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#### Abstract

For a positive integer $n>1$, the unitary addition Cayley $\operatorname{graph} G_{n}$ is the graph whose vertex set is $V\left(G_{n}\right)=Z_{n}=$ $\{0,1,2, \cdots, n-1\}$ and the edge set $E\left(G_{n}\right)=\{a b \mid a, b \in$ $\left.Z_{n}, a+b \in U_{n}\right\}$ where $U_{n}=\left\{a \in Z_{n} \mid \operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1\right\}$. For $G_{n}$ the independence number, chromatic number, edge chromatic number, diameter, vertex connectivity, edge connectivity and perfectness are determined.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we consider only finite, simple, undirected graphs. For standard terminology and notation in graph theory we follow [8] and algebraic graph theory we follow [1], [7]. Degree of a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$ is the number of edges incident with that vertex and it is denoted by $d(v) . \delta(G)$ denotes minimum degree of the graph $G$ and $\Delta(G)$ denotes maximum degree of the graph $G$. The vertex connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of vertices whose removal results in a disconnected or trivial graph and the edge connectivity $\lambda(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of edges whose removal results in a disconnected or trivial graph. A graph is called regular if all vertices have same degree and a graph is called $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$-semi regular if its vertex set can be partitioned into two subsets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ such that all the vertices in $V_{i}$ are of degree $r_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.
A shortest $u-v$ path is called a geodesic. The diameter of a connected graph is the length of any longest geodesic. The set of vertices in a graph is independent if no two of them are adjacent. The largest number of vertices in such a set is called the independence number of $G$ and it is denoted by $\beta_{0}(G)$. An independent set of edges of $G$ has no two of its edges adjacent and the maximum cardinality of such a set is the mactching number $\beta_{1}(G)$ or $\beta_{1}$. A vertex and an edge are said to cover each other if they are incident. A set of vertices which covers all the edges of a graph $G$ is called a vertex cover for $G$, while a set of edges which covers all the vertices is an edge cover. The minimum number of vertices in any vertex cover for $G$ is called its vertex covering number and is denoted by $\alpha_{0}(G) . \alpha_{1}(G)$ is the smallest number
of edges in any edge cover of $G$ and is called its edge covering number.
A clique of a graph $G$ is a complete sub graph of $G$, and the clique of largest possible size is referred to as a maximum clique. The clique number of a graph $G$ is the number of vertices in a maximum clique of $G$, denoted $\omega(G)$. The vertex chromatic number $\chi(G)$ is defined as the minimum number of colours such that no two adjacent vertices share a common colour. The edge chromatic number $\chi^{\prime}(G)$ is the minimum number of colours such that no two adjacent edges share a common colour.
A graph $G$ is perfect, if for every induced sub graph $G^{\prime} \subseteq G$ the clique number and the chromatic number coincide, $\omega\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\chi\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a multiplicative group with identity 1 . For $S \subseteq \Gamma, 1 \notin S, S^{-1}=\left\{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\right\}=S$ the Cayley graph $X=\operatorname{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$ is the undirected graph having vertex set $V(X)=\Gamma$ and edge set $E(X)=\left\{(a, b) \mid a b^{-1} \in S\right\}$. The cayley graph $X$ is regular of degree $|S|$.

For a positive integer $n>1$, the unitary Cayley graph $X_{n}$ is the graph whose vertex set is $Z_{n}$, the integers modulo $n$ and if $U_{n}$ denotes set of all units of the ring $Z_{n}$, then two vertices $a, b$ are adjacent if and only if $a-b \in U_{n}$. The unitary Cayley graph $X_{n}$ is also defined as, $X_{n}=\operatorname{Cay}\left(Z_{n}, U_{n}\right)$. The graph $X_{n}$ is regular of degree $\left|U_{n}\right|=\phi(n)$, where $\phi(n)$ denotes the Euler phi function [5].

For a positive integer $n>1$, the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}=\operatorname{Cay}^{+}\left(Z_{n}, U_{n}\right)$ is the graph whose vertex set is $Z_{n}=\{0,1,2, \cdots, n-1\}$ and the edge set $E\left(G_{n}\right)=\left\{a b \mid a, b \in Z_{n}, a+b \in U_{n}\right\}$ where $U_{n}=\left\{a \in Z_{n} \mid \operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1\right\}$. The graph $G_{n}$ is regular if $n$ is even and semi regular if $n$ is odd [12].

Figures 1 and 2 show some examples of unitary addition Cayley graphs.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

THEOREM 1 [8]. The minimum number of vertices separating two nonadjacent vertices s and the maximum number of disjoint $s-t$ paths.


Fig. 1. $G_{10}$


Fig. 2. $G_{5}$
THEOREM 2 [8]. For any graph $G$, the edge chromatic number satisfies the inequalities, $\Delta \leq \chi^{\prime}(G) \leq \Delta+1$.

THEOREM 3 [12]. The unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$ is isomorphic to the unitary Cayley graph $X_{n}$ if and only if $n$ is even.

THEOREM 4 [2]. The edge chromatic number $\chi^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right)$ of the unitary Cayley graph $X_{n}$ is $\phi(n)$ if $n$ is even.

THEOREM 5 [2]. The edge connectivity $\lambda\left(X_{n}\right)$ of the unitary Cayley graph $X_{n}$ is $\phi(n)$ if $n$ is even.

THEOREM 6 [9]. The unitary Cayley graph $X_{n}$ has vertex connectivity $\kappa\left(X_{n}\right)=\phi(n)$.

THEOREM 7 [9]. If $p$ is the smallest prime divisor of $n$, then we have $\chi\left(X_{n}\right)=\omega\left(X_{n}\right)=p$.

THEOREM 8 [12]. Let $m$ be any vertex of the unitary addition cayley graph $G_{n}$. Then

$$
d(m)= \begin{cases}\phi(n) & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \phi(n) & \text { if } n \text { is odd and } \operatorname{gcd}(m, n) \neq 1 \\ \phi(n)-1 & \text { if } n \text { is odd and } \operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1\end{cases}
$$

THEOREM 9 [10]. Let $p$ be a prime number. Then $x^{2} \equiv$ $1(\bmod p)$ if and only if $x \equiv \pm 1(\bmod p)$.

THEOREM 10 [6]. The order of an element in a direct product of a finite number of finite groups is the least common multiple of the orders of the components of the element.

COROLLARY 1 [12]. The total number of edges in the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$ is

$$
\left|E\left(G_{n}\right)\right|= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} n \phi(n) & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \frac{1}{2}(n-1) \phi(n) & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

THEOREM 11 [11]. Let $G$ be a graph with diameter $\leq 2$. Then the edge connectivity $\lambda(G)$ is equal to the minimum degree $\delta(G)$.

THEOREM 12 [4]. Strong Perfect Graph Theorem(SPGT). A graph $G$ is perfect if and only if $G$ and its complement $\bar{G}$ have no induced cycles of odd length atleast 5.

THEOREM 13 [3]. Let $G \neq K_{n}$ be a graph of order $n$, then $\kappa(G) \geq 2 \delta(G)+2-n$.

ObSERVATION 1. Unitary addition cayley graph $G_{n}(n \geq 3)$ can be decomposed into $\frac{\phi(n)}{2}$ disjoint Hamiltonian cycles if $n$ is even and can be decomposed into $\frac{\phi(n)}{2}-1$ disjoint Hamiltonian cycles if $n$ is odd.

## 3. CONNECTIVITY AND INDEPENDENCE OF UNITARY ADDITION CAYLEY GRAPH

LEMMA 14. If $n$ is odd then the number of elements in $U_{n}$ of order 2 is $2^{r}$ (we consider identity 1 has order 2) and these elements are represented in the form $H=\left\{x \in U_{n} \mid x=\beta_{x} Z\right\}$ where $\beta_{x}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}a_{1 x} & a_{2 x} & a_{3 x} & \cdots & a_{r x}\end{array}\right], Z=\left[\begin{array}{c}\left(Z_{1}\right)^{\left(p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}-p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1}\right)} \\ \left(Z_{2}\right)^{\left(p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-1}}\right)} \\ \vdots \\ \left(Z_{r}\right)^{\left(p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}-p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}-1}\right)}\end{array}\right], Z_{i}=$ $n / p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$ and $a_{i x} \in\{1,-1\}, 1 \leq i \leq r$, where $r$ is the number of distinct prime factors of $n$.

PROOF. If $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime then $U_{m n}$ is isomorphic to $U_{m} \oplus U_{n}$. Suppose $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} p_{3}^{\alpha_{3}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}$. Then each pair of elements $\left(p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}, p_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}\right), i \neq j$, is relatively prime and $U_{n}=$ $U_{p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} p_{3}^{\alpha_{3}} \ldots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}} \approx U_{p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}} \oplus U_{p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}}$.
The number of elements in $U_{n}$ of order 2 is $2^{r}$, since the order of an element of a direct product of a finite number of finite groups is the least common multiple of the order of the components of the element.
Let $x^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$ and $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} p_{3}^{\alpha_{3}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}$
This implies $x^{2} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2} & \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
x^{2} & \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $x \equiv \pm 1\left(\bmod p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\right)$

$$
x \equiv \pm 1\left(\bmod p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}\right)
$$

$x \equiv \pm 1\left(\bmod p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}\right)$
Using Chinese remainder theorem and Eulerś theorem, we get $x= \pm\left(Z_{1}\right)^{\left(p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}-p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1}\right)} \pm\left(Z_{2}\right)^{\left(p_{2}^{\left.\alpha_{2}-p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-1}\right)} \pm \cdots \pm\right.}$ $\left(Z_{r}\right)^{\left(p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}-p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}-1}\right)}(\bmod n)$ where $Z_{i}=n / p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}, 1 \leq i \leq r$.
$x=\beta_{x} Z(\bmod n)$ where $\beta_{x}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}a_{1 x} & a_{2 x} & a_{3 x} & \cdots\end{array} a_{r x}\right]$ and
$Z=\left[\begin{array}{c}\left(Z_{1}\right)^{\left(p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}-p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1}\right)} \\ \left(Z_{2}\right)^{\left(p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}-p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-1}\right)} \\ \vdots \\ \left(Z_{r}\right)^{\left(p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}-p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}-1}\right)}\end{array}\right], Z_{i}=n / p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$ and $a_{i x} \in\{1,-1\}, 1 \leq$
$i \leq r$.
THEOREM 15. Let $n$ be an odd number. Then the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$ is $k$ - partite, $k=\frac{\phi(n)}{2^{r}}+r$, where $r$ is the number of distinct prime factors of $n$.

PROOF. Let $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} p_{3}^{\alpha_{3}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}, p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{r}$, $p_{i}$ 's are distinct prime factors of $n, 1 \leq i \leq r$. Then the sets $\left\langle p_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle p_{i}\right\rangle \backslash \cup_{1 \leq j \leq i-1}\left\{\left\langle p_{j}\right\rangle\right\}, 2 \leq i \leq r$ are distinct independent sets in $G_{n}$. So $V\left(G_{n}\right)-U_{n}$ splitting into $r$ distinct independent sets.
By lemma 14 the number of elements of order 2 in $U_{n}$ is $2^{r}$ and they are $H=\left\{x \in U_{n} \mid x=\beta_{x} Z\right\}$.
Suppose $x, y \in H$, then $x=\beta_{x} Z, y=\beta_{y} Z$ and $x+y=\left(\beta_{x}+\right.$ $\left.\beta_{y}\right) Z$, so $\beta_{x}+\beta_{y}=\left[b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{r}\right], b_{i}=a_{i x}+a_{i y} \in\{0,2,-2\}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$.
If all $b_{i}$ 's are zeros then $\operatorname{gcd}(x+y, n)=\operatorname{gcd}(0, n)=n$, it implies that $x+y \notin U_{n}$. If some $b_{i}$ 's are non-zeros, say $b_{s_{1}}, b_{s_{2}}, \cdots, b_{s_{t}}$, $1 \leq t \leq r-1$ then corresponding $\left(Z_{s_{l}}\right)^{\left(p_{s_{l}}^{\alpha_{s_{l}}}-p_{s_{l}}^{\alpha_{s_{l}-1}}\right)}$ does not contain $p_{s_{l}}^{\alpha_{s_{l}}}, 1 \leq l \leq r-1$, therefore $\operatorname{gcd}(x+y, n)=\frac{n}{q}$ where $q=p_{s_{1}}^{\alpha_{s_{1}}} p_{s_{2}}^{\alpha_{s_{2}}} \cdots p_{s_{t}}^{\alpha_{s_{t}}}$. It implies that $x+y \notin U_{n}$.
That is $H$ is an independent set in $G_{n}$.
In a similar manner, we can prove that $2^{l} H$ is an independent set in $G_{n}$, where $1 \leq l<\frac{\phi(n)}{2^{r}}$.
Suppose $x \in 2^{l} H$
$\Leftrightarrow x=2^{l} \beta_{x} Z=\left(2^{l} \beta_{x}\right) Z$
$\Leftrightarrow x=\beta_{k} Z$ where $\beta_{k}=2^{l} \beta_{x}$ and it has elements $+2^{l}$ and $-2^{l}$
$\Leftrightarrow x \notin 2^{t} H, 0 \leq l, t<\frac{\phi(n)}{2^{r}}, l \neq t$
So $H, 2^{1} H, 2^{2} H, \cdots, 2^{l} H$ are distinct independent sets, each set has $2^{r}$ elements.
Hence the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$ is $k$ partite, $k=$ $\frac{\phi(n)}{2^{r}}+r$, where $r$ is the number of distinct prime factors of $n$.

THEOREM 16. Independence number of the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}, n \geq 3$, is

$$
\beta_{0}\left(G_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{n}{2} & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \frac{n}{p_{1}} & \text { if } n \text { is odd but not a prime number } \\ 2 & \text { if } n \text { is prime } .\end{cases}
$$

where $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}, p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{r}, \alpha_{i} \geq 1,1 \leq i \leq$ $r$.

Proof. Suppose $n$ is even. Then the sets $H=$ $\{0,2,4, \cdots, n-2\}$ and $L=\{1,3,5, \cdots, n-1\}$ are independent in $G_{n}$. It implies $G_{n}$ has only two independent sets and both has $\frac{n}{2}$ elements. Hence independence number is $\frac{n}{2}$.
Next, suppose $n$ is odd, but not a prime. Then the sets $K_{i}=<p_{i}>, 1 \leq i \leq r$, are independent in $G_{n}$. In these sets $K_{1}$ is maximum, since number of elements in $K_{i}$ are $\frac{n}{p_{i}}$.
Any independent set in $U_{n}$ has atmost $2^{r}$ elements where $r$ is the number of distinct prime factors of $n$, but $\frac{n}{p_{1}}>2^{r}$. So $K_{1}$ is a maximum independent set and hence independence number is $\frac{n}{p_{1}}$. Suppose $n=p$, where $p$ is a prime number, then the vertex zero has degree $p-1$ and all other vertices have degree $p-2$. Let $W=V\left(G_{n}\right)-\{0\}$. For every $v \in W, v$ is adjacent to all vertices in $G_{n}$ except the vertex $n-v$ in $W$, so the independence number is 2 .

COROLLARY 2. Covering number of the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}, n \geq 3, n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}, p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<$ $p_{r}, \alpha_{i} \geq 1,1 \leq i \leq r i s$

$$
\alpha_{0}\left(G_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{n}{2} & \text { if } n \text { is even }, \\ \left(\frac{p_{1}-1}{p_{1}}\right) n & \text { if } n \text { is odd but not a prime number }, \\ n-2 & \text { if } n \text { is prime } .\end{cases}
$$

THEOREM 17. Matching number of the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}, n \geq 3$, is

$$
\beta_{1}\left(G_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{n}{2} & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. In $G_{n}$, the generating set $U_{n}$ must contain 1 .
Suppose $n$ is even. Then edge set $E_{1}=\{(0,1),(n-1,2),(n-$ $\left.2,3), \cdots,\left(\frac{n+2}{2}, \frac{n}{2}\right)\right\}$ is an independent set in $G_{n}$ and $\left|E_{1}\right|=\frac{n}{2}$. Suppose the matching number is greater than $\frac{n}{2}$, by definition of matching number the number of end vertices are greater than $2\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$. It contradicts the total number of vertices in $G_{n}$. So matching number is $\frac{n}{2}$.
Suppose $n$ is odd, then the edge set $E_{2}=\{(0,1),(n-1,2),(n-$ $\left.2,3), \cdots,\left(\frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right)\right\}$ is an independent set in $G_{n}$ and $\left|E_{2}\right|=$ $\frac{n-1}{2}$.
Suppose the matching number is greater than $\frac{n-1}{2}$, that is matching number is greater than or equal to $\frac{n+1}{2}$. By definition of matching number the number of end vertices are greater than or equal to $2\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)$. It contradicts the total number of vertices in $G_{n}$. So matching number is $\frac{n-1}{2}$.

COROLLARY 3. An edge covering number of the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}, n \geq 3$, is

$$
\alpha_{1}\left(G_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{n}{2} & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \frac{n+1}{2} & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

ObSERVATION 2. Let $u, v, w \in V\left(G_{n}\right)$. Vertex $w$ is a common neighbour of $u$ and $v$, if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(u+w, n)=\operatorname{gcd}(v+$ $w, n)=1$. Then there exist unique $x, y \in Z_{n}$ such that $u+w \equiv x \bmod n, v+w \equiv y \bmod n$.
Now $w \equiv x-u \equiv y-v$ becomes a common neighbour of $u$ and $v$, if and only if $x-y \equiv u-v \bmod n, x, y \in U_{n}$. This congruence has atleast one solution if $n$ is odd.

THEOREM 18. The diameter of the unitary addition cayley $\operatorname{graph} G_{n}, n>2$, is

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(G_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } n \text { is prime }, \\ 2 & \text { if } n \text { is even and } n=2^{m}, m \geq 2 \\ 3 & \text { if } n \text { is even and } n \neq 2^{m}, m \geq 2 \\ 2 & \text { if } n \text { is odd but not a prime }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Suppose $n=p, p$ is prime, then $U_{p}=$ $\{1,2,3, \cdots, p-1\}$. If $u \in U_{p}$ then $u$ is adjacent to $p-2$ vertices including 0 and 0 is adjacent to all vertices. This implies diameter of $G_{n}$ is 2 .
Suppose $n$ is even and $n=2^{m}(m \geq 2)$, then $U_{n}=$ $\{1,3,5, \cdots, n-1\}$. An element 0 in $V\left(G_{n}\right)$ is adjacent to a vertex $u$ where $u \in U_{n}$ and $u$ is adjacent to all even vertices. This implies diameter of $G_{n}$ is 2 .
Suppose $n\left(n \neq 2^{m}, m \geq 2\right)$ is even and $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}$, $p_{1}<p_{2} \cdots<p_{r}, \alpha_{i} \geq 1, p_{i}$ are distinct prime factors of $n$, $1 \leq i \leq r$.
In $G_{n}$, zero is non adjacent to $p_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq r$, also zero and $p_{i}(2 \leq i \leq r)$ has no common vertex, since zero is adjacent to some odd vertices and $p_{i}(2 \leq i \leq r)$ is adjacent to some even vertices. Therefore $\operatorname{diam}\left(G_{n}\right) \geq 3$.
In $G_{n}$ both even or both odd vertices are non adjacent. If $u$ and $v$ are odd (even) vertices in $G_{n}$ then they have atleast one common vertex $w$ in $G_{n}$ and $w$ is even (odd), since $G_{n}$ is connected. We consider two non adjacent vertices $v$ (even) and $u$ (odd) in $G_{n}, v$ is adjacent to some vertex $x$ (odd) in $G_{n}$. Here $x$ and $u$ are odd vertices then they have a common vertex $y$ (even) in $G_{n}$. Passing along
$v, x, y$ and $u$, shows $\operatorname{diam}\left(G_{n}\right)=d(v, u) \leq 3$.
Suppose $n$ is odd but not a prime, then every pair of distinct non adjacent vertices have a common neighbour. This implies diameter of $G_{n}$ is 2 .

THEOREM 19. Edge connectivity of the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$ is

$$
\lambda\left(G_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\phi(n) & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \phi(n)-1 & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Suppose $n$ is odd $\lambda\left(G_{n}\right)=\phi(n)-1$, by Theorems 8 . 11 and 18
Suppose $n$ is even $\lambda\left(G_{n}\right)=\phi(n)$, by Theorems 3 and 5
COROLLARY 4. Vertex connectivity of the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$ is

$$
\kappa\left(G_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\phi(n) & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \phi(n)-1 & \text { if } n \text { is prime }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Suppose $n$ is even $\kappa\left(G_{n}\right)=\phi(n)$, by Theorems 3 and

## 6

Suppose $n$ is prime say $p$, then $\kappa\left(G_{p}\right)=\phi(p)-1$, by Theorems 13 and 19

REMARK 1. For all $n, 2 \phi(n)-n \leq \kappa\left(G_{n}\right) \leq \phi(n)-1$.

## 4. CHROMATIC AND CLIQUE NUMBER OF UNITARY ADDITION CAYLEY GRAPH

THEOREM 20. Chromatic number of the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$ is $\chi\left(G_{n}\right)=2$ if $n$ is even and $\chi\left(G_{n}\right) \leq \frac{\phi(n)}{2^{r}}+r$ if $n$ is odd, where $r$ is the number of distinct prime factors of $n$.

Proof. Suppose $n$ is even. By Theorems 3 and $7 \chi\left(G_{n}\right)=2$. If $n$ is odd then $G_{n}$ splitting into $\frac{\phi(n)}{2^{r}}+r$ distinct independent sets. Therefore $\chi\left(G_{n}\right) \leq \frac{\phi(n)}{2^{r}}+r$.

THEOREM 21. Edge chromatic number of the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$ is $\phi(n)$.

Proof. Suppose $n$ is odd. From the definition of proper edge colouring $G_{n}$ contains atmost $\frac{n-1}{2}$ edges of a same colour. By Corollary 11, atmost $\phi(n)$ colours are needed to colour $G_{n}$. So $\chi^{\prime}\left(G_{n}\right) \leq \phi(n)$.
By Theorem 2 d $\phi(n) \leq \chi^{\prime}\left(G_{n}\right)$.
Therefore $\chi^{\prime}\left(G_{n}\right)=\bar{\phi}(n)$.
Suppose $n$ is even. By Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, edge chromatic number is $\phi(n)$.

THEOREM 22. Clique number of the unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \omega\left(G_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } n \text { is even, } \\
\frac{\phi(n)}{2}+1 & \text { if } n=p^{m}, p \neq 2 \text { and } m \geq 2 .\end{cases} \\
& \text { and } n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}, p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\omega\left(G_{n}\right) \geq \begin{cases}3 & \text { if } p_{1}=3 \\ \frac{p_{1}+1}{2} & \text { if } p_{1}>3\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Case 1. Suppose $n$ is even. By Theorems 3 and 7 . $\omega\left(G_{n}\right)=2$.
Case 2. For $n=p^{m}, p \neq 2$ and $m \geq 2$.
Let $U_{n}=\left\{ \pm u_{1}, \pm u_{2}, \cdots, \pm u_{k}\right\}$.
If $\phi(n)=2 k$ and $k$ is even, then $A=$
$\left\{0, u_{1}, u_{3}, \cdots, u_{k-1},-u_{k},-u_{k-2}, \cdots,-u_{2}\right\} \quad$ is a clique in $G_{n}$.
If $\phi(n)=2 k$ and $k$ is odd, then $B=$ $\left\{0, u_{1}, u_{3}, \cdots, u_{k},-u_{k-1},-u_{k-3}, \cdots,-u_{2}\right\} \quad$ is $\quad$ a clique in $G_{n}$.
In both case $|A|=|B|=\frac{\phi(n)}{2}+1$. So $\omega\left(G_{n}\right) \geq \frac{\phi(n)}{2}+1$.
From Theorem 20 we get $\omega\left(G_{n}\right) \leq \frac{\phi(n)}{2}+1$. Therefore $\omega\left(G_{n}\right)=\frac{\phi(n)}{2}+1$.
Case 3. For $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}$ and $p_{1}=3$.
The set $\left\{0, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ is a clique in $G_{n}$, so $\omega\left(G_{n}\right) \geq 3$.
Case 4. For $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}$ and $p_{1}>3$.
The set $\left\{0,1,2, \cdots, \frac{p_{1}-1}{2}\right\}$ is a clique in $G_{n}$, so $\omega\left(G_{n}\right) \geq$ $\frac{p_{1}+1}{2}$.

## 5. PERFECTNESS

Lemma 23. If $n$ is odd and has atleast two different prime divisors, then $\bar{G}_{n}$ contains an induced cycle $C_{5}$ of length 5 .

PROOF. Let $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{\alpha_{r}}, p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{r}$, where $r$ is the number of distinct prime factor of $n$.
Choose the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$ and $v_{5}$ in the following manner $v_{1}=0, v_{2}=p_{r}, v_{3}=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r-1}-p_{r}, v_{4}=$ $-2 p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r-1}+p_{r}$,
$v_{5}=2 p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r-1}$. The vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$ and $v_{5}$ are distinct. These vertices form a cycle $C_{5}$ of $\bar{G}_{n}$, because
$v_{1}+v_{2} \equiv v_{4}+v_{5} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{r}\right)$
$v_{1}+v_{5} \equiv v_{2}+v_{3} \equiv v_{3}+v_{4} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{i}\right), i=1,2, \cdots, r-1$. It follows that the edges $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}, \cdots\left\{v_{5}, v_{1}\right\}$ belong to $\bar{G}_{n}$.
Next to show that this $C_{5}$ has no chords in $\bar{G}_{n}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}+v_{3}=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r-1}-p_{r} \\
& v_{1}+v_{4}=-2 p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r-1}+p_{r} \\
& v_{2}+v_{4}=-2\left(p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r-1}-p_{r}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& v_{2}+v_{5}=2 p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r-1}+p_{r} \\
& v_{3}+v_{5}=3 p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{r-1}-p_{r}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1), we get $v_{1}+v_{3}, v_{1}+v_{4}, v_{2}+v_{4}, v_{2}+v_{5}$ and $v_{3}+v_{5}$ are non divisible by $p_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, r$. Therefore the cycle $C_{5}$ is an induced cycle in $\bar{G}_{n}$.
REMARK 2. Unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$ is not perfect if $n$ is odd and has atleast two different prime divisors.

LEMMA 24. Let $n=p^{m}$, where $p$ is a prime number and $p>$ 2. Then $\bar{G}_{n}$ has no induced odd cycle $C_{2 k+1}, k \geq 2$.

Proof. Assume that $\bar{G}_{n}$ contains an induced cycle $C_{2 k+1}, k \geq 2$, which runs through the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{2 k+1}$ in this order.
We consider three consecutive edges $\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right\},\left\{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}\right\},\left\{v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}\right\} \quad$ in $C_{2 k+1}$. This implies that $v_{i}+v_{i+1}, v_{i+1}+v_{i+2}$ and $v_{i+2}+v_{i+3}$ are divisible by $p$ in $\bar{G}_{n}$.
Adding first and third term, we get $v_{i}+v_{i+1}+v_{i+2}+v_{i+3}$, which is divisible by $p$.
This implies that $v_{i}+v_{i+3}$ is divisible by $p$ in $\bar{G}_{n}$.
It follows that $\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+3}\right\}$ is an edge in $G_{n}$. It is a contradiction to our assumption.

LEMMA 25. Let $n=p^{m}$, where $p$ is a prime number and $p>$ 2. Then $G_{n}$ has no induced odd cycle $C_{2 k+1}, k \geq 2$.

Proof. Assume that $G_{n}$ contains an induced cycle $C_{2 k+1}, k \geq$ 2 , which runs through the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{2 k+1}$ in this order. We consider two cases.
Case 1. Atleast one $v_{i} \in\{<p>\}$, say $v$. Then $v$ is non adjacent to all vertices $v_{j} \in\{<p>\}, 1 \leq j \leq 2 k+1$. It is a contradiction to our assumption.
Case 2. Let $k \geq 3$ and all $v_{i} \notin\{<p>\}$.
If $x \in U\left(p^{m}\right)$ then any vertex $y$ non adjacent to $x$ is of the form $y=l p-x \in U\left(p^{m}\right), 1 \leq l \leq \frac{n}{p}$. In $C_{2 k+1}, v_{1}$ is non adjacent to atleast three vertices, say $v_{x}, v_{y}$ and $v_{z}$. So $v_{x}=l_{1} p-v_{1}, v_{y}=$ $l_{2} p-v_{1}$ and $v_{z}=l_{3} p-v_{1}, 1 \leq l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3} \leq \frac{n}{p}$. Here $v_{x}+v_{y}=$ $\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) p-2 v_{1}, v_{x}+v_{z}=\left(l_{1}+l_{3}\right) p-2 v_{1}$, and $v_{y}+v_{z}=$ $\left(l_{2}+l_{3}\right) p-2 v_{1}$. So $v_{x}+v_{y}, v_{x}+v_{z}, v_{y}+v_{z} \in U_{n}$. It is a contradiction to our assumption.
Assume that $G_{n}$ contains an induced cycle $C_{5}$, which run through the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$ and $v_{5}$. So $v_{1}+v_{4}, v_{1}+v_{3}, v_{2}+v_{4}, v_{2}+v_{5}$ and $v_{3}+v_{5}$ are divisible by $p$. Adding $v_{1}+v_{3}$ and $v_{2}+v_{5}$, we get $v_{1}+v_{3}+v_{2}+v_{5}$ is divisible by $p$. Also $v_{3}+v_{5}$ is divisible by $p$. This implies that $v_{1}+v_{2}$ is divisible by $p$. It is a contradiction to our assumption.

Combining the lemmas 24,25 and using the property of bipartite, now we can prove the following result.

THEOREM 26. The unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}, n \geq 2$, is perfect if and only if $n$ is even or $n=p^{m}, m \geq 1$.

## 6. CONCLUSION

In this paper we determine some structural properties of unitary addition Cayley graph $G_{n}$, including diameter, connectivity and perfectness.
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