

Properties P and Q for Suzuki-type fixed point theorems in metric spaces

Renu Chugh, Raj Kamal and Madhu Aggarwal*
Department of Mathematics, Maharshi Dayanand University,
Rohtak 124001

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to present several results for maps defined on a metric space involving contractive conditions of Suzuki-type which satisfy properties P and Q. An interesting fact about this study is that none of these maps has any nontrivial periodic points.

Keywords

Property P; Property Q; Metric space; Suzuki contraction.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Banach contraction principle [15] states that every contraction T on a complete metric space has a unique fixed point. Recently, Suzuki [20] introduced a new type of mapping and presented a generalization of the Banach contraction principle as follows:

Theorem 1.1.[20] Define a non-increasing function θ from $[0, 1)$ onto $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ by

$$\theta(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1) \\ \frac{1-r}{r^2} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1) \leq r \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{1+r} & \text{if } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq r < 1. \end{cases}$$

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a mapping from X into itself. Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

$\theta(r) d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$ implies $d(Tx, Ty) \leq r d(x, y)$.

Then there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $z \in Tz$.

The elegant technique employed to prove Theorem 1.1 attracted several authors to work along these lines and subsequently Theorem 1.1 was generalized and extended in various ways (see for instance, [1], [3], [4], [7-14], [16-19], [21], [22] and others).

We will denote the set all fixed points of a self mapping T from X into itself by $F(T)$, i.e., $F(T) = \{z \in X : Tz = z\}$. It is obvious that if z is a fixed point of T then it is also a fixed point of T^n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $F(T) \subset F(T^n)$ if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. However converse is false. Indeed the mapping $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $Tx = \frac{1}{2} - x$ has a unique fixed point, i.e., $F(T) =$

$\left\{\frac{1}{4}\right\}$, but every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed point for T^2 . If $F(T) = F(T^n)$,

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we say that T has no periodic points.

In 2005, Jeong and Rhoades [5] examine a number of situations in which the fixed point sets for maps and their iterates are the same.

They state that a map T has **property P** if $F(T) = F(T^n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also a pair of maps S and T have **property Q** if $F(S) \cap F(T) = F(S^n) \cap F(T^n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Several works has been done related to Property P and Q (see for instance [2] and [6]).

Now we continue this study for mappings satisfying Suzuki type contractive conditions in metric space. In section I, we discuss property P for a map which involve Suzuki contractive conditions. In section II, we prove property Q for pairs of maps involving above contractive conditions. An important of this study is that if a map satisfies property P then every periodic point is a fixed point. The same situation is true for maps satisfying property Q.

2. PROPERTY P

Theorem 2.1. Define a nonincreasing function ϕ from $[0, 1)$ into $(0, 1]$ by

$$\phi(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 1-r, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq r \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a mapping from X into itself. Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

$\phi(r) d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$ implies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \\ & \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Then T has property P.

Proof: From corollary 2.3 of [3], T has a fixed point. In other words, $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $F(T^m) \neq \emptyset$ for each positive integer m . Let n be a fixed positive integer greater than 1 and suppose that $z \in F(T^n)$. We claim that $z \in F(T)$, that is, z is a fixed point of T .

Suppose that $z \notin Tz$. Then

$$d(z, Tz) = d(T^n z, T(T^n z)) = d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z),$$

which is of the form $d(Tx, Ty)$, here

$$y = T^n z, \quad x = T^{n-1} z.$$

Now $\phi(r) d(x, Tx) = \phi(r) d(T^{n-1} z, T(T^{n-1} z))$

$$= \phi(r) d(T^{n-1} z, T^n z) \leq d(x, y),$$

that is, $\phi(r) d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$ implies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \\ & \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

that is, $d(T(T^{n-1} z), T(T^n z))$

$$\leq r \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(T^{n-1} z, T^n z), d(T^{n-1} z, T^{n+1} z), \\ & \frac{d(T^{n-1} z, T^{n+1} z) + d(T^n z, T^n z)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$$= r \max \left\{ d(T^{n-1} z, T^n z), d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z), \frac{d(T^{n-1} z, T^{n+1} z)}{2} \right\},$$

thatis, $d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) \leq r \max$

$$\leq r \max \{d(T^{n-1} z, T^n z), d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z)\}.$$

Then $d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) \leq r d(T^{n-1} z, T^n z)$.

Continuing like this, we have

$$d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) \leq r d(T^{n-1} z, T^n z) \leq r^2 d(T^{n-2} z, T^{n-1} z) \leq \dots \leq r^n d(z, Tz),$$

that is, $d(z, Tz) \leq r^n d(z, Tz) < d(z, Tz)$,

that is, $d(z, Tz) < d(z, Tz)$,

which is a contradiction.

So our supposition that $z \neq Tz$ is wrong. Thus, $z = Tz$ and so $z \in F(T)$.

Therefore $F(T^n) \subseteq F(T)$. Also $F(T) \subseteq F(T^n)$.

Thus, $F(T) = F(T^n)$. Hence T satisfies property P .

Special cases of Theorem 2.1 are contractive conditions appearing in Theorem 3.3 of [19], Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.1 of [8], Theorem 2 of [20], Corollary 3.4 of [17] and Corollary 4.4 of [18].

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and let T be a mapping on X . Assume that

$$\frac{1}{2} d(x, Tx) < d(x, y) \text{ implies } d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$$

for $x, y \in X$. Then T has property P .

Proof: From Theorem 3 of [21], T has a unique fixed point. In other words, $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $F(T^m) \neq \emptyset$ for each positive integer m . Let n be a fixed positive integer greater than 1 and suppose that $z \in F(T^n)$. We claim that $z \in F(T)$, that is, z is a fixed point of T .

Suppose that $z \neq Tz$. Then

$$d(z, Tz) = d(T^n z, T(T^n z)) = d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z),$$

which is of the form $d(Tx, Ty)$, here $y = T^n z, x = T^{n-1} z$.

$$\text{Now } \frac{1}{2} d(x, Tx) = \frac{1}{2} d(T^{n-1} z, T(T^{n-1} z)) = \frac{1}{2} d(T^{n-1} z, T^n z) < d(T^{n-1} z, T^n z),$$

that is, $\frac{1}{2} d(x, Tx) < d(x, y)$ implies $d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$,

that is, $d(T(T^{n-1} z), T(T^n z)) < d(T^{n-1} z, T^n z)$,

that is, $d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) < d(T^{n-1} z, T^n z)$.

Continuing like this, we have

$$d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) < d(z, Tz),$$

That is, $d(z, Tz) < d(z, Tz)$, which is a contradiction.

So our supposition that $z \neq Tz$ is wrong. Thus, $z = Tz$ and so $z \in F(T)$.

Therefore $F(T^n) \subseteq F(T)$. Also $F(T) \subseteq F(T^n)$.

Thus, $F(T) = F(T^n)$. Hence T satisfies property P .

Theorem 2.3: Define a function η from $[0,1)$ into $(1/2, 1]$ by

$$\eta(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq r < 1/2 \\ (1+r)^{-1} & \text{if } 1/2 \leq r < 1 \end{cases}.$$

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a mappings form X into $CB(X)$. Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$\eta(r) d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y) \text{ implies } \delta(Tx, Ty) \leq r d(x, y). \quad (2.3.1)$$

Then T has property P .

Proof: From theorem 4 of [10], T has a unique fixed point z and $Tz = \{z\}$. Therefore, $F(T^n) \neq \emptyset$ for each positive integer n . Let n be a fixed positive integer greater than 1 and suppose that $u \in F(T^n)$. We claim that $u \in F(T)$, that is, u is a fixed point of T .

Let $u \in F(T^n)$ Then for any positive integer i, j satisfying $0 \leq i, j \leq n$, we obtain

$$\eta(r) d(T^{i-1} u, T(T^{j-1} u)) = \eta(r) d(T^{i-1} u, T^j u) \leq d(T^{i-1} u, T^j u).$$

Then contractive condition (2.3.1) implies that

$$\delta(T(T^{i-1} u), T(T^j u)) \leq r d(T^{i-1} u, T^j u). \quad (2.3.2)$$

Define $\delta = \max_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} \delta(T^i u, T^j u)$.

Since, if $j = n$, then $T^{j+1} u = Tu$.

Assuming $\delta > 0$, it then follows from (2.3.2) that $\delta \leq r \delta$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore $\delta = 0$. Thus $\delta(Tu, u) = 0$ implies $\{u\} = Tu$. Hence $u \in F(T)$.

Hence T satisfies property P .

3. PROPERTY Q

Theorem 3.1. Define a strictly decreasing function η from

$$[0, 1) \text{ onto } \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \text{ by } \eta(r) = \frac{1}{1+r}.$$

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T and S be mappings from X into itself. Assume that there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$\eta(r) \min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Sy)\} \leq d(x, y) \text{ implies } d(Tx, Sy) \leq r M(x, y) \quad (3.1.1)$$

$$\text{where } M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Sy)}{2} \\ \frac{d(x, Sy) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

Then S and T have property Q .

Proof: From corollary 2.3 of [12], S and T have a unique common fixed point. In other words, $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Therefore, $F(S^m) \cap F(T^m) \neq \emptyset$ for each positive integer m . Let n be a fixed positive integer greater than 1 and suppose that $z \in F(S^n) \cap F(T^n)$.

We claim that $z \in F(S) \cap F(T)$. To prove this, it is sufficient to show that z is a fixed point of T .

Suppose that $z \neq Tz$. Then

$$d(z, Tz) = d(S^n z, T(T^n z)) = d(T(T^n z), S(S^{n-1} z)),$$

which is of the form $d(Tx, Sy)$, here $x = T^n z, y = S^{n-1} z$.

Now $\eta(r) d(x, Tx) =$

$$\eta(r) d(T^n z, T(T^n z)) = \eta(r) d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z)$$

$$\leq d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z). \quad (3.1.2)$$

Case-I If $d(x, Tx) \leq d(y, Sy)$.

$$\text{Then } d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) \leq d(S^{n-1} z, S^n z) = d(S^{n-1} z, z)$$

$$= d(S^{n-1} z, T^n z) = d(T^n z, S^{n-1} z). \quad (3.1.3)$$

Combining (3.1.2) and (3.1.3) we have

$$\eta(r) d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y).$$

Then by contractive condition (3.1.1), we have

$$d(Tx, Sy) \leq r \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Sy)}{2} \\ \frac{d(x, Sy) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \end{array} \right\}.$$

This implies that $d(T(T^n z), S(S^{n-1} z)) \leq$

$$r \max \left\{ \frac{d(T^n z, S^{n-1} z), \frac{d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) + d(S^{n-1} z, S^n z)}{2}}{d(T^n z, S^n z) + d(S^{n-1} z, T^{n+1} z)} \right\},$$

That is, $d(T^{n+1} z, S^n z) \leq$

$$r \max \left\{ \frac{d(T^n z, S^{n-1} z), \frac{d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) + d(S^{n-1} z, S^n z)}{2}}{d(T^n z, S^n z) + d(S^{n-1} z, T^{n+1} z)} \right\}.$$

Case-II If $d(y, Sy) \leq d(x, Tx)$,

i.e. $d(S^{n-1} z, S(S^{n-1} z)) \leq d(T^n z, T(T^n z))$,

i.e. $d(S^{n-1} z, S^n z) \leq d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \eta(r) d(y, Sy) &= \eta(r) d(S^{n-1} z, S^n z) \leq d(S^{n-1} z, S^n z) = \\ &= d(S^{n-1} z, T^n z) = d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

As $d(y, Sy) \leq d(x, Tx)$ and $\eta(r) d(y, Sy) \leq d(x, y)$.

So by contractive condition (3.1.1), we have

$$d(Tx, Sy) \leq r \max \left\{ \frac{d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx) + d(y, Sy)}{2}}{d(x, Sy) + d(y, Tx)} \right\}.$$

This implies that $d(T(T^n z), S(S^{n-1} z)) \leq$

$$r \max \left\{ \frac{d(T^n z, S^{n-1} z), \frac{d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) + d(S^{n-1} z, S^n z)}{2}}{d(T^n z, S^n z) + d(S^{n-1} z, T^{n+1} z)} \right\},$$

that is, $d(T^{n+1} z, S^n z) \leq$

$$r \max \left\{ \frac{d(T^n z, S^{n-1} z), \frac{d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) + d(S^{n-1} z, S^n z)}{2}}{d(T^n z, S^n z) + d(S^{n-1} z, T^{n+1} z)} \right\}.$$

Thus from both case-I and case-II, we obtain

$$d(T^{n+1} z, S^n z) \leq$$

$$r \max \left\{ \frac{d(T^n z, S^{n-1} z), \frac{d(T^n z, T^{n+1} z) + d(S^{n-1} z, S^n z)}{2}}{d(T^n z, S^n z) + d(S^{n-1} z, T^{n+1} z)} \right\},$$

that is, $d(z, Tz) \leq$

$$r \max \left\{ \frac{d(z, S^{n-1} z), \frac{d(z, Tz) + d(S^{n-1} z, z)}{2}}{d(z, z) + d(S^{n-1} z, Tz)} \right\},$$

that is, $d(z, Tz) \leq r \max$

$$r \max \left\{ \frac{d(z, S^{n-1} z), \frac{d(z, Tz) + d(S^{n-1} z, z)}{2}, \frac{d(S^{n-1} z, Tz)}{2}} \right\}. \quad (3.1.4)$$

$$\text{Since, } \frac{d(S^{n-1} z, Tz)}{2} \leq \frac{d(z, Tz) + d(S^{n-1} z, z)}{2}.$$

So (3.1.4) takes the form

$$d(z, Tz) \leq r \max \left\{ \frac{d(z, S^{n-1} z), \frac{d(z, Tz) + d(S^{n-1} z, z)}{2}} \right\}.$$

Case-(a) If $d(z, Tz) \leq r d(z, S^{n-1} z)$.

$$\text{Case-(b) If } d(z, Tz) \leq r \frac{d(z, Tz) + d(S^{n-1} z, z)}{2}.$$

that is, $2d(z, Tz) \leq rd(z, Tz) + rd(S^{n-1} z, z)$,

$$(2-r) d(z, Tz) \leq r d(S^{n-1} z, z),$$

$$d(z, Tz) \leq \left(\frac{r}{2-r} \right) d(S^{n-1} z, z),$$

that is, $d(z, Tz) \leq r_1 d(S^{n-1} z, z)$, where $r_1 < 1$.

Thus from case-(a) and case-(b), we have

$$d(z, Tz) \leq \beta d(S^{n-1} z, z), \text{ where } \beta < 1,$$

that is, $d(Tz, z) \leq \beta d(z, S^{n-1} z)$,

that is, $d(T(T^n z), S^n z) \leq \beta d(T^n z, S^{n-1} z)$.

Thus we get $d(T^{n+1} z, S^n z) \leq \beta d(T^n z, S^{n-1} z)$

Continuing like this, we have

$$d(T^{n+1} z, S^n z) \leq \beta^n d(Tz, z) < d(Tz, z),$$

that is, $d(Tz, z) < d(Tz, z)$, which is a contradiction.

So, our supposition that $z \neq Tz$ is wrong. Thus $z = Tz$.

Analogously $z = Sz$. Therefore $z \in F(T) \cap F(S)$.

So $F(S^n) \cap F(T^n) \subseteq F(T) \cap F(S)$.

Also $F(T) \cap F(S) \subseteq F(S^n) \cap F(T^n)$.

Thus, $F(T) \cap F(S) = F(S^n) \cap F(T^n)$.

Hence S and T satisfy property Q.

Theorem 3.2. Define a non-increasing function θ as in Theorem 1.1 and let X be a complete metric space, $f, T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the following:

- (i) f is continuous.
- (ii) $T(X) \subset f(X)$.
- (iii) f and T commute.

Assume there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that for each $x, y \in X$,

$$\theta(r) d(fx, Tx) \leq d(fx, fy) \text{ implies}$$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r \max \left\{ \frac{d(fx, fy), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx)}{2} \right\}. \quad (3.2.1)$$

Then f and T have property Q.

Proof: From theorem 2.1 of [11], f and T have a unique common fixed point.

In other words, $F(f) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $F(f^n) \cap F(T^n) \neq \emptyset$ for each positive integer n . Let n be a fixed positive integer greater than 1 and suppose that $u \in F(f^n) \cap F(T^n)$. We claim that

$u \in F(f) \cap F(T)$.

Since $u \in F(f^n) \cap F(T^n)$. Then for any positive integer i, r satisfying $0 \leq i, r \leq n$, we obtain

$$\theta(r) d(f(T^{i-1} f^{r-1} u), T(T^{i-1} f^{r-1} u))$$

$$\leq d(T^{i-1} f^r u, T^i f^{r-1} u)$$

$$= d(f(T^{i-1} f^{r-1} u), f(T^i f^{r-2} u)).$$

Then contractive condition (3.2.1) implies that

$$d(T^i f^{r-1} u, T^{i+1} f^{r-2} u) \leq$$

$$r \max \left\{ \frac{d(T^{i-1} f^r u, T^i f^{r-1} u), d(T^{i-1} f^r u, T^i f^{r-1} u), d(T^i f^{r-1} u, T^{i+1} f^{r-2} u), \frac{d(T^{i-1} f^r u, T^{i+1} f^{r-2} u) + d(T^i f^{r-1} u, T^i f^{r-1} u)}{2}} \right\} \quad (3.2.2)$$

Define $\delta = \max_{0 \leq i, r, l, t \leq n} d(T^i f^r u, T^l f^t u)$

Since, if $i = n$, then $T^{i+1}u = Tu$.

Assuming $\delta > 0$, it then follows from (3.2.2) that

$$\delta \leq r \max\{\delta, \delta, \delta, \delta\},$$

that is, $\delta < \delta$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $\delta = 0$.

Thus $d(fu, u) = d(Tu, u) = 0$ implies $u = fu = Tu$.

Hence $u \in F(f) \cap F(T)$.

So $F(f^n) \cap F(T^n) \subseteq F(f) \cap F(T)$.

Also $F(f) \cap F(T) \subseteq F(f^n) \cap F(T^n)$.

Thus, $F(f) \cap F(T) = F(f^n) \cap F(T^n)$.

Hence f and T satisfy property Q.

Special case of Theorem 3.2 is contractive condition appearing in Theorem 3 of [7].

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let f and T be mappings on X satisfying

(i)-(iii) in Theorem 3.2. Assume that

$$\frac{1}{2} d(fx, Tx) < d(fx, fy) \text{ implies}$$

$$d(Tx, Ty) < \max \left\{ d(fx, fy), \frac{d(fx, Tx) + d(fy, Ty)}{2} \right\}$$

(3.3.1)

for all $x, y \in X$, and that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$\frac{1}{2} d(fx, Tx) < d(fx, fy) \text{ and } \max$$

$$\left\{ d(fx, fy), \frac{d(fx, Tx) + d(fy, Ty)}{2} \right\} < \epsilon + \delta(\epsilon)$$

implies $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \epsilon$.

Then f and T have property Q.

Proof: From theorem 3.1 of [11], f and T have a unique common fixed point.

In other words, $F(f) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $F(f^n) \cap F(T^n) \neq \emptyset$ for each positive integer n . Let n be a fixed positive integer greater than 1 and suppose that $u \in F(f^n) \cap F(T^n)$. We claim that $u \in F(f) \cap F(T)$.

Since $u \in F(f^n) \cap F(T^n)$. Then for any positive integer i, r satisfying $0 \leq i, r \leq n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} d(f(T^{i-1} f^{r-1} u), T(T^{i-1} f^{r-1} u)) &< d(T^{i-1} f^r u, T^i f^{r-1} u) \\ &= d(f(T^{i-1} f^{r-1} u), f(T^i f^{r-2} u)). \end{aligned}$$

Then contractive condition (3.3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &d(T^i f^{r-1} u, T^{i+1} f^{r-2} u) \\ &< \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &d(T^{i-1} f^r u, T^i f^{r-1} u), \\ &\frac{d(T^{i-1} f^r u, T^i f^{r-1} u) + d(T^i f^{r-1} u, T^{i+1} f^{r-2} u)}{2} \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(3.3.2)

Define $\delta = \max_{0 \leq i, r, l, t \leq n} d(T^i f^r u, T^l f^t u)$

Since, if $i = n$, then $T^{i+1}u = Tu$.

Assuming $\delta > 0$, it then follows from (3.3.2) that

$$\delta < \max\{\delta, \delta\},$$

that is, $\delta < \delta$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $\delta = 0$.

Thus $d(fu, u) = d(Tu, u) = 0$ implies $u = fu = Tu$.

Hence $u \in F(f) \cap F(T)$.

So $F(f^n) \cap F(T^n) \subseteq F(f) \cap F(T)$.

Also $F(f) \cap F(T) \subseteq F(f^n) \cap F(T^n)$.

Thus, $F(f) \cap F(T) = F(f^n) \cap F(T^n)$. Hence f and T satisfy property Q.

Special case of Theorem 3.3 is Meir-Keeler contractive condition appearing in Theorem 4 of [7].

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have studied a number of Suzuki-type contractive conditions defined on a metric space for which fixed point sets for maps and their iterates are same. An important fact about this study is that if maps satisfy property P or Q then every periodic point is a fixed point.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] B. Damjanović and D. Dorić, Multivalued generalizations of the Kannan fixed point theorem, *Filomat*, vol. 25 (1), DOI: 10.2298/FIL 1101125D, (2011), 125-131.
- [2] B. E. Rhoades and M. Abbas, Maps satisfying generalized contractive condition of integral type for which $F(T) = F(T^n)$, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 45, no. 2 (2008), 225-231.
- [3] D. Dorić and R. Lazović, Some Suzuki-type fixed point theorems for generalized multivalued mappings and applications, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, 2011:40, (2011), 13 pp.
- [4] G. Moş and A. Petruşel, Fixed point theory for a new type of contractive multi-valued operators, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 70(9), (2009), 3371-3377.
- [5] G. S. Jeong and B. E. Rhoades, Maps for which $F(T) = F(T^n)$, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, vol. 6(2005), 1-69.
- [6] G. S. Jeong and B. E. Rhoades, More maps for which $F(T) = F(T^n)$, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. XL, no. 3 (2007), 671-680.
- [7] M. Kikkawa and T. Suzuki, Three fixed point theorems for generalized contractions with constants in complete metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 69(9), (2008), 2942-2949.
- [8] M. Kikkawa and T. Suzuki, Some similarity between contractions and Kannan mappings, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, vol. 2008, Art.ID 649749, (2008), 8 pp.
- [9] M. Kikkawa and T. Suzuki, Some similarity between contractions and Kannan mappings II, *Bull. Kyushu Inst. Technol. Pure Appl. Math.*, no. 55 (2008), 1-13.
- [10] M. Kikkawa and T. Suzuki, Some notes on fixed point theorems with constants, *Bull. Kyushu Inst. Technol. Pure Appl. Math.*, no. 56 (2009), 11-18.
- [11] O. Popescu, Two fixed point theorems for generalized contractions with constants in complete metric space, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 7(3), (2009), 529-538.
- [12] Raj Kamal, Renu Chugh, ShyamLal Singh and Swami Nath Mishra, New common fixed point theorems for multivalued maps, Applied general topology, Accepted.
- [13] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, 60 (1968), 71-76.

- [14] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points. II, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 76 (1969), 405–408.
- [15] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, *Fund. Math.*, 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [16] S. L. Singh, H. K. Pathak and S. N. Mishra, On a Suzuki type general fixed point theorem with applications, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, vol. 2010, Art. ID 234717, (2010), 15 pp.
- [17] S. L. Singh and S. N. Mishra, Coincidence theorems for certain classes of hybrid contractions, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, vol. 2010, Art. ID 898109, (2010), 14 pp.
- [18] S. L. Singh and S. N. Mishra, Remarks on recent fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, vol. 2010, Art. ID 452905, (2010), 18 pp.
- [19] S. Dhompongsa and H. Yingtaweessittikul, Fixed points for multivalued mappings and the metric completeness, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, vol. 2009, Art. ID 972395, (2009), 15 pp.
- [20] T. Suzuki, A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 136, no. 5, (2008), 1861–1869.
- [21] Tomonari Suzuki, A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71(11), (2009), 5313–5317.
- [22] Y. Enjouji, M. Nakanishi and T. Suzuki, A generalization of Kannan's fixed point theorem, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, vol. 2009, Art. ID 192872, (2009), 10 pp.