soil profile, presence of a cultivation pan or an impermeable layer below the topsoil etc., were considered. An expert system for crop variety selection was developed for winter wheat in Scotland ${ }^{[3]}$. The developed system was designed to consider soil characteristics, water availability and prevalence of diseases. An agricultural decision support system intends to help the farmers to make better decisions and provide useful advice, thus fills the knowledge gap between the expert and the user.

## 3. COMPUTATIONAL MODEL FOR AGRICULTURAL DSS

In this model we attempts to explore eight types of soil, depends on combinatory percentage of various fertilizers. We also classify crops in twelve major classes and propose a classifier for weather based on calendar months. These attributes are well placed in the model that systematically evaluates performance of crops for a particular soil, weather and for other agricultural attributes. The major inputs of the model are described in the following sections.

### 3.1 Calendar Months

Every crop needs specific weather for the best result; therefore the success ratio of a particular crop is depended on its seeding time. In our mathematical model, we use 12 months calendar as a main classifier of weather ${ }^{[4]}$, we hypothetically assume maximum yield of a crop is possible when it is sowing in suitable month of the calendar. Although, forecasting about weather is unpredicted and dependent to some factors such as cold, rain, humidity and heat.

### 3.2 Crop-Set

There are hundreds of crops, and their success level depends on some attributes such as start time, ending time, requirements of fertilizers and type of seeds. In our model similar types of crops are put together. We identify twelve major crop-sets corresponding to 12 months of calendar by
their seeding time. These crop-sets consists number of crops having similar weather and environmental needs ${ }^{[5]}$. These crops are highly related to a particular class, and every crop belongs to particular crop-set. Here, we are putting similar crops in a set. These crop sets may be identified by the name of major crop belongs to it.

### 3.3 Fertilizer-set

In a particular type of soil, there are various fertilizers and minerals. The impact of fertilizers on production of any crop is significantly measured. We can identify various types of soil based on combinatory ratio of these fertilizers. In our study we identified 8 major fertilizers that are naturally available in soil, for this study fertilizer-set FS is defined as: $F S=\{$ Urea (U), Phosphorus (P), Iron (Fe), Nitrogen (N), Zinc (Z), Sulfur (S), Calcium (Ca), Potassium (K)\}. Further on the basis of the ratio of above fertilizers presence in soil, we can identify other soil types. Following table displays classification of soil type depends on percentage of fertilizers in a soil. In this table we hypothetically demonstrate 8 different type of soil based on fertilizer-set $F S$, however for the generalization purpose we can identify $n$ types of soil, depending on combinatory ratio of fertilizers-set $F S$. We can also identified other fertilizer-sets , that may has other elements as defined in the set $F S$ i.e., for any fertilizer-set where $F S \neq\{$ Urea (U), Phosphorus (P), Iron (Fe), Nitrogen (N), $\operatorname{Zinc}(Z)$, Sulfur (S), Calcium (Ca), Potassium (K) \}. By this way we can generalize the fertilizer-set, which may change with geographical regions.

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{No} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Soil } \\ \text { Type } \end{array}$ | Percentage of Fertilizers in Fertilizer Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weight of Fertilizer <br> $\left(P_{f}\right)$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Weight } \\ & \left(F_{n}\right) \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U | $P$ | Fe | $N$ | Z | S | Ca | $K$ |  |  |
| 1 | SI | 20 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | 10 | $U 2+N 5+K 1$ | 8 |
| 2 | S2 | 20 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 10 | - | - | - | $\mathrm{U} 2+\mathrm{P} 3+\mathrm{Fel}+\mathrm{Nl}+\mathrm{Zl}$ | 8 |
| 3 | S3 | 20 |  | 10 | 20 | 10 | 20 | - | - | $\mathrm{U} 2+\mathrm{Fel}+\mathrm{N} 2+\mathrm{Z} 1+\mathrm{S} 2$ | 8 |
| 4 | 54 | - | 30 | - | 20 | - | - | 10 | 20 | P3 + N2 + Cal + K2 | 8 |
| 5 | S5 | 10 | - | - | 30 | - | 10 | 20 | 10 | U1 + N3 + S1 + Ca2 2 K1 | 8 |
| 6 | S6 | 40 | 10 | - | 10 | - | 20 | - | - | $U 4+P 1+N 1+S 2$ | 8 |
| 7 | S7 | - | - | 40 | 10 | 20 | - | 10 | - | $14+N 1+22+$ Cal | 8 |
| 8 | 58 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | - | 30 | - | - | $\mathrm{Ul} 1+\mathrm{Pl}+\mathrm{Fel}+\mathrm{N} 2+S 3$ | 8 |
| Table 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In the model, further we assign 8 -unit weight to each type of soil; each unit of weight corresponds to $10 \%$ availability of a particular fertilizer in any fertilizer- set. For example soil type S1 contains $20 \%$ of Urea, $50 \%$ of Nitrogen and $10 \%$ of Potassium (Table 3.1) and, rest $20 \%$ includes other elements in soil. We are not included such $20 \%$ in our study, assuming it is non-deterministic minerals, as soil has many other impurities in it.

### 3.4 Irrigation

Irrigation is an important factor that is also incorporated in our model; we have taken three possible states of irrigation; high irrigation, medium irrigation and low irrigation. We have assigned constant values to each of these levels of irrigation, for the computational purpose.

### 3.5 Seed Quality

With the development of biotechnology, researcher produces high quality of seeds; the production rate of any yield is certainly dependent on quality of seed. As we have done for irrigation, quality of seeds is also classified in three levels, high quality, medium quality and low quality. We also
assigned constant values to these levels of seed quality in our model.

## 4. DETAIL DESCRIPTION

In this model we introduce a crop cycle based on their starting time and required soil type. The five main attributes: (1) calendar months (2) crop-sets (3) soil-type (4) irrigation and (5) quality of seeds, are placed in from inner cycles to outer cycles respectively (figure 4.1) ${ }^{[6]}$. Further the model is horizontally dived into in twelve regions each corresponds to month of calendar. The detail description of the model is given as follows:

- The 12 calendar months are main classifier of weather and placed at innermost cycle (cycle 1) in clockwise direction. Every calendar month is represented by its order in calendar year. For example January is numbered as 1 ; February is numbered as 2 and so on.
- The crop set are identified by major crop belongs to that crop set, these crop set are represented by roman numbers in the model. The crops are placed at cycle 2 in anti-clockwise direction. Each crop set is placed corresponding to their starting month. In our study we have assumed standard starting month of crop-set I is December, and it is placed in month of December. In the same fashion crop-set XII is placed in month of January, crop-set XI is placed in February and so on i.e. the sum of month number and crop set is always 13 .
- Cycle 3 corresponds to a particular fertilizer set, and type of soil belongs to that fertilizer set. In our study we identified 8 major fertilizers that are naturally available in soil, further we assign 1 unit weight for every $10 \%$ of availability of a particular fertilizer in a fertilizer set. By this way, total weight of each soil type is 8 units per section. It means we are measuring only $80 \%$ of soil in our study, rest $20 \%$ are ignored assuming as nondeterministic data.
- The cycle 4 corresponds to irrigation factor. We have assign total 3 unit weight to irrigation for high value of irrigation, 2 unit weights for medium irrigation and for low irrigation weight is equal to 1 unit.
- At outermost cycle, i.e. cycle 5, we have assign total 3 unit weight for high quality of seed, 2 unit weight for medium quality of seed and for low quality of seed weight is equal to 1 unit.


### 4.1 Mathematical Procedure and Working

To demonstrate working of the model, first we consider ideal state of the model; by the ideal state we mean the state in which a particular crop gives best possible production. In other words, if a crop receives most favorable fertilizer- set, most favorable weather with best quality of seed and, also receives proper irrigation, it results best possible production of yield and it is said to be in ideal state. In figure 4.1 the model is in ideal state i.e. the crop-sets are inseminated in the proper calendar month and also receives most suitable fertilizers, irrigation and seed quality is high. Mathematically, if $C_{j}$ is sequence of crop- set and $M_{k}$ is the sequence of sowing month for any crop-set, then weight of seeding month and crop-set is given as:
$M_{w}=(M+C)$
Where:
$\mathrm{M}=$ Sequence of Seeding month in calendar,
C $=$ Sequence of crop-set

Let, $F_{w}$ is the total weight of fertilization factor and $I_{w}$, and $S_{w}$ is the weight of irrigation factors and seed-quality factor
respectively, then total weight $T_{w}$ of any particular crop set for best result is given as:

Figure 4.1 Computational Model for Agricultural Decision Support System


| Explanation Figure 4.1 |  |  | S1 = Soil Type 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | S2 = Soil Type 2 |
| $\mathrm{I}=$ Crop-Set 1 | VII $=$ Crop-Set 7 | 7= July | S3 = Soil Type 3 |
| II = Crop-Set 2 | VIII $=$ Crop-Set 8 | $8=$ August | S4 = Soil Type 4 |
| III $=$ Crop-Set 3 | IX $=$ Crop-Set 9 | 9 = September | S5 = Soil Type 5 |
| IV = Crop-Set 4 | X $=$ Crop-Set 10 | $10=$ October | S6 = Soil Type 6 |
| $\mathrm{V}=$ Crop-Set 5 | XI = Crop-Set 11 | 11 = November | S7 = Soil Type 7 |
| VI = Crop-Set 6 | XII $=$ Crop-Set 12 | $12=$ December | S8 = Soil Type 8 |

$T_{w}=M_{w}+F_{w}+I_{w}+S_{w}$
Where:
$M_{w}=$ Weight of seeding month for any crop set i.e. $\quad M_{w}$ $=\left(M_{j}+C_{k}\right)$.
$F_{w}=$ Weight of fertilization factor
$I_{w}=$ Weight of irrigation factor
$S_{w}=$ Weight of seed-quality factor

### 4.1.1 Assigning the Weights

- Observe the values of calendar month cycle and values of crop-set cycle, as the calendar month is kept in clockwise direction and crop-set are taken place in anti-clock wise direction that resultant, the sum of both of these cycle values is always 13 , i.e. $M_{w}=\left(M_{j}+C_{k}\right)=13$.
- Further the total weight of fertilization factor in ideal state is calculated by assuming that all the required fertilizers of any fertilizer -set are available in proper ratio for a particular soil-type. We have already assigned 1 unit weight for every $10 \%$ of availability, of a particular fertilizer in the fertilizer set. Hence, the total weight of each soil-type is 8 units per section. Therefore the total weight $F_{w}=8$ for ideal state of the model.
- In our model we assign total 3 units of weight for irrigation factor $I_{w}$, the value for irrigation factor $I_{w}=3$ if the irrigation is high , irrigation factor $I_{w}=2$ if irrigation is medium and, irrigation factor $I_{w}=1$ if irrigation is low.
- Similarly, the weight of seed-quality $S_{w}$ is also assign by 3 unit of total weight and the values of $S_{w}=3$ for high quality seed, $S_{w}=2$ for medium quality and $S_{w}=1$ for low quality seeds.
From the above considerations, the total weight $T_{w}$ for ideal state is given by equation (4.2)
$T_{w}=M_{w}+F_{w}+I_{w}+S_{w}$
$T_{w}=13+8+3+3=27$
Hypothetically, we assume the production of crop is $100 \%$ if model score all 27 units of total weight $T_{w}$
Let $\Omega$ is a multiplicative constant to calculate the value of each point in percent then $\Omega$ is be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=100 / 27=3.7037 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, every unit of weight corresponds to 3.7037 percent of success of the crop.
For a particular case, Let $P_{m}$ is the total points of months, that are obtained by adding the values of cycle 1 and cycle 2 , i.e. $P_{m}=\left(M_{j}+C_{k}\right)$, where $M_{j}$ is the sequence of month and $C_{k}$ is the sequence of crop-set in a particular region. Similarly, we assume $P_{f}$ is total points of fertilizers earn in cycle $3, P_{i}$ is total points of irrigation factor earn in cycle 4 , and finally $P_{s}$ is the total points of seed-quality earned in the outermost cycle. Then, $P_{m}, P_{f}, P_{i}$ and $P_{s}$ is calculated as follows:

### 4.2 Points of Month $\left(P_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)$

According to the model the sum of month-sequence and cropset are measured together, for the ideal state total weight of months $M_{w}=13$, and if $d$ is the displacement from seeding month of any crop-set from the seeding month in ideal state of the model, then we have
$P_{m}=M_{w} \times \Omega-(d \times \Omega)$
$P_{m}=13 \times 3.7037-(d \times \Omega)$ or
$P_{m}=48.1481-(d \times \Omega) \ldots \ldots$

In above equation displacement $d$ is the number of moths between sowing month of any crop, and sowing month in ideal state. Observe the model, any crop belongs to crop-set 1 is should be started in the month of December for the ideal state, suppose it is inseminated in the month of January or November, then the displacement $d$ is equal to 1 , and if it is inseminated in the month of October or February the displacement is equal to 2 , and so on. Reader may observe this by rotating crop-set cycle on the inner month- cycle, based on this logic displacement can be calculate by following algorithm.

### 4.2.1 Displacement Algorithm

Displacement $\left(M_{j}, C_{k}\right)^{[7]}$
$/ / M_{j}$ is the sequence of calendar month.
$/ / C_{k}$ is the sequence of crop-set
$/ / P_{m}$ is the total point of month i.e., $P_{m}=M_{j}+C_{k}$,
$/ /$ where $/ / 1 \leq j \leq 12$ and $1 \leq k \leq 12$.
// disp is a temporary variable for displacement of any $/ /$ crop-//set from ideal state, $d$ is the output $/ / P_{m}, d$ and, disp are declared as integer variables and $/ / M_{w}=13$ initialize.

I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{m}=M_{j}+C_{k} \\
& \text { if }\left(P_{m}==M_{w}\right) \operatorname{disp}=0 \\
& \text { if }\left(P_{m}<M_{w}\right) \operatorname{disp}=P_{m}-1 \\
& \text { if }\left(P_{m}>M_{w}\right) \operatorname{disp}=P_{m}-T_{w} \\
& \text { if }(\operatorname{disp} \leq 6)\{ \\
& \qquad d=\operatorname{disp} \\
& \text { \} }
\end{aligned}
$$

else \{

$$
d=12-d i s p
$$

$$
\text { \} }
$$

$$
\text { \} }
$$

With the above algorithm the value of d is between 0 and 6 i.e., $0 \leq \mathrm{d} \leq 6$. We now put $d=(0,1,2,3,4,5,6)$ in equation (4.5), then values of $P_{m}$ are given as follows:

Table 4.1

|  | $\boldsymbol{P m}=$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{d}=\boldsymbol{0}$ | 48.1481 |
| $\boldsymbol{d}=\boldsymbol{1}$ | 44.4444 |
| $\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{2}$ | 40.7407 |
| $\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{3}$ | 37.0370 |
| $\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{4}$ | 33.3333 |
| $\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{5}$ | 29.6296 |
| $\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{6}$ | 25.9259 |

### 4.3 Point of Fertilization factor $\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{f}\right)$

In section 4.1.1, we have defined maximum weight of fertilization factor $F_{w}=8$, i.e. $F_{w}=(0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8)$ we can calculate point of fertilization factor $P_{f}$, by multiplying each value of $F_{w}$ by multiplicative constant $\Omega$.
$P_{f}=\left(F_{w} \times \Omega\right)$
For each possible value of $F_{w}, P_{f}$ may be given as

Table 4.2

|  | $\boldsymbol{P}_{f=}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{w}}=\mathbf{0}$ | 0 |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{w}=\mathbf{1}$ | 3.7037 |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{w}=\mathbf{2}$ | 7.4074 |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{w}}=3$ | 11.1111 |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{w}=\mathbf{4}$ | 14.8148 |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{w}=5$ | 18.5185 |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{w}=\mathbf{6}$ | 22.2222 |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{w}}=7$ | 25.9259 |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{w}=8$ | 29.6296 |

### 4.4 Point of Irrigation factor ( $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ )

From the section 4.1.1, possible values of irrigation factor $I_{w}$ is equal to 3,2 or 1 for high, medium and low irrigation respectively. Then irrigation factor $P_{i}$ :
$P_{i}=\left(I_{w} \times \Omega\right)$
For each possible value of $I_{w}, P_{i}$ is given in following table
Table 4.3

|  | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{I} w=\mathbf{1}$ | 3.7037 |
| $\boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{w}=\mathbf{2}$ | 7.4074 |
| $\boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{w}=\mathbf{3}$ | 11.1111 |

### 4.5 Point of Seed-quality factor ( $\mathbf{P}_{s}$ )

Similarly, possible values of seed-quality factor $S_{w}$ is equal to 3 , 2 or 1 corresponds to high, medium and low quality of seed. Then seed-quality factor:
$P_{s}=\left(S_{w} \times \Omega\right)$
For each possible value of $I_{w}, P_{i}$ is given in following table

## Table 4.4

|  | $\boldsymbol{P}_{s}=$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{S w}=1$ | 3.7037 |
| $\boldsymbol{S w}=2$ | 7.4074 |
| $\boldsymbol{S w}=3$ | 11.1111 |

### 4.6 Calculating decision coefficient ' $\alpha$ '

To calculate decision coefficient $\alpha$ for any particular case, first we calculate decision coefficient ' $\alpha_{\text {max }}$ ' for ideal state, relook the equation 4.2 and equation 4.3
$T_{w}=M_{w}+F_{w}+I_{w}+S_{w}$
$T_{w}=13+8+3+3=27$
We now find decision coefficient ' $\alpha_{\text {max }}$ ' for ideal state by multiplying each coefficient of equation 4.3 with $\Omega$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\max } & =(13+8+3+3) \times \Omega \\
& =27 \times \Omega \\
& =27 \times 3.7037=99.9999
\end{aligned}
$$

For a particular case the value of success coefficient ' $\alpha$ ', can be calculated by adding values of $P_{m}, P_{f}, P_{i}$ and $P_{s}$ i.e
$\alpha=P_{m}+P_{f}+P_{i}+P_{s}$

## 5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We are including some experimental results for demonstration purpose of our model; the procedure of calculating success coefficient ' $\alpha$ ' is also included for different cases. We have taken examples to simulate both of the major factors seeding time and, fertilization factors, further we have added some cases to visualize the affects of irrigation factor and seed quality facto. Consider following case that shows mathematical results regarding performance of crop.

### 5.1 Example (Case 1)

Let any crop of crop-set I, say - wheat is seeded in March beside its standard seeding month December in soil type 2 i.e. $20 \%$ Urea , 30\% Phosphorus, $10 \%$ Iron, $10 \%$ Nitrogen and $10 \%$ Zinc since the ideal soil type for crop-set is S2 therefore it gets maximum points of fertilizer factor, $F_{w}=8$. For the simplicity it is also assumed that the quality of seed is up to the standard level and irrigation is up to the mark. Then
Crop set is $=\mathrm{I}$ i.e. $C_{k}=1$
Month Sequence is March i.e. $M_{j}=3$
Then $P_{m}=\left(M_{j}+C_{k}\right)=3+1=4$, from the algorithm 4.2 .1 we find the value of displacement i.e. $d=3$, however, more easily go through the table and you can find the same value of $d$ for the crop-set I in the month of November, putting $d=3$ in equation 4.5 we get
$P_{m}=48.1481-(3 \times 3.7037)=37.0370$

As we have assumed that the availability of fertilizers in soil type is in ideal state, then points for fertilizer factor are maximum i.e. $F_{w}=8$ since from equation 4.6 value of $P_{f}$ $P_{f}=8 \times 3.7037=29.6296$
By the example the weights for irrigation $I_{w}=3$ and seed quality $S_{w}=3$, then from equation 4.7 and $4.8, P_{i}=11.1111$ and $P_{s}=11.1111$. We can calculate the value of success coefficient ' $\alpha$ ' by equation 4.9 i.e,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =37.0370+29.6296+11.1111+11.1111 \\
& =88.8888 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.2 Example (Case 2)

Let any crop of crop-set I, say - wheat is seeded in January in soil type-4 i.e. $30 \%$ Phosphorus, , $20 \%$ Nitrogen $10 \%$ Calcium and $20 \%$ Potassium, however from the model the ideal soli type for crop-set I is S2. Therefore points of fertilizer factor, $F_{w}<8$. In this example we assume that the quality of seed is medium level i.e. $S_{w}=2$, but the value and irrigation factor is low $I_{w}=1$. Then according to the model
Crop -set is $=$ I i.e. $C_{k}=1$
Month Sequence is January i.e. $M_{j}=1$
Then $P_{m}=\left(M_{j}+C_{k}\right)=1+1=2$, from the algorithm 4.2.1 we find the value of displacement i.e. $d=1$, putting $d=1$ in equation 4.5 we get
$P_{m}=48.1481-(1 \times 3.7037)=44.4444$

Ideal Land type for crop set I is S2 that has i.e. $20 \%$ Urea, $30 \%$ Phosphorus, $10 \%$ Iron, $10 \%$ Nitrogen and $10 \%$ Zinc, in this case the crop is seeded in soil type S 4 i.e. $30 \%$ Phosphorus, $20 \%$ Nitrogen $10 \%$ Calcium and $20 \%$ Potassium. Then total point of fertilization may me calculated by finding the difference of fertilizers availability in S2 and S4.
Required Fertilizers (L2) $=U 2+P 3+F e 1+N 1+Z 1$
Available Fertilizers $(\mathrm{L} 4)=0+P 3+0+N 2+0+C a 1+K 2$

Total Points $\quad \mathrm{F}_{w}=0+3+0+1+0+0+0=4$

Then from equation 4.6 value of $P_{f}$, is gives as
$P_{f}=4 \times 3.7037=14.8148$
By the example the weights for irrigation $I_{w}=1$ and seed quality $S_{w}=2$, then from equation 4.7 and 4.8
$P_{i}=3.7037$ and $P_{s}=7.4074$. We can calculate the value of success coefficient ' $\alpha$ ' by equation 4.9

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =44.4444+14.8148+3.7037+7.4074 \\
& =70.3703 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.3 Example (Case 3)

For our third example we combining the situation of both of the above case and assuming the crop-set I, is seeded in month of March in the soil type 4 . This time we consider the irrigation factor is up to the mark but seed quality is of medium quality. Then according to the model
Crop set is wheat i.e. $C_{k}=1$
Month Sequence is March i.e. $M_{j}=3$
Then $P_{m}=\left(M_{j}+C_{k}\right)=3+1=4$, from the algorithm 4.2.1 we find the value of displacement i.e. $d=3$, putting $d=3$ in equation 4.5 we get
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}=48.1481-(3 \times 3.7037)=37.0370$
Again, total point of fertilization may me calculated by finding the difference of fertilizers in L2 and L4.
Required Fertilizers $(\mathrm{L} 2)=U 2+P 3+F e 1+N 1+Z 1$
Available Fertilizers (L4) $=0+P 3+0+N 2+0+C a 1+K 2$
Total Points $\quad \mathrm{F}_{w}=0+3+0+1+0+0+0=4$

Then from equation 4.6 value of $P_{f}$; is gives as
$P_{f}=4 \times 3.7037=14.8148$
This time the weights for irrigation $I_{w}=3$ and seed quality $S_{w}$ $=2$, then from equation 4.7 and 4.8
$P_{i}=11.1111$ and $P_{s}=7.4074$. We can calculate the value of success coefficient ' $\alpha$ ' by equation 4.9

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =37.0370+14.8148+11.1111+7.4074 \\
& =62.9629 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. CONCLUSION

The main contribution of the paper lies in the preparation of framework on agricultural data warehouse. The study includes identification and classifying of major agricultural attributes and places them in data warehouse schema. The model provides integrated information about whole crop cycle for the best results. We have also described some novel case of change such as starting time of crop, percentage of fertilizers
and impact of irrigation and seed quality. The model is supported by mathematical equations, and success percentage of crop in a particular weather and land type can easily be evaluated using these equations. This model may consider as a framework of agricultural decision support system and response to the ad-hoc queries of farmers using data mining techniques.

This paper may spurs cross-fertilization of ideas to relate data warehouse technology with agriculture sector. This may open new path for decision support cropping system. I will surprise if the model contribute or add some contribution in developing software application for agricultural data warehouse.
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