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#### Abstract

A strong form of $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function called completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function is introduced and several characterizations of such functions are investigated. The relationships among completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute functions, separation axioms and covering properties are also investigated.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1972, Crossley and Hildebrand [2] introduced the notion of irresoluteness. Various types of irresolute functions have been introduced over the course of years. Recently Thivagar et al.[5],introduced a new class of sets called $\Lambda_{a}$-sets via aclosed sets and investigated several properties of such sets. The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new form of irresolute function called completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function which is stronger than $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute functions. We also investigate the relationships among completely $\Lambda_{a}$ irresolute functions, separation axioms and covering properties.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Y}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Z}, \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ) (or simply $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z ) represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed. For a subset A of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{A})$, $\operatorname{int}(A)$ and $A^{c}$ denote the closure of $A$, interior of $A$ and the complement of A respectively. A subset A of a topological space X is called $\delta$-closed if $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{cl}_{\delta}(\mathrm{A})$ where $\mathrm{cl}_{\delta}(\mathrm{A})=$ $\{x \in X \quad: \operatorname{int}(c l(U)) \cap A \neq \phi, U \in \tau$ and $x \in U\}$. The complement of $\delta$ - closed set is called $\delta$-open set. A subset A of a topological space X is called regular open if A $=\operatorname{int}(\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{A}))$. The complement of regular open set is called regular closed set. A subset $A$ of a topological space $X$ is called an a-open set [3] if $\mathrm{A} \subset$ int ( $\mathrm{cl}\left(\operatorname{int}_{\delta}(\mathrm{A})\right)$ ).The complement of an a-open set is called an a-closed set. A
subset A of a topological space X is called a $\delta$-semiopen [7] if $\mathrm{A} \subset \mathrm{cl}$ (int ${ }_{\delta}(\mathrm{A})$ ). The complement of a $\delta$-semiopen set is called a $\delta$-semiclosed set.

Definition 2.1. A subset A of a topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ) is said to be a $\Lambda_{a}$-set [5] if $\Lambda_{a}(\mathrm{~A})=\mathrm{A}$ where $\Lambda_{a}(\mathrm{~A})=$ $\cap\{\mathrm{O} \in \mathrm{aO}(\mathrm{X}, \tau): \mathrm{A} \subset \mathrm{O}\}$.

Definition 2.2. A subset A of a topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ) is said to be $\Lambda_{a}$-closed [5] if $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{T} \cap \mathrm{C}$ where T is a $\Lambda_{a}$ set and C is an a-closed set. A is said to be $\Lambda_{a}$-open if X - A is $\Lambda_{a}$ - closed.

Definition 2.3. A function $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ is called (i) strongly continuous [4] if $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is clopen in X for every subset V in Y .
(ii) completely continuous [8] if $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in X for every open set V in Y .
(iii) almost a-continuous [3] if $f^{1}(V)$ is a-open in X for every regular open set V in Y .
(iv) $\Lambda_{a}$-continuous [5] if $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in X for every open set V in Y .
(v) $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute [5] if $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in X for every $\Lambda_{a}$-open set V in Y.
(vi) quasi $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute [5] if $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in X for every a-open set V in Y .
(vii) completely $\alpha$-irresolute [10] if $\mathrm{f}^{1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in X for every $\alpha$-open set V in Y .
(viii) completely $\delta$-semi-irresolute [8] if $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in X for every $\delta$-semiopen set V in Y .
(ix)R-map [8] if $\mathrm{f}^{1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in X for every regular open set V in Y .
(x) a-irresolute [3] if $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is a-open in X for every a-open set V in Y .
(xii) a*-closed [3] if $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{V})$ is a-closed in X for every a-closed set V in Y .

## 3. COMPLETELY $\Lambda_{a}$-IRRESOLUTE FUNCTIONS

In this section we introduce completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute functions and obtain several properties concerning such functions.

Definition 3.1. A function $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ is said to be completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function if the inverse image of every $\Lambda_{a}$-open subset of Y is regular open in X .

Example3.2. Let $\mathrm{X}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}=\mathrm{Y}, \tau=\{\phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$, $\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mathrm{X}\}$ and $\sigma=\{\phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}$,
$\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mathrm{Y}\}$.Define a function $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ by $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{c})=\mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{d})=\mathrm{b}$. Then f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.

Theorem 3.3 The following are equivalent for a function $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$
(i) f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.
(ii) the inverse image of every $\Lambda_{a}$-closed subset of Y is regular closed in X.
Proof: (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute. Let V be a $\Lambda_{a}$-closed subset of Y . Then $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{V}$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in Y . $\mathrm{By}(\mathrm{i}), \mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{V})=\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in X which implies $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular closed in X . Thus (ii) holds.

Similarly (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) holds.
Remark 3.4.It is clear that every strongly continuous function is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute. However the converse is not true as shown by the following example.

Example 3.5. Let X and $\tau$ be same as in example 3.2.Then f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute but not strongly continuous since $\mathrm{f}^{-1}\{\mathrm{~b}\}=\{\mathrm{d}\}$ is not clopen in $X$.
Theorem 3.6.Every completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function is
(i) $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.
(ii) a-irresolute.
(iii) quasi- $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.
(iv) a R-map.
(v) almost a-continuous.

## Proof :

(i) Let $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ be a completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function and V be $\Lambda_{a}$-open in Y . Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$ -
irresolute, $f^{-1}(V)$ is regular open in $X$. Since every regular open set is a-open [7], $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is a-open in X. By proposition 4.20[5],
$\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in X which implies f is $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.
(ii) Let $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ be a completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function and V be an a-open in Y . By proposition 4.20[5], V is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in Y. Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in X . Since every regular open set is a-open [7], $f^{-1}(V)$ is a-open in $X$ which implies $f$ is a - irresolute.
(iii) Let $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ be a completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function and V be an a-open in Y . By proposition 4.20[5], V is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in Y. Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in X . Since every regular open set is a-open [7], $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is a-open in X . By proposition $4.20[5], \mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is $\Lambda_{a}$ open in X which implies f is quasi $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.
(iv) Let $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ be a completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function and V be a regular open set in Y . Since every regular open set is a-open [7], V is a-open in Y. By proposition 4.20[5], V is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in Y. Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, $f^{-1}(V)$ is regular open in $X$ which implies $f$ is a $R$-map.
(v) Let $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ be a completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function and V be a regular open set in Y . Since every regular open set is a-open [7], V is a-open in Y. By proposition 4.20[5], V is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in Y. Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute,
$f^{-1}(V)$ is regular open in $X$ which implies $f^{-1}(V)$ is a-open in $X$ and hence $f$ is almost a-continuous.

Remark 3.7.The converses of the above theorem are not true as shown by the following examples.

Example 3.8. Let $\mathrm{X}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}=\mathrm{Y}, \tau=\{\phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$,

$$
\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}\}, \mathrm{X}\} \text { and } \sigma=\{\phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}\}, \mathrm{Y}\} .
$$

Define a function $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ by $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{c}$, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{c})=\mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{d})=\mathrm{d}$. Then f is a-irresolute and R -map but not completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute since $\mathrm{f}^{1}(\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}\})=\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$ is not regular open in X where $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}\}$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in Y .

Example 3.9.Le $\mathrm{X}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}=\mathrm{Y}, \tau=\{\phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{d}\}$,
$\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mathrm{X}\}$ and $\sigma=\{\phi,\{\mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$,
$\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}\}, \mathrm{Y}\}$.Define a function $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ by $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{c}$, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{c})=\mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{d})=\mathrm{a}$. Then f is $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute and almost a- continuous but not completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute since $f^{-1}(\{a, b, d\})=\{b, c, d\}$ is not regular open in $X$ where $\{a, b, d\}$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in Y .

Example3.10Let $\mathrm{X}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}=\mathrm{Y}, \tau=\{\phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$, $\mathrm{X}\}$ and $\sigma=\{\phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}, \mathrm{Y}\}$.Define a function f : $(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ by $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{c})=\mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{d})=\mathrm{b}$. Then f is quasi- $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute but not completely $\Lambda_{a}$ irresolute since $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}\})=\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ is not regular open in X where $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}\}$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in Y .

Definition 3.11 A space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ) is said to be $\Lambda_{a}$-space [5] if every $\Lambda_{a}$-closed subset of X is a-closed in X .

Theorem 3.12 Let $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ be a completely $\delta$-semi-irresolute function where Y is a $\Lambda_{a}$-space ,then f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.

Proof : Let V be a $\Lambda_{a}$-closed subset of Y. Since Y is a $\Lambda_{a}$-space, V is a-closed in Y. Since every a-closed set is $\delta$ semiclosed [7], V is $\delta$-semiclosed in Y. Now f is completely $\delta$-semi-irresolute implies $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular closed in X and so f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.

Theorem 3.13 Let $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ be a completely $\quad \alpha$-irresolute function where Y is a $\Lambda_{a}$-space ,then f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.

Proof : Let V be a $\Lambda_{a}$-closed subset of Y. Since Y is a $\Lambda_{a}$-space, V is a-closed in Y . Since every a-closed set is $\alpha$ closed [7], V is $\alpha$-closed in Y. Now f is completely $\alpha$ irresolute implies $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular closed in X and so f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.

Theorem 3.14 Let $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ and $\mathrm{g}:(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ $\rightarrow(\mathrm{Z}, \eta)$ be functions. Then the following properties hold:
(i) If f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute and g is $\Lambda_{a}$-continuous, then $\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f}$ is completely continuous.
(ii) If f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute and g is $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, then $\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f}$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.
(iii) If f is almost a-continuous and g is completely $\Lambda_{a}$ irresolute, then $\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f}$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.
(iv) If f is completely continuous and g is completely $\Lambda_{a}$ irresolute, then $\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f}$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.
(v) If f is a R-map and g is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, then $\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f}$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.
(vi) If f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute and g is a R-map, then $\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f}$ is almost a-continuous.
(vii) If f is almost a-continuous and g is completely $\Lambda_{a}$ irresolute, then $\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f}$ is a-irresolute.
Proof. (i) Let V be an open set in Z. Since g is $\Lambda_{a}$ continuous, $\mathrm{g}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-open in Y . Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, $\mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~g}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})\right)=(\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f})^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in X and hence $\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f}$ is completely continuous.
Proofs of (ii) - (vii) can be obtained similarly.
Theorem 3.15 If $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ is a surjective, $\mathrm{a}^{*}$-closed function and $\mathrm{g}:(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Z}, \eta)$ is a function such that $\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Z}, \boldsymbol{\eta})$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}{ }^{-}$ irresolute, then g is $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.

Proof. Let V be a $\Lambda_{a}$-closed set in Z . Since $\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f}$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, $(\mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{f})^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})=\mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~g}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})\right)$ is regular closed in X . Since every regular closed set is a-closed [7], $\mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~g}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})\right)$ is a-closed in X . Now f is $\mathrm{a}^{*}$-closed and surjective implies $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~g}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})\right)\right)=\mathrm{g}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is $\Lambda_{a}$-closed in Y .
Thus g is $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.
Remark 3.16 From the above results we have the following diagram where $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ represents A implies B but not conversely.
1.completely $\quad \Lambda_{a}$-irresolute 2.almost a-continuous 3.airresolute 4.quasi $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute 5. $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute 6.strongly continuous
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## 4. CHARACTERIZATIONS

Lemma 4.1.[9] Let $S$ be an open subset of a topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ).Then the following hold:
(i) If $U$ is regular open in $X$, then so is $U \cap S$ in the subspace (S, $\tau_{S}$ ).
(ii) If $\mathrm{B} \subset \mathrm{S}$ is regular open in ( $\mathrm{S}, \tau_{S}$ ) there exists a regular open set U in $(\mathrm{X}, \tau)$ such that $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{S}$.

Theorem 4.2. If $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute and A is any open subset in X , then the restriction $\left.\mathrm{f}\right|_{\mathrm{A}}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.

Proof. Let V be any $\Lambda_{a}$-open subset of Y . Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in X . Since A is open in X , by lemma 4.1, $\left(\left.\mathrm{f}\right|_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})=\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in A and so $\left.\mathrm{f}\right|_{\mathrm{A}}$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.

Lemma 4.3.[1] Let $Y$ be a preopen subset of a topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ).Then $\mathrm{Y} \cap \mathrm{U}$ is regular open in Y for every regular open subset $U$ of $X$.

Theorem 4.4. If $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute and A is any preopen subset of X , then the restriction $\left.\mathrm{f}\right|_{\mathrm{A}}: \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.

Proof. Let V be any $\Lambda_{a}$-open subset of Y . Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in X . Since A is preopen in X , by lemma 4.3, (f $\left.\left.\right|_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})=\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open in A and so $\left.\mathrm{f}\right|_{\mathrm{A}}$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute.

Theorem 4.5. A topological space (X, $\tau$ ) is connected if every completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function from a space X into any $\mathrm{T}_{0}$-space Y is constant

Proof. Suppose X is not connected and every completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function from a space X into Y is constant. Since X is not connected, there exists a proper nonempty clopen subset A of X. Let $\mathrm{Y}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ and $\tau=\{\phi,\{\mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{b}\}, \mathrm{Y}\}$ be a topology for Y. Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a function such that $f(A)=\{a\}$ and $f(X-A)=\{b\}$. Then $f$ is a non-constant completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute function such that Y is $\mathrm{T}_{0}$, a contradiction. Hence X must be connected.

Definition 4.6. A topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ) is said to be
(i) $\Lambda_{a}$-connected [5] if X cannot be written as a disjoint union of two nonempty $\Lambda_{a}$-open subsets in X .
(ii) r-connected [10] if X cannot be written as a disjoint union of two nonempty regular open subsets in X .
(iii) hyperconnected [8] if every open subset of X is dense.

Theorem 4.7. If $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute surjection and X is r-connected, then Y is $\Lambda_{a}$ connected.

Proof. Suppose Y is not $\Lambda_{a}$-connected. Then $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B}$ where A and B are disjoint nonempty $\Lambda_{a}$-open subsets in Y . Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute surjection, $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are regular open sets in $X$ such that $X=f^{-1}(A) \cup$ $f^{-1}(B)$ and $f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B)=\phi$ which shows that $X$ is not r-connected, a contradiction. Hence Y is $\Lambda_{a}$-connected.

Theorem 4.8. Completely $\Lambda_{a}$-connected images of hyperconnected spaces are $\Lambda_{a}$-connected.

Proof. Let f: $(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ be a completely $\Lambda_{a}$ irresolute function such that X is hyperconnected. Assume that B is a proper $\Lambda_{a}$-clopen subset of Y . Then $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~B})$ is both regular open and regular closed set in X as f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute. This clearly contradicts the fact that X is hyperconnected. Thus Y is $\Lambda_{a}$-connected.

Definition 4.9. A topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ) is said to be
(i) $\Lambda_{a}-\mathrm{T}_{1}$ [6] if for every pair of distinct points x and y ,there exist $\Lambda_{a}$-open sets G and H containing x and y respectively such that $\mathrm{y} \notin \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathrm{V}$.
(ii) $\Lambda_{a}-\mathrm{T}_{2}$ [6] if for every pair of distinct points x and y , there exist disjoint $\Lambda_{a}$-open sets G and H containing x and y respectively.
(iii) $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{T}_{1}[10]$ if for every pair of distinct points x and y ,there exist r -open sets G and H containing x and y respectively such that $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{y} \notin \mathrm{G}$.

Theorem 4.10. If $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute injective function and Y is $\Lambda_{a}-\mathrm{T}_{1}$, then X is r $\mathrm{T}_{1}$.

Proof. Since Y is $\Lambda_{a}-\mathrm{T}_{1}$, for $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$ in X , there exist $\Lambda_{a}$ open sets $V$ and $W$ such that $f(x) \in f(y) \in W, f(y) \notin V$, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \notin \mathrm{W}$. Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{U})$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are regular open sets in $X$ such that $x \in f^{-1}(V), y \in$ $f^{-1}(W), x \notin f^{-1}(W), y \notin f^{-1}(V)$. This shows that $X$ is $r-T_{1}$.

Theorem 4.11. If $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute injective function and Y is $\Lambda_{a}-\mathrm{T}_{2}$.then X is $\mathrm{T}_{2}$. Proof. Similar to the proof of theorem 4.10
Definition 4.12. A topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ) is said to be (i) $\Lambda_{a}$-compact [5], if every $\Lambda_{a}$-open cover of X has a finite subcover.
(ii) nearly compact[11], if every regular open cover of X has a finite subcover.

Theorem 4.13. If $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute surjective function and X is nearly compact, then Y is $\Lambda_{a}$-compact.

Proof. Let $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in I\right\}$ be a cover of Y by $\Lambda_{a}$-open subsets of X . Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute,
$\left\{\mathrm{f}^{-1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in I\right\}$ is a regular open cover of X . Since X is nearly compact, there exists a finite subset $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ of I such that $\mathrm{X}=$ $\cup\left\{\mathrm{f}^{1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in I_{0}\right\}$. Since f is surjective, $\mathrm{Y}=$ $\cup\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in I_{0}\right\}$ and hence Y is $\Lambda_{a}$-compact.

Definition 4.14. A topological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ) is said to be $\Lambda_{a}$-normal [5], if each pair of disjoint closed sets can be separated by disjoint $\Lambda_{a}$-open sets.

Theorem 4.15. If $\mathrm{f}:(\mathrm{X}, \tau) \rightarrow(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma)$ is completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute, closed injection and Y is $\Lambda_{a}$-normal ,then X is normal.

Proof. Let E and F be disjoint closed subsets of X. Since f is closed, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{E})$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{F})$ are disjoint closed subsets of Y. Since f is $\Lambda_{a}$-normal, there exist disjoint $\Lambda_{a}$-open sets U and V such that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{E}) \subset \mathrm{U}$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{F}) \subset \mathrm{V}$. Since f is completely $\Lambda_{a}$ irresolute, $f^{-1}(\mathrm{U})$ and $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ are disjoint regular open subsets in $X$ and hence open subsets in $X$ such that $E \subset \mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{U}), F$ $\subset \mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ which shows that X is normal.
Theorem 4.16. Let $f$, $g$ be functions. If $f$ and $g$ are completely $\Lambda_{a}$-irresolute functions and Y is a $\Lambda_{a}-\mathrm{T}_{2}$ space, then $\mathrm{P}=\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})\}$ is $\delta$-closed.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathrm{P}$. We have $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \neq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$. Since Y is $\Lambda_{a}-$ $\mathrm{T}_{2}$, there exist disjoint $\Lambda_{a}$-open sets A and B in Y such that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{B}$. Since f and g are completely $\Lambda_{a}$ irresolute, $f^{-1}(\mathrm{~A})$ and $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{~B})$ are disjoint regular open subsets in $X$. Put $U=f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B)$. Then $U$ is a regular open subset of X containing x and $\mathrm{U} \cap \mathrm{P}=\phi$ and hence $\mathrm{x} \notin$ $c l_{\delta}(A)$.Hence P is $\delta$-closed in X .
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