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#### Abstract

In this paper the stability of quadratic functional equation, $f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)=2 f(x)+2 f(y)$ on class of groups is obtained and also prove that quadratic functional equation may not be stable in any abelian group.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940, Ulam[16] raised the following question concerning the stability of group homomorphism "Under what conditions does there is an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping between a group and a metric group ?". In 1941, D.H.Hyers[10] answered the stability problem of Ulam under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. In 1950 Aoki[1] generalized the Hyers theorem for additive mappings. In 1978 Th.M.Rassias[14] provided a generalized version of the theorem of Hyers which permitted the Cauchy difference to become bounded. Since then, the stability problems of various functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors [1-3,6,911]. The functional equation $f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x)+2 f(y)$ is called a quadratic functional equation. In particular, every solution of quadratic functional equation is said to be a quadratic mapping. A generalized Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof[15] for mappings f: $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ where X is a real normed space and Y is Banach space. Cholewa [4] noticed that the theorem of Skof[15] is still true if the relevant domain X is replaced by an Abelian group. Czerwik[5] and S.Y.Jang, J.R.Lee, C.Park and D.Y.Shin[12] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation in Normed and Fuzzy Banach spaces respectively.
In this paper we study the stability of quadratic functional equation

$$
f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)=2 f(x)+2 f(y) \text { on groups. }
$$

Suppose that G is an arbitrary group and E is an arbitrary real Banach space. We denote 1 the identity element of G .
Definition 2.1: We will say that a function $f: G \rightarrow E$ is a (G; E) - Quadratic function if for any $x, y \in G$ we have
$f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f(y)=0(2.1)$
We denote the set of all (G; E) - Quadratic function by $Q(G ; E)$

Denote by $\quad Q_{0}(G ; E) \quad$ the subset of $Q(G ; E)$ consisting of functions f such that $\mathrm{f}(1)=0$. Obviously $Q_{0}(G ; E) \quad$ is a subspace of $Q(G ; E) \quad$ and $Q(G ; E)=Q_{0}(G ; E) \oplus E$.

Definition 2.2: A function $f: G \rightarrow E$ is a $(G ; E)$ quasi - Quadratic function if there is $C>0$ such that for any $x, y \in G$ we have
$\left\|f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f(y)\right\| \leq c$
It is clear that the set of $(G ; E)$ - quasi - Quadratic functions is a linear space.

Denote it by $K Q(G ; E)$. In (2.2), we put $\mathrm{x}=1$, $\left\|f(y)+f\left(y^{-1}\right)-2 f(y)-2 f(1)\right\|<c$
$\left\|f\left(y^{-1}\right)-f(y)\right\|<c+2\|f(1)\|=c_{1}$
Where $c_{1}=c+2\|f(1)\|$
Now put $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$ in (2.2), we get
$\left\|f\left(x^{2}\right)+f(1)-2 f(x)-2 f(x)\right\|<c$
$\left\|f\left(x^{2}\right)-4 f(x)\right\|<c+\|f(1)\|=c_{2}$
where $c_{2}=c+\|f(1)\|$
Put $y=x^{2}$ in (2.2), we get
$\left\|f\left(x^{3}\right)+f\left(x^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f\left(x^{2}\right)\right\|<c$
$\Rightarrow\left\|f\left(x^{3}\right)-9 f(x)\right\|<c+c_{1}+2 c_{2}=c_{3}$
where $c_{3}=c+c_{1}+2 c_{2}$
Put $y=x^{3}$ in (2.2), we obtain
$\left\|f\left(x^{4}\right)-16 f(x)\right\|<c+4 c_{1}+c_{2}+2 c_{3}=c_{4}$ Take
$c_{4}=c+4 c_{1}+c_{2}+2 c_{3}$
Let c be as in (2.2) and define the set C as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C=\left\{c_{m} ; m \in N\right\}, \text { where } \quad c_{1}=c+2\|f(1)\| \\
& c_{2}=c+\|f(1)\|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
c_{3}=c+c_{1}+2 c_{2} \quad \text { and }
$$

$$
c_{m}=c+(m-2)^{2} c_{1}+c_{m-2}+2 c_{m-1} \quad \text { if } \mathrm{m}>3
$$

Lemma 2.3: Let $f \in K Q(G ; E)$ such that

$$
\left\|f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f(y)\right\| \leq c
$$

Then for any $x \in G$ and any $m \in N$ the following relation holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(x^{m}\right)-m^{2} f(x)\right\| \leq c_{m} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The proof is by induction on $m$. For $m=3$, the lemma is established. Suppose that lemma is true for $m$. Let us verified it for $m+1$. Put $y=x^{m}$ in (2.2), we have
$\left\|f\left(x^{m+1}\right)+f\left(x^{-m+1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f\left(x^{m}\right)\right\|<c$
$\left\|f\left(x^{m+1}\right)+f\left(x^{-m+1}\right)-(m-1)^{2} f\left(x^{-1}\right)+(m-1)^{2} f\left(x^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f\left(x^{m}\right)\right\|<c$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow & \left\|f\left(x^{m+1}\right)+(m-1)^{2} f\left(x^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f\left(x^{m}\right)\right\| \\
& <c+\left\|f\left(x^{-1}\right)^{m-1}+(m-1)^{2} f\left(x^{-1}\right)\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption of induction

$$
\left\|f\left(x^{m+1}\right)+(m-1)^{2} f\left(x^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f\left(x^{m}\right)\right\|<c+c_{m-1}
$$

$\Rightarrow \|_{\left\|f\left(x^{m+1}\right)+(m-1)^{2} f\left(x^{-1}\right)-(m-1)^{2} f(x)+(m-1)^{2} f(x)-2 f(x)-2 f\left(x^{m}\right)\right\|}$
$\Rightarrow\left\|f\left(x^{m+1}\right)+(m-1)^{2} f(x)-2 f(x)-2 f\left(x^{m}\right)\right\|$
$<c+c_{m-1}+(m-1)^{2} c_{1}$
$\Rightarrow \| f\left(x^{m+1}\right)+(m-1)^{2} f(x)-2 f(x)-2\left[f\left(x^{m}\right)-m^{2} f(x)+m^{2} f(x)\right]$ $<c+c_{m-1}+(m-1)^{2} c_{1}$
$\Rightarrow\left\|f\left(x^{m+1}\right)+(m-1)^{2} f(x)-2 f(x)-2 m^{2} f(x)\right\|$
$<c+c_{m-1}+(m-1)^{2} c_{1}+2 c_{m}$
$\Rightarrow\left\|f\left(x^{m+1}\right)+f(x)\left[m^{2}+1-2 m-2-2 m^{2}\right]\right\| \Rightarrow$
$<c+c_{m-1}+(m-1)^{2} c_{1}+2 c_{m}$
$\left\|f\left(x^{m+1}\right)-(m+1)^{2} f(x)\right\|<c+(m-1)^{2} c_{1}+c_{m-1}+2 c_{m}$
Now the lemma is proved.
Lemma 2.4: Let $f \in K Q(G ; E)$. For any $m>1, k \in N$ and $x \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(x^{m^{k}}\right)-\left(m^{k}\right)^{2} f(x)\right\| \leq c_{m}\left(1+m^{2}+\left(m^{2}\right)^{2}+\ldots \ldots .+\left(m^{2}\right)^{k-1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left\|\frac{1}{\left(m^{k}\right)^{2}} f\left(x^{m^{k}}\right)-f(x)\right\| \leq c_{m}$
Proof: The proof is based on induction on $k$. If $k=1$, then (2.7) follows from (2.6). Suppose that (2.7) is true for k , we will show it is true for $\mathrm{k}+1$. Replace x by $x^{m}$ in (2.7). $\Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|f\left(x^{m}\right)^{m k}-\left(m^{k}\right)^{2} f\left(x^{m}\right)\right\| \\
&< c_{m}\left(1+m^{2}+\ldots \ldots+\left(m^{2}\right)^{k-1}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow\left\|f\left(x^{m^{k+1}}\right)-\left(m^{k}\right)^{2} f\left(x^{m}\right)\right\| \\
&<c_{m}\left(1+m^{2}+\ldots \ldots+\left(m^{k-1}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow\left\|f\left(x^{m^{k+1}}\right)-\left(m^{k}\right)^{2} f\left(x^{m}\right)-\left(m^{k+1}\right)^{2} f(x)+\left(m^{k+1}\right)^{2} f(x)\right\| \\
&<c_{m}\left(1+m^{2}+\ldots \ldots+\left(m^{k-1}\right)^{2}\right) \\
&\left\|f\left(x^{m^{k+1}}\right)-\left(m^{k+1}\right)^{2} f(x)\right\| \\
& \Rightarrow<c_{m}\left(1+m^{2}+\ldots . .+\left(m^{k-1}\right)^{2}\right) \\
&+\left\|\left(m^{k}\right)^{2} f\left(x^{m}\right)-\left(m^{k+1}\right)^{2} f(x)\right\| \\
&\left\|f\left(x^{m^{k+1}}\right)-\left(m^{k+1}\right)^{2} f(x)\right\| \\
& \Rightarrow<c_{m}\left(1+m^{2}+\ldots \ldots .+\left(m^{k-1}\right)^{2}\right)+\left(m^{k}\right)^{2} c_{m} \\
& \Rightarrow\left\|f\left(x^{m^{k+1}}\right)-\left(m^{k+1}\right)^{2} f(x)\right\| \\
&<c_{m}\left(1+m^{2}+\ldots . .+\left(m^{2}\right)^{k-1}+\left(m^{2}\right)^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Further implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m^{k+1}\right)^{2}\left\|\frac{1}{\left(m^{k+1}\right)^{2}} f\left(x^{m^{k+1}}\right)-f(x)\right\| \\
& <c_{m}\left(1+m^{2}+\ldots \ldots+\left(m^{2}\right)^{k-1}+\left(m^{2}\right)^{k}\right) \\
& \left\|\frac{1}{\left(m^{k+1}\right)^{2}} f\left(x^{m^{k+1}}\right)-f(x)\right\| \\
& \Rightarrow \leq \frac{c_{m}}{\left(m^{2}\right)^{k+1}}\left(1+m^{2}+\ldots . .+\left(m^{2}\right)^{k-1}+\left(m^{2}\right)^{k}\right) \\
& \quad \leq c_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
From (2.8) it follows that the set $\left\{\frac{1}{\left(m^{k}\right)^{2}} f\left(x^{m^{k}}\right) ; k \in N\right\}$ is bounded

Replacing x by $x^{m^{n}}$ in (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{1}{\left(m^{k}\right)^{2}} f\left(x^{m^{n+k}}\right)-f\left(x^{m^{n}}\right)\right\| \leq c_{m} \\
& \Rightarrow\left\|\frac{1}{\left(m^{n+k}\right)^{2}} f\left(x^{m^{k+1}}\right)-\frac{1}{\left(m^{n}\right)^{2}} f(x)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{c_{m}}{\left(m^{n}\right)^{2}} \rightarrow 0 \text { asn } \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { This implies } \quad \text { that } \quad \text { the } \quad \text { sequence } \\ \left\{m^{k}\right)^{2} \\ \\ \end{array} x^{m^{k}}\right) ; k \in N\right\} \quad$ is a Cauchy sequence.
Since the space $E$ is Banach. So, the above sequence has a limit in E and we denote it by $\phi_{m}(x)$. Thus

$$
\phi_{m}(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(m^{k}\right)^{2}} f\left(x^{m^{k}}\right)
$$

From (2.8), we have

$$
\left\|\phi_{m}(x)-f(x)\right\| \leq c_{m} \quad \forall \quad x \in G
$$

Lemma 2.5: Let $f \in K Q(G ; E)$ such that

$$
\left\|f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f(y)\right\| \leq c \quad \forall x, y \in G
$$

Then for any $m \in N$, we have $\phi_{m} \in K Q(G ; E)$.
Proof: $\left\|\phi_{m}(x y)+\phi_{m}\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 \phi_{m}(x)-2 \phi_{m}(y)\right\|$
$\left\|\begin{array}{l}\phi_{m}(x y)+f(x y)+\phi_{m}\left(x y^{-1}\right)-f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 \phi_{m}(x)+2 f(x) \\ -2 \phi_{m}(y)+2 f(y)+f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f(y)\end{array}\right\|$
$\leq\left\|\phi_{m}(x y)-f(x y)\right\|+\left\|\phi_{m}\left(x y^{-1}\right)-f\left(x y^{-1}\right)\right\|+2\left\|\phi_{m}(x)-f(x)\right\| \Rightarrow$
$+2\left\|\phi_{m}(y)-f(y)\right\|+\left\|f(x y)+` f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f(y)\right\|$
$\leq 6 c_{m}+c$
$\left\|\phi_{m}(x y)+\phi_{m}\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 \phi_{m}(x)-2 \phi_{m}(y)\right\|<c^{\prime}$
where $c^{\prime}=6 c_{m}+c$
Hence the proof of the lemma for any $x \in G$ we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{m}\left(x^{m^{k}}\right)=\left(m^{k}\right)^{2} \phi_{m}(x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact

$$
\phi_{m}\left(x^{m^{k}}\right)=\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(m^{l}\right)^{2}} f\left(\left(x^{m^{k}}\right)^{m^{l}}\right)
$$

$=\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(m^{l}\right)^{2}}{\left(m^{l+k}\right)^{2}} f\left(x^{m^{k+l}}\right)$
$=\left(m^{k}\right)^{2} \lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(m^{p}\right)^{2}} f\left(x^{m^{p}}\right)=\left(m^{k}\right)^{2} \phi_{m}(x)$
.Lemma 2.6: If $f \in K Q(G ; E)$, then $\phi_{2} \equiv \phi_{m} \quad$ for any $m \geq 2$.

Proof: By above lemma, $\phi_{2}, \phi_{m,} \in K Q(G ; E)$.
Hence $g(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(m^{k}\right)^{2}} \phi_{2}\left(x^{m^{k}}\right)$ is well defined and $\quad g \in K Q(G ; E)$

Also $\quad g\left(x^{m^{k}}\right)=\left(m^{k}\right)^{2} g(x) \quad$ and $g\left(x^{2^{k}}\right)=\left(2^{k}\right)^{2} g(x)$ for any $x \in G$ and any $k \in N$ also from (2.9), there exists $d_{1}, d_{2} \in R_{+}$such

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{2}(x)-g(x)\right\| \leq d_{1} \text { and }\left\|\phi_{m}(x)-g(x)\right\| \leq d_{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $g \equiv \phi_{2} \quad$ and $\quad g \equiv \phi_{m}$, we obtain $\phi_{2} \equiv \phi_{m}$.
Definition 2.7: By $(G ; E)$ - pseudo - Quadratic function we will mean a $(G ; E)$-quasi-Quadratic function f such that $f\left(x^{n}\right)=n^{2} f(x) \quad$ for $\quad$ any $\quad x \in G \quad$ and $\quad$ any $n \in Z(G ; E)$ - pseudo-Quadratic function is denoted by $P Q(G ; E)$.

Lemma 2.8: For any $f \in K Q(G ; E)$ the function $g(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left(2^{k}\right)^{2}} f\left(x^{2^{k}}\right)$ is well - defined and is $(G ; E)$ - pseudo - Quadratic function such that for any $x \in G,\|g(x)-f(x)\| \leq c_{2}$.

Proof: By the previous lemma, g is $a(G ; E)$ - Quasi Quadratic function. Now by above lemma, we have $g\left(x^{m}\right)=\phi_{m}\left(x^{m}\right)=m^{2} \phi_{m}(x)=m^{2} g(x)$

Thus $\quad \phi_{m}(x)=g(x)$ and hence $\phi_{2}(x)=g(x)$ by above lemma. From equality
$g=\phi_{2}$, we have $\|g(x)-f(x)\|$
$=\left\|\phi_{2}(x)-f(x)\right\| \leq c_{2}$.
Lemma 2.9: If $f \in P Q(G ; E)$ then
(i) If $y \in G$ is an element of finite order then $f(y)=0$.
(ii) If f is bounded function on G , then $f \equiv 0$.

Proof: Let order of $y \in G$ is t then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& y^{t}=1 \\
\Rightarrow & f\left(y^{t}\right)=f(1)=0 \\
& t^{2} f(y)=0 \\
\Rightarrow \quad & f(y)=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Similarly we verify assertion 2 .
We denoted by $B(G ; E)$ the space of all bounded functions on a group G that takes values in E .

Theorem 2.10: For an arbitrary group $G$ the following decomposition holds:
$K Q(G ; E)=P Q(G ; E) \oplus B(G ; E)$.
Proof: It is clear $\operatorname{PQ}(G ; E)$ and $B(G ; E)$ are subspaces of $\operatorname{KQ}(G ; E)$, and $P Q(G ; E) \cap B(G ; E)=\{0\}$. Hence the subspace of $K Q(G ; E) \quad$ generated by $P Q(G ; E)$ and $B(G ; E)$ is their direct sum.

That is $P Q(G ; E) \oplus B(G ; E) \subseteq K Q(G ; E)$.
Now let $f \in K Q(G ; E)$, then $g \in P Q(G ; E) \quad$ and $g-f \in B(G ; E)$

$$
f=g+(g-f) \in P Q(G ; E)+B(G ; E)
$$

$$
\text { So, } K Q(G ; E)=P Q(G ; E) \oplus B(G ; E)
$$

Remark2.11: Let $\mathrm{G}=\{1,-1, \mathrm{i},-\mathrm{i}\}$ be an abelian group and the quadratic functional equation is not stable in $G$ i.e, $f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)=2 f(x)+2 f(y)$ does not satisfy for $\mathrm{x}=-1, \mathrm{y}=-1$.

Definition2.12: We shall say that equation (2.1) is stable for the pair ( $\mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{E}$ ) if for any $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ satisfying functional inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f(y)\right\| \leq c \\
\forall \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{G}
\end{gathered}
$$

for some $\mathrm{c}>0$, there is a solution $\bar{f}$ of the functional equation (2.1) such that the function $\bar{f}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ belongs to B(G;E).

It is clear that equation (2.1) is stable on G iff $\mathrm{PQ}(\mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{E})=\mathrm{Q}_{0}$ (G; E). We will say that a (G; E) - pseudo - quadratic function $f$ is nontrivial if $f \notin \mathrm{Q}_{0}(\mathrm{G}$; E$)$

Theorem2.13: Let $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{2}$ be a branch space over real. Then equation (2.1) is stable for the pair ( $\mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{E}_{1}$ ) if and only if it is stable for the pair ( $\mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{E}_{2}$ ).

Proof: $\rightarrow$ Let E be a branch space and R be the set of real . Suppose that equation (2.1) is stable for the pair (G, E). Suppose that (2.1) is not stable for the pair ( $G ; R$ ), then there
is a non trivial real -valued pseudo - quadratic function f on G. Now let $\mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{E}$ and $\|e\|=1$. Consider the function $\phi: \mathrm{G} \rightarrow$ E given by the formula $\phi(x)=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$.e. It clears that $\phi$ is a nontrivial pseudo -quadratic E-valued function, and we obtain a contradiction.

Now suppose that equation (2.1) is stable for the pair (G;R), that is , $\mathrm{PQ}(\mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{R})=\mathrm{Q}_{0}(\mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{R})$. Denote by $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ the space of linear bounded functional on E endowed by functional norm topology. It is clear that for any $\psi \in \mathrm{PQ}(\mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{H})$ and $\lambda \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{*}$ the function $\lambda 0 \psi$ belongs to the space $\mathrm{PQ}(\mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{R})$. Indeed, let for some $c>0$ and any $x, y \in G$ we have

$$
\left\|\psi(x y)+\psi\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 \psi(x)-2 \psi(y)\right\| \leq c .
$$

Hence
$\left|\lambda o \psi(x y)+\lambda o \psi\left(x y^{-1}\right)-\lambda o \psi(2 x)-\lambda o \psi(2 y)\right|=$ $\mid \lambda\left[\psi(x y)+\psi\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 \psi(x)-2 \psi(y)\right] \leq c\|\lambda\|$
Obviously, $\lambda O \psi\left(x^{n}\right)=n^{2} \lambda O \psi(x)$ any $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{G}$ ad for any $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$. Hence the function $\lambda o \psi$ belong to the space $\mathrm{PQ}(\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{R})$. Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$ be a nontrivial pseudo- Quadratic mapping. Then $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{G}$ such that $f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f(y) \neq 0 . \quad$ HahnBanach theorem implies that there is a $l \in H^{*}$ such that $l\left(f(x y)+f\left(x y^{-1}\right)-2 f(x)-2 f(y)\right) \neq 0$, and we have lof is a nontrivial pseudo- Quadratic real valued function on G. This contradiction proves the theorem.

Corollary 2.14: If a group $G$ has nontrivial pseudo- character, then equation (2.1) is not stable on $G$.

Proof: Let $\phi$ be a nontrivial pseudo- character of G. Suppose that there is $\bar{f} \in \mathrm{Q}_{0}$ (G) such that the function $\phi-\bar{f}$ is bounded. Then there is $\mathrm{c}>0$ such that $|\phi(x)-\bar{f}(x)| \leq c$ for any $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{G}$. Hence for any $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$ we have $c \geq\left|\phi\left(x^{n}\right)-\bar{f}\left(x^{n}\right)\right|=n^{2}|\phi(x)-\bar{f}(x)|$ and we see that the latter is possible if $\phi(x)=\bar{f}(x)$. So, $\phi \in P Q(G) \cap Q_{0}(G)$. Hence, $f \in X(G)$ and this contradiction with the assumption about f .
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