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#### Abstract

The application domains like network connection routing, highway and power line engineering, robot motion planning and other optimization problems require the computation of shortest path. Computations of K-shortest paths provide more (K-1) numbers of backup shortest paths for consideration, which enable the applicability of additional constraints on the particular domains. For instance, a biologist can determine the best of an alignment from the available instances of biological sequence alignments generated from more than one shortest paths computation. The purpose of this paper is to provide a comprehensive review of existing algorithms available for K shortest paths computation. It will be useful for researcher to implement the effective K-shortest paths computation based on their matching computational requirements over the domain of their interest.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Finding the K-shortest paths in a network (without negative loop) is a classical problem to compute not only the shortest path from the origin to sink, but also determine more (K-1) shortest paths for $K>1$. The algorithms available to solve the problem can be classifiable into two categories based on the type of computable path: (a) Algorithms to compute Kshortest paths without any loop, and (b) Algorithms to compute K-shortest paths that may have loops.

Bock, Kantner, and Haynes [1], Pollack [2], Clarke, Krikorian, and Rausan [3], Sakarovitch [4], Azevedo at. al. [5,6,7], Dreyfus [8], Eppstein [9], Shier [10,11,12], Yen [13], Martin at. al. [14] and others propose K-shortest path algorithms without any loop. Hoffman and Pavely [15], Bellman and Kalaba [16], Sakarovitch [4], Martins al. al. [17,18] and others propose algorithms to compute K-shortest paths that may have loops.

This review is carried out to explore the basic types of the algorithms available for computing loop less K-shortest paths between a pair of nodes in a network and to reflect their computational procedure and computational complexity. It also explains the computational advantages over simple version of some algorithms by using techniques of path representations like "implicit path" [9], "sorted forward star form" [19] and others.

The notations and definitions used throughout this review are shown in Section 2. Section 3 explains the representation of paths in K-shortest paths algorithms. Review of the available

K-shortest path algorithms from the computational viewpoints are explained in section 4. A comparison on computational complexity of available K-shortest paths algorithms is given in section 6. Some applications of K-shortest paths algorithm are explained in section 7 .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $G=(N, A)$ denotes an input network with $n$ nodes and $m$ edges, where $N=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ the finite set of n nodes, and $A=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ is the finite set of $m$ edges. With no loss of generality, let $G$ is a directed network and every edge $e_{k}$ is an ordered pair ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) of nodes from the network.

Lat a path from source $i$ to destination $j$ is denoted by $P_{i j}$. The K-shortest paths from some source to destination are represented with the nonempty set $\pi=\left\{\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{K}\right\}$ of Kpaths in order.

Let the cost of any edge denoted by $c\left(e_{k}\right)$, is a real number associated with the edgee ${ }_{k}$ and let the cost of any path $p$ is defined as $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{p})=\sum_{(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}) \in \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$.

## 3. REPRESENTATION OF PATHS

Computing K-shortest paths from a network requires nodes to store more than one path information in the form of path cost from the source. Particularly the source and the destination node should store K-path information. Information's may store in the label of each node with the K-tuple of storage. For example $\pi_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ may be used to store K different paths from node i to node j for $\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~K}$.

Due to the unavailability of any a-prior information about the size of K for every nodes, a particular allocation of K size result unused storage, which also reflected in space complexity of applicable algorithms.

To avoid the K-tuple storage technique a method of relabeling of nodes is available which assign unique natural numbers every time nodes are revisited. Formally a relabeling function may define as $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow N$, where $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of natural numbers and $N$ is the set of nodes. Relabeling function is easily implementable using list-type data structure with storing all the generated natural numbers against the entry of a node.

## 4. REVIEW OF K-SHORTEST PATHS ALGORITHMS

Available algorithms to compute loop-less K-shortest paths are classifiable into three categories: Algorithms based on brute-force method, algorithms based on Optimality Principle and algorithms based on path deviation. These three types of loop-less K-shortest paths computing algorithms are explained next.

### 4.1 Algorithms based on brute-force method

Brute-force methods of computations are not efficient as it will test for all the possibilities. A brute-force type algorithm to compute K-shortest path is nonfinite for the input network with loop (implies the paths in the network are not finite). It is next going to review some of the available algorithms, which compute K-shortest paths in a brute-force method.

Bock, Kantner, and Haynes [1] present an enumeration algorithm to enumerate all possible paths from the origin to the destination in a network. The resulting paths are required to sort for selection of first K numbers of shortest paths.

This algorithm suffers from the disadvantages of large amount of computation and memory requirements. Computational complexity of this algorithm never depends on the size of K, as all the possible paths are computed irrespective of required K size.

Pollack [2] presents a method of finding the $\mathrm{K}^{\text {th }}$ shortest paths, which is required to calculate the (K-1) shortest paths first. It utilizes a method of temporarily setting the cost of each edge in each of the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, \ldots,(K-1)^{\text {th }}$ shortest paths into infinity and then calculate the shortest path from the relabeled network, which turn into the $\mathrm{K}^{\text {th }}$ shortest path.

The computational complexity of Pollack's algorithm increases exponentially with the value of $K$ as the computation of $K^{\text {th }}$ shortest path requires all the (K-1) paths to be calculated first. For example, if the first (K-1) shortest paths have n arcs in average, then the algorithm computes $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{K}-1}$ operations before the computation of $\mathrm{K}^{\text {th }}$ shortest path.

Sakarovitch [4] presents an algorithm to compute K shortest paths by computing more than K paths from the source to destination with or without loops first, and then the output of the first stage is scanned to obtain the K shortest paths without any loop.

It is hard to specify a computational upper bound for the Sakarovitch's algorithm, as it depends on the network structure and the computation of K shortest paths on any network with loop is slower than its loop-free representation.

### 4.2 Algorithms based on Optimality Principle

The Optimality Principle for shortest path problem is extended for the K-shortest path problems, which asserts that the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ shortest path is formed by $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ shortest paths, for $\mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}$ [20]. The available K-shortest paths algorithms based on Optimality Principle rank all the K shortest paths. Some of the available algorithms are reviewed with their computational example next. An example input network is shown as figure 1, which will be used to exemplify the algorithms next.


Fig 1: The network to exemplify the algorithms

The path ranking algorithms based on Optimality Principle are used to compute paths from the example input network for K $=2$ from source $s=1$ to destination $t=5$. The results are shown as figure 2, figure 3, and figure 4in step-by-step.


Fig 2: First three steps of path ranking computation
The algorithms start the calculation of K shortest path from the source node $\mathrm{s}=1$ and form a paths tree rooted at s . A list L is maintained throughout the computation to maintain the node list, which to be visited next by the algorithms. The pictorial explanation describes the re-visitation of nodes by making as-many-as primes with the node number. Total path cost is shown as extra label with every visiting of nodes. The dashed circle and nodes represent calculated path, which is removed later for its higher cost. The algorithms continue with adding nodes (adjacent nodes of the presently visiting node) and labeling relative path (starting from s ) to the nodes within the paths tree. The algorithm stops with the condition of $\mathrm{L}=\Phi$ (no more node is available to visit) and $\mathrm{K}=2$. The obtained $K$ shortest paths are ranked with $K=1$ and $K=2$.

The final tree of paths rooted at $s$ denotes all the paths computed irrespective of required K . The class of algorithms used to exemplify the computation are proposed by Shier [10,11,12]. These types of algorithms are referred as "Label Correcting" algorithm [20], as labels are corrected (by marking with dashed circles) in a situation of lower cost path available to reach any node. The theoretical complexity is not polynomial for worst case analysis for the particular type of algorithms since it is impossible to establish a polynomial upper bound for the number of labels required for each node in computation time. The "Label correcting" algorithms follow the notion of generalization of the Bellman-FordMoore form for the shortest path problem [21,22,23].


Fig 3: Next 3 steps of path ranking computation

The efficiency of this type of algorithms is improved by manipulating $L$ (the data structure to store node list) in a way as follows in Dijkstra's algorithm for computing shortest path [24]. The improved class of algorithm is referred as "Label Setting" algorithm in [20]. The "Label Setting" algorithms choose the next node to visit from the node list $L$, for which the path cost from the source is minimum among all other nodes in L .


Fig 4: Last 2 steps of path ranking computation
The improved algorithm become efficient to compute Kshortest paths based on the shortest sub paths only, which also excludes the computation of the possible all paths as appear in "Label Correcting" algorithms and hence no correction of labels are required at all.

Dreyfus [8], Martins and Santos [18] and others [5,6,7,17] are propose this form of algorithms. The computational and space complexity of the algorithm is both $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Km})$.

### 4.3 Algorithms based on path deviation

The Optimality Principle asserts that "there is a shortest path formed by shortest sub-paths", which is further extended for K-shortest path problem. Optimality Principle with K-shortest path problem asserts that the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ shortest path is formed by $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ shortest paths, for $\mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{k}$ [20].

Yen's [13] algorithm is designed with direct implementation of the assertion. The algorithm starts with the $1^{\text {st }}$ shortest path $\pi_{1}$. To compute the $2^{\text {nd }}$ shortest path $\pi_{2}$, the $1^{\text {st }}$ shortest path will be utilized to find out a node $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ on the path of $\pi_{1}$ such that the cost $c(s, i)+c(i, t)$ is lowest for all $i$, and the first edge of the path $P_{i t}$ is not used in the path $\pi_{1}$. The special node $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is known as "deviation node" and the path $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{it}}$ will be used as deviation path to construct the 2nd shortest path. A path list L is maintained along with the computation to access already computed paths. The algorithm will continue the process of utilizing previous paths to compute the new path.
A computational example is shown as figure 5, which uses the input network shown as figure 1 to compute K-shortest paths for $K=2$.


Fig 5: Computational example of Yen's algorithm

The computation starts with the shortest path p1 as shown in step 1. In step 2, the algorithm will search a deviation node on the path p 1 to compute a deviation path. Considering node 1 as the deviation node, the resulting deviation paths are calculated as 1-3-5 with path cost 6 and 1-2-5 with path cost 9. So 1-3-5 is the minimum cost deviation path (no lower cost paths are available considering node 4 as deviation node) will be added as the second shortest path p 2 . The algorithm terminates with $\mathrm{K}=2$. The tree available in step 2 is the K shortest paths tree rooted at starting node s.

Computational complexity and space complexity of Yen's algorithm are $\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{Kn}^{3}\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+\mathrm{Kn}\right)$ respectively [13].

To improve the performance of the algorithm, a shortest paths tree from all nodes to the destination node is useful to provide readily available shortest paths for the calculation of path cost from the deviation node to the destination. A reverse orientation tree is shown as figure 6 formed by shortest paths from all nodes to the destination node.


Fig 6: Shortest paths tree in reverse orientation
The computational complexity of Yen's algorithm reduced to $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Kn})$, when the shortest paths tree from all nodes to the destination node is determined separately. Further improvements in computational complexity are observed with the Martin, Pascoal, and Santos' algorithm [20] and the new implementation of Yen's algorithm [25] through the support of edge arrangement in sorted forward star form [19] and implicit representation of paths proposed by Eppstein[9]. $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Kn}+\mathrm{m} \log \mathrm{m})$ is the reported improved computational complexity [20] of the newer implementation of the Yen's algorithm.

## 5. COMPARISON OF AVAILABLE ALGORITHMS

The available algorithms for computing K-shortest paths are compared with their time and space complexity. The comparison is shown as table 1 within the appendix.

## 6. APPLICATIONS OF K-SHORTEST PATHS ALGORITHM

The computation of shortest path included in the situations in which an actual path is desired as output, such as network connection routing, highway and power line engineering, robot motion planning and others. Many optimization problems and complicated matrix searching techniques, such as knapsack problem, construction of optimal inscribed polygon, sequence alignment in molecular biology, lengthlimited Huffman coding and others can be solved using shortest path problems [9].

Computation of K-shortest paths find out not only the shortest path but rank more (K-1) shortest paths, which implies about the availability of more (K-1) numbers of backup shortest paths for consideration. Availability of backup options enables the users to apply additional constraints. For example, route selection in power transmission line $[9,26]$ should connect the endpoints directly. But to provide some
community support the typical solution is to compute several shortest paths and then choose the optimum among them considering the criterion.

K -shortest paths computing enable the users to determine the sensitivity of an optimal solution by varying the problem's parameters. For instance, a biologist can determine the best of an alignment from the available instances of biological sequence alignments generated from more than one shortest paths computation.

## 7. CONCLUSION

Current research trend on optimization problem in various domains should be able to incorporate more number of constraints through the use of efficient multiple shortest paths computation methods. This paper emphasizes on K-shortest paths based multiple shortest path computation technique with the detail of the available computationally efficient algorithms.
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## Appendix

Table 1. Comparison of available algorithms

| Algorithm | Type of algorithm | Time complexity | Space complexity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bock, Kantner, and Haynes' | Brute-force | Difficult to specify |  |
| Pollack's |  | $\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{K}}\right)$ | $\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}+\mathrm{Km}\right)$ |
| Sakarovitch's |  | Difficult to specify |  |
| Shier's | Label correcting | Difficult to specify |  |
| Dreyfus' | Label setting | $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Km})$ | $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Km})$ |
| Martins and Santos' |  | $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Km})$ | $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Km}+\mathrm{n})$ |
| Yen's | path deviation | $\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{Kn}^{3}\right)$ | $\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+\mathrm{Kn}\right)$ |
| Martin, Pascoal, and Santos' |  | $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Kn}+\mathrm{m} \log \mathrm{m})$ | Not reported |

