A Study on the Coverage-based Issues in Wireless Sensor Networks

Aiman J. Albarakati
Department of Computer Engineering,
College of Computer and Information Science, Majmaah University,
Majmaah, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT
In recent times, WSN's technology has progressed too much in seizing the concentration of individuals. Such milieu/setting/backgrounds may possibly comprise loads of economical nodes and all are quite accomplished and skilled of collecting, storing, and processing ecological data, and corresponds to neighboring nodes by means of wireless links. A brief outline of basic predicaments/dilemmas of coverage and connectivity, surveillance and exposure in WSN's and energy-preserving protocols for sensor networks has been presented here, which draws on that how fine a concern field is supervised or observed or in other words tracked by specified sensors.

Keywords: WSN's, Sensors Communication, Exposure Issues

1. INTRODUCTION
An express growth of embedded and wireless communication technologies has crafted WSN's promising one. Long-ago, wire-lines were used to connect sensors. In the present day, this background is pooled with the new-fangled technology of ad-hoc networking to smooth the progress of inter-sensor communication [20][25]. The suppleness of setting up and the configuration of a sensor network are consequently significantly enhanced. Lately, various studies and explorations have been committed to such technology, comprising the devise concerns linked to the physical and MAC layers [23][31][34] Transport as well as routing protocols [3][5][8]. [2][21][27] Has illustrated the positioning and localization and applications of WSN's in details. In view of the fact that sensors may possibly be extend in a capricious approach, Coverage is one of the most primarily concern or a deep-seated dilemma's in a WSN's. And generally this issue is to find out how glowing the sensors monitored the area of sensing. This predicament has been put together/outlined in different ways in different studies. Some elucidations regarding coverage can be found even in computational geometry. Even though clarifications to those tribulations cannot be unswervingly put into actions to WSN's, it is at a standstill significant to explore those tribulations to set up a number of speculative environments on the concern issue. Undeniably lately, an assortment of efforts has been devoted to the tribulations or dilemmas of coverage in WSN's. These take account of the distress of coverage against connectivity predicaments when setting up a sensor network and surveillance and exposure of sensor networks. In contrast, a number of exertions are besieged at meticulous applications; however the fundamental inspiration is at rest associated to the coverage problem. Say, to lessen sensors on-duty time, those sensors that carve up the familiar sensing constituency and duty may possibly be turned off to save energy and as a result to pull out or lengthen the network existence. Having such on board, we oblige to settle on which sensors to be switched off and how to schedule them on-duty time such that no sightless point will come into view subsequent to turning off a number of nodes.

2. RELATED WORK
2.1. Research on Coverage and Connectivity (CC) Issues
The coverage crisis has been planned as a verdict dilemma in [10]. Say, an assortment of sensors brought into play in an objective region, the setback is to settle on if the region is satisfactorily k-covered, or in other words that each point in the objective region is sheltered bare minimum by k sensors, whereas k is an agreed parameter. More willingly than shaping or verifying the coverage of each location/region, the projected practice/scheme comes across at how the perimeter of every sensor's sensing range is covered/sheltered, consequently moving towards to a well-organized polynomial-time based algorithm. In particular, such algorithm makes an effort to conclude whether the sensor perimeter is satisfactorily covered or not. Via gathering this information from each and every one sensor, an acceptable response turns out to be expected. Every sensor initially finds out which segments of its perimeter are covered by its neighboring nodes. Those segments are subsequently classified in an ascending manner on the line segment. By passing through the concern line segment the sensor perimeter coverage is able to settle on. [10] Has already proved that on condition that the sensors perimeters are adequately covered, the entire region is adequately covered. The elucidation anticipated can be effortlessly rendered to a distributed protocol where sensors merely require collecting neighboring information to formulate its result. The result can be functional to unit and non-unit disk sensing fields, and also able to be broadening to unbalanced sensors sensing area. For productive maneuvering of sensor networks, the dynamic nodes are obliged to keep up together sensing coverage and network connectivity. [29] Put forwards an additional way out to resolve if an objective or target area/field is k-covered and additionally determines the connection between coverage and connectivity. Efforts have been made in this article on how intersection points between sensors' sensing ranges are covered to find out the level of coverage. It asserts that an area is k-covered by an assortment of sensors if all intersection or connecting points amid sensors and any sensor and the edge of this area are bare minimum k-covered. Nevertheless, this clarification may possibly invite superior computational intricacy in contrast with [10]. For connectivity, it declares that if an area is k-covered, in that case the sensor network is k-connected only if those sensors communication ranges are no fewer than twofold their sensing ranges. In [29] regards to abovementioned two aspects, there is a protocol named as

Coverage Configuration Protocol (CCP), which is able to endow with unusual degrees of coverage and for now uphold the communication connectivity, when the ranges of communications are no fewer/less than double of ranges of their sensing. At first, each and every one sensor is in the active condition. If a region surpasses the mandatory coverage degree, superfluous nodes will hit upon themselves pointless and will transform to the sleep condition. A sensor is superfluous to keep on dynamic if the entire intersection/meeting points surrounded by its sensing loop are no less than k-covered by further neighborhood nodes. A node in sleeping state as well from time to time wakes up and goes into the listen state. The sensor weighs up whether it is compulsory to go back to the active/dynamic state within in the listen state. If the communication ranges are not as much of twice as the sensing ranges, [29] put forward to incorporate SPAN [4] with CCP to endow with both coverage and connectivity. Whereas SPAN [4] is a connectivity sustaining protocol which is capable of turning off the superfluous nodes such that all dynamic nodes are linked all the way through a communication backbone and all inactive nodes are unswervingly coupled to as a minimum one active/dynamic node. [29] Recommends that an inactive node ought to turn into active tag along the regulations of SPAN or CCP. An active or dynamic node will switch to sleep state if it persuades neither CCP's nor SPAN's wake up policies. [7] Delves into the coverage and connectivity concerns from a different perspective. On issuing a spatial to the sensor network to call for the data of importance in an ecological area, we may possibly be fond of selecting the least subset of sensors which are coupled and are enough to cover up the area. The projected resolution is a voracious algorithm which intermittently opts for a path of sensors that is linked to an already chosen sensor and subsequently put in these sensors into the chosen subset till the agreed query area is fully covered. The greedy/voracious policy of the algorithm is to decide on a path of sensors that is able to cover the prime uncovered query area at every phase.

2.2. Research on Monitoring/Supervision and Coverage Concerns

In [1] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] and [28], coverage is observed as a parameter or dimension to weigh up or assess the surveillance/monitoring endowed by a fastidious sensor network. Among an agreed twosome of points in the sensing region, the fundamental inspiration is to locate a path concerning these two concern points which is preeminent or nastiest observed by sensors as soon as an object passes through all along the path. It is whispered that such a path may possibly be a sign of the unsurpassed or the pits sensing capability afforded by the concern sensor network. [13] Marks out the maximal infringe or violated path and the maximal support/prop up path like paths on which the detachment/distance from in the least point to the contiguous sensor is in upper limits and lower limits, correspondingly. To identify or discover such paths Polynomial-time based algorithms are projected. The principle suggestion is to bring into play the Delaunay triangulation and Voronoi diagram of sensor nodes to restrict seek out for the most favorable paths in all cases. Voronoi diagram is fashioned from the vertical bisectors of lines that join two adjacent sensors; on the other hand the Delaunay triangulation is fashioned by linking nodes that carve up a familiar perimeter in the Voronoi diagram. For the reason that the Voronoi diagram sensors line segments possess the utmost distance to the neighboring sensors, the maximal/utmost breach or violate path be obliged to be positioned on the line segments of the Voronoi diagram. To uncover the maximal breach path, every line segment has been allotted a weight equivalent to its bare minimum distance to the closest or neighboring sensor. The algorithm afterward carries out a binary search flanked by the negligible and prevalent weights. Each step entails, a breadth-first-search to confirm the existence of a path bear by the source point to the target point utilizing barely line segments by means of weights that are superior to the search decisive factor. On existence of a path, the criteria are enlarged to extra-restrict the lines well thought-out in the subsequently search iteration/loop. If not, the criterion or decisive factor is dropped off. In the same way, given that the Delaunay triangulation turns out triangles which have negligible edge lengths or extents surrounded by all potential triangulations, the utmost support path have got to be positioned on Delaunay triangulation of sensors lines. To hit upon the maximal support path, Delaunay triangulation weights of line segments are handed over the line segments lengths. Subsequent search phases are same as abovementioned. Distinctive from the breach and support paths perspective, the perception of time ought to be incorporated to reveal further rational likelihood of a stirring object being sensed while the sensing aptitude of sensors can be enhanced as the agreed exposure or in other words sensing time boosts. Let say, S is a sensor and an item or entity progress form points A to B with an invariable pace. In this scenario we have three achievable paths. Even though 3rd path is the outermost path from S, it is in addition the longest path as well. The object/entity stirring beside this path would seize too much time, consequently trailed by S longer. In convention to 3rd path, 2nd path is the shortest or closest path. If the object/entity moves/stirs beside this path, it is followed by S for the slightest time duration. On the other hand, 2nd path is contiguous to S and the strength of sensing would be far superior. Consequently, 1st path may possibly be the slightest exposure path surrounded by aforementioned three paths. [11][14] [15] [28] has clearly outlined the methods to stumble on the least exposure and utmost exposure paths that take into consideration the object monitored sensors. [14] identified he minimal/least exposure path, which can be reflected as the most horrible coverage of a sensor network. [14] Anticipated an approximation practice based on mathematics to work out the dilemma of verifying the minimal/least exposure path. Such approach or practice is to segregate the area of sensor network into grids and oblige the path to simply overtake the diagonals of grids or the girds edges. Every line segment is allocated a weight equivalent to the exposure of this segment. In that case an algorithm referred as single-source-shortest-path is brought into play to uncover the minimal/least exposure path. Furthermore in this regards [15] confers about the way to work out the sensor network exposure in a distributed approach. The principle suggestion is to exercise the well known Voronoi diagram to segregate the area of sensors and afterward each and every sensor is accountable for the computation of its owned area exposure. Abovementioned gird approximation approach is utilized in each field. [28] Put forward another localized algorithm to trim down the computational intricacy of the algorithm proposed in [15]. Furthermore in this perspective the notion of maximal exposure path has been introduced in [28], through which the overall sensors exposure is maximized or in other words the unsurpassed covered path by sensors. In [6] it has been proved that uncovering such a path is NP-hard by dipping the dilemma to the longest/farthest path predicament and subsequently puts forward a number of elucidations.

2.3. Research Issues arise from Geometric Computations

We have mainly two types of computational geometric issues which are closely coupled to the sensor network coverage dilemma. [18], is first one or in simple words known as Art Gallery Problem. Let's say that an art gallery owner wants to position cameras in the gallery such that the entire gallery is being closely observed and fully sheltered. In this regards two questions need to be responded or replied back: (a) Number of cameras that are required? (b) Position for the deployment of such cameras. Each and every point inside the gallery ought to be kept an eye on bare minimum by camera. And such cameras are taken for granted to have a 360 degrees viewpoint and revolve at an unbounded speed/pace. In addition, a camera is capable to keep an eye on any position or site to the extent that not anything is in the focus. The number of cameras brought into play ought to be diminished. The gallery is by and large mocked-up as a straightforward polygon on a 2-Dimensional plane. An uncomplicated way out to this crisis is to segregate the concern polygon into non-overlapping triangles and situate single camera in each and every of these triangles. By triangulating the modeled polygon, it has been given away that any straightforward polygon can be watched over by [n/3] cameras, whereas n is the number of triangles in the polygon. Even though this quandary is able to be worked out accordingly in a 2-Dimensional plane, it is given away to be NP-hard when being intensified to a 3-Dimensional space [19]. A further linked dilemma in computational geometry is the circle covering dilemma [30], which is to put together indistinguishable circles on a plane that is competent of fully covering the corresponding plane. Specified a predetermined number of circles, the objective is to lessen the radius of circles. [9] [16] [17] has been outlined this matter for the rectangle covering. The coverings by means of fewer than or equivalent to five or/and seven circles are capable to be completed in best favorable conditions [9]. [16] Demonstrates the six and eight circles coverings and bestows a novel covering with capability of eleven circles by means of simulated annealing based practice. [17] Has worked out the coverings having ample capability equal to thirty circles with roughly 0.2742918 radiuses for each circle. The aforementioned geometrical computation harms/tribulations are analogous to the temperament of coverage crisis in WSN's. We call for knowing whether the concern region is satisfactorily covered and monitored/observed. Sensors quantity is imperative in provisions of outlay. In addition theoretical backgrounds have been provided to the coverage problems by these results. On the other hand, there are a number of motives which construct elucidations of geometric concerns not unswervingly pertinent to WSN's.

2.4. Research on Energy Preservation & Coverage upholding Protocols related Issues

In view of the fact that sensors are by and large operated on batteries, their working time ought to be accurately planned to preserving the energy. If a number of nodes divide up the familiar region of sensing and responsibility, in that case we can off-ramp few of them to save energy and hence pull out the existence of the network. This is only viable if still endow with the identical coverage after turning off such nodes. [24] Puts forward a way out to go for equally fashionable sets of sensor nodes such that each and every set of sensors be able to endow with an absolute coverage of the monitored/scrutinized region. They declare that this dilemma is a NP-complete difficulty by dipping it to the least cover predicament. The main initiative of the anticipated heuristic is to discover which sensors cover fields that are least covered by further sensors and then evade comprising those covered sensors within the similar set. [33] Recommends a probe-based density control algorithm to locate a number of nodes in a sensor-dense vicinity to a snoozing mode to guarantee a drawn out, vigorous sensing coverage. In regards to this clarification, nodes are at first in the resting mode. After a snoozing node turns on, it put on air an inquisitive message surrounded by an assured range and subsequently hangs around for an answer back. Incase no respond is acknowledged surrounded by a pre-defined time phase; it will continue on the go in anticipation of depletes its energy. Sensor's probing range and wake-up rate both controlled the coverage degree density. Nevertheless, this probing-based advance has no assurance of sensing coverage and consequently blind points may possibly come into view. [26] Depicted a coverage-preserving node scheduling method to settle on when a node can be put on to sleep and when it ought to be carry over to turn into an active mode yet again. It is based on an entitled decree which consent a node to routinely switch to sleep mode on condition that other local nodes can cover region of its sensing. Once weigh up its eligibility for off-duty/having a break, each sensor takes on a retreat method to put off the manifestation of such blind points. If a node is qualified for off-duty, it will hold up an indiscriminate retreat time prior to truly turning itself off. Throughout this stage, if it be given any message from its neighbors/local nodes call for going to sleep mode, it blots the sender as an off-duty node and weigh up its eligibility. If the eligibility still holds after the back-off time, this node broadcasts a message to inform its neighbors, waits for a short period of time, and then actually turns itself off. A sleeping node will periodically wake up to check if it is still eligible for off-duty and then decide to keep sleeping or go back to on-duty. However, the elucidation in [26] may possibly direct towards to surplus energy utilization. A sensor only looks upon a node whose sensing range is able to cover the sensor being a neighboring node. [32] Proposed an additional node scheduling scheme, in which the time axis is separated into rounds by means of equivalent duration. Every sensor node then indiscriminately engenders a reference time in each one round. Moreover, the entire region of sensing is alienated hooked on grid points which are brought into play to appraise whether the region is satisfactorily covered or not. Every sensor must stick together with the schedule/plan of each and every grid point covered by it with respect to its so-called reference time such that the grid point is covered by as a minimum one sensor at some instant of a round. Subsequently a sensor's working time in each round is the unification of schedules/plans of all the concern grid points that the sensors covered. Nevertheless, this proposal may possibly undergo from the time harmonization dilemma in an extensive network of sensors.

3. CONCLUSION

We have depicted the most important closely coupled coverage dilemmas in WSN's. From future perspective, distributed protocols are required to work out and make a way out for these coverage concerns in a WSN's. The typical regions of sensing are characteristically taken it for granted as circles. When it comes down to it, they may perhaps be asymmetrical in form, or even trail a probabilistic representation. In more than a few exertions, the sensors communication distance is take for granted to be greatly farthest as compared to the sensors sensing distance. Which we really ponder is not accurate and it deems to have a call for more research.

4. REFERENCES

[1] "S. Adlakha & M. Srivastava". "Critical density thresholds for coverage in wireless sensor network"s. In IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conf. (WCNC), 2003..

[2] "P. Bahl & V. N. Padmanabhan". RADAR: An in-building RF-based user location and tracking system. In IEEE INFOCOM, 2000, pp. 775–784.

[3] "Braginsky & Estrin". "Rumor routing algorithm for sensor networks". In ACM International Workshop on Wireless Sensor Networks and Applications (WSNA), 2002.

[4] "Chen, Jamieson, and R. Morris". Span: an energy-efficient coordination algorithm for topology maintenance in ad hoc wireless networks. ACM/Kluwer Wireless Networks, 2002, Vol. 8, No. 5.

[5] " Ganesan, R. Govindan,". "Highly resilient, energy efficient multipath routing in wireless sensor networks". ACM Mobile Comput. and Commun. Review, 2001, Vol. 5, No. 4.

[6] "R. Garey & D. S. Johnson". "Computers and Intractabilit"y: ''A Guide to the Theory of Np-Completeness''. W H Freeman & Co., 1979.

[7] "H. Gupta,. R. Das,". "Connected sensor cover: Self-organization of sensor networks for efficient query execution". In ACM International Symp. on Mobile Ad Hoc Networking and Computing (MobiHOC), 2003, pp. 189–200.

[8] " R. Heinzelman", "A. Chandrakasan",. "Energy-efficient communication protocols for wireless microsensor networks". In Hawaii International Conf. on Systems Science (HICSS), 2000.

[9] 'Heppes & . Melissen". "Covering a rectangle with equal circles". Period. Math. Hung"., Vol. 34, 1996, pp. 65–81.

[10] ". Huang & Tseng". "The coverage problem in a wireless sensor network". In ACM International Workshop on Wireless Sensor Networks and Applications (WSNA), 2003, pp. 115–121.

[11] " Huang". "Solving an open sensor exposure problem using variational calculus. Technical Report WUCS-03-1", Washington University, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, St. Louis, Missouri, 2003.

[12] " Li, P. Wan, and O. Frieder". "Coverage in wireless ad hoc sensor networks. IEEE Trans. Comput., Vol. 52, No. 6, 2003, pp. 753–763.

[13] " Meguerdichian, Koushanfar, Potkonjak, & M. B. Srivastava". "Coverage problems in wireless ad-hoc sensor networks". In IEEE INFOCOM, 2001

[14] " Meguerdichian, Koushanfar & M. Potkonjak". "Exposure in wireless ad-hoc sensor networks". In ACM International Conf. on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom), 2001, pp. 139–150.

[15] " Meguerdichian, Slijepcevic, & M. Potkonjak". "Localized algorithms in wireless ad-hoc networks: location discovery and sensor exposure". In ACM International Symp. On Mobile Ad Hoc Networking and Computing (MobiHOC), 2001, pp. 106–116.

[16] "Melissen & P. C. Schuur". "Improved coverings of a square with six and eight equal circles". Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1996.

[17] " Nurmela & J. Ostergard". "Covering a square with up to 30 equal circles". Re- search Report A62, Helsinki University of Technology, Laboratory for Theoretical Computer Science, Espoo, Finland, June 2000.

[18] "'Rourke". "Art Gallery Theorems and Algorithm". Oxford University Press, 1987.

[19] " O'Rourke". "Computational geometry column' 15. Intl Journal of Computational Geometry and Applications, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1992, pp. 215–217.

[20] " J. Pottie & Kaiser". "Wireless integrated network sensors". Commun. ACM, Vol. 43, No. 5, 2000, pp. 51–58.

[21] " Savvides, C.-C. Han, & Strivastava"."Dynamic fine-grained localization in ad-hoc networks of sensors". In ACM International Conf. on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom), 2001, pp. 166–179.

[22] " Shakkottai, Srikant, & N. Shroff". "Unreliable sensor grids: coverage, connectivity and diameter. In IEEE INFOCOM", 2003, pp. 1073 – 1083.

[23] " Shih, H. Cho,, A. Sinha", "A. Wang, and A. Chandrakasan. Physical layer driven protocol and algorithm design for energy-efficient wireless sensor networks. In ACM International Conf. on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom), 2001,

[24] " Slijepcevic & M. Potkonjak". "Power efficient organization of wireless sensor networks". In IEEE International Conf. on Communications (ICC), 2001, pp. 472–476.

[25] '' Sohrabi, J. Gao, & G. J. Pottie". "Protocols for self-organization of a wireless sensor network". IEEE Personal Commun., Vol. 7, No. 5, 2000, pp. 16–27.

[26] " Tian & Georganas". "A node scheduling scheme for energy conservation in large wireless sensor networks". Wireless Commun. and Mobile Comput. (WCMC), Vol. 3, 2003, pp. 271–290.

[27] " Tseng,. Kuo, Lee, &. Huang". "Location tracking in a wireless sensor network by mobile agents and its data fusion strategies". In International Workshop on Information Processing in Sensor Networks (IPSN), 2003.

[28] " Veltri, Huang, Qu, & Potkonjak". "Minimal and maximal exposure path algorithms for wireless embedded sensor networks". In ACM International Conf. on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems (SenSys), 2003, pp. 40–50.

[29] " Wang, Xing, Zhang, Lu, Pless, & Gill". "Integrated coverage and connectiv- ity configuration in wireless sensor networks". In ACM International Conf. on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems (SenSys), 2003, pp. 28–39.

[30] "Williams". "The Geometrical Foundation of Natural Structure": A Source Book of Design, pp. 51–52. Dover, New York, 1979.

[31] " Woo & Culler". "A transmission control scheme for media access in sensor networks". In ACM International Conf. on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom), 2001, pp. 221–235.

[32] " Yan, He, & Stankovic". "Differentiated surveillance for sensor networks". In ACM International Conf. on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems (SenSys), 2003, pp. 51–62.

[33] " Ye, Zhong, Lu, & Zhang". "PEAS": "A robust energy conserving protocol for long-lived sensor networks". In International Conf. on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS), 2003.

[34] "Ye, Heidemann",& Estrin". "An energy-efficient MAC protocol for wireless sensor networks". In IEEE INFOCOM, 2002, pp. 1567–1576.

[35] " Zhang &. Hou". "Maintaining sensing coverage and connectivity in large sensor networks". "In NSF International Workshop on Theoretical and Algorithmic Aspects of Sensor, Ad Hoc Wireless, and Peer-to-Peer Networks, 2004.