

# A Common Coupled Fixed Point Theorem in Complex Valued Metric Space

Savitri

Department of Mathematics  
DCR University of Science and Technology  
Murthal, Sonapat, Haryana (India)

Nawneet Hooda

Department of Mathematics  
DCR University of Science and Technology  
Murthal, Sonapat, Haryana (India)

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to establish a unique common coupled fixed point theorem for two mappings satisfying a rational inequality in complex valued metric space.

## General Terms

47H10, 54H25

## Keywords

Coupled fixed point, complex valued metric space, Cauchy sequence, Convergent sequence, complete complex valued metric space.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Azam, Fisher and Khan [6] introduced the concept of complex-valued metric spaces and established the existence of common fixed point theorems for a pair of contractive type mappings involving rational expressions. These results were further extended and generalized by Rouzkard and Imdad [10]. Klin-Eam and Suanoom [9] extended the concept of complex valued metric spaces and generalized the results of Azam et al. [1] and Rouzkard and Imdad [10]. Subsequently, several authors studied the existence and uniqueness of fixed points in complex valued spaces [1-5, 7,8,11,12].

Consistent with Azam et al. [6], the following definitions and notations will be needed in the sequel.

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the set of complex numbers and  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Define a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  as follows:

$z_1 \preceq z_2$  if and only if  $\text{Re}(z_1) \leq \text{Re}(z_2)$  and  $\text{Im}(z_1) \leq \text{Im}(z_2)$

It follows that  $z_1 \preceq z_2$  if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i)  $\text{Re}(z_1) = \text{Re}(z_2)$  and  $\text{Im}(z_1) < \text{Im}(z_2)$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{Re}(z_1) < \text{Re}(z_2)$  and  $\text{Im}(z_1) = \text{Im}(z_2)$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{Re}(z_1) < \text{Re}(z_2)$  and  $\text{Im}(z_1) < \text{Im}(z_2)$ ,
- (iv)  $\text{Re}(z_1) = \text{Re}(z_2)$  and  $\text{Im}(z_1) = \text{Im}(z_2)$ ,

In particular, we will write  $z_1 \not\preceq z_2$  if  $z_1 \neq z_2$  and one of (i), (ii), and (iii) is satisfied and we will write  $z_1 \succ z_2$  if only (iii) satisfied.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies:

- (i)  $0 \preceq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ; and  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (iii)  $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then  $d$  is called a complex valued metric on  $X$  and  $(X, d)$  is called a complex valued metric space.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complex-valued metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$  iff  $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complex-valued metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence iff  $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 1.2.** An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping  $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$  if

$$T(x, y) = x \text{ and } T(y, x) = y.$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complex-valued metric space. If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in  $(X, d)$ , then  $(X, d)$  is called a complete complex-valued metric space.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete complex-valued metric space and  $S, T : X^2 \rightarrow X$  are mapping satisfying

$$d(S(x_1, x_2), T(y_1, y_2)) \leq \alpha \frac{[d(x_1, S(x_1, x_2))d(x_1, T(y_1, y_2)) + d(x_1, T(y_1, y_2))d(y_1, S(x_1, x_2))]}{d(x_1, T(y_1, y_2)) + d(y_1, S(x_1, x_2))}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$ , where  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ .

Then  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique common coupled fixed point.

**Proof.** For any arbitrary points  $x_0, y_0 \in X$ , construct the sequence  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$x_{n+1} = S(x_n, y_n), \quad x_{n+2} = T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \text{ and } y_{n+1} = S(y_n, x_n), \\ y_{n+2} = T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \quad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) &= d(S(x_n, y_n), T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{[d(x_n, S(x_n, y_n))d(x_n, T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}))d(x_{n+1}, S(x_n, y_n))] }{d(x_n, T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) + d(x_{n+1}, S(x_n, y_n))} \\ &= \alpha \frac{[d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})]}{d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \\ &= \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1)$$

Now for  $m > n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1) + \dots + \alpha^{m-1} d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha} d(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$|d(x_n, x_m)| \leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha} |d(x_0, x_1)|$$

which implies that  $|d(x_n, x_m)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) &= d(S(y_n, x_n), T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{[d(y_n, S(y_n, x_n))d(y_{n+1}, T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + d(y_{n+1}, T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}))d(y_{n+1}, S(y_n, x_n))] }{d(y_n, T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) + d(y_{n+1}, S(y_n, x_n))} \\ &= \alpha \frac{[d(y_n, y_{n+1})d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})]}{d(y_n, y_{n+2}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})} \\ &\leq \alpha d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq \alpha d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) &\leq \alpha d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha^2 d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

For  $m > n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_m, y_n) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{m-1}, y_m) \\ &\leq \alpha^n d(y_0, y_1) + \alpha^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + \alpha^{m-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha} d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$|d(y_m, y_n)| \leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha} |d(y_0, y_1)|$$

implies

$$|d(y_m, y_n)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty$$

implies

$\{y_n\}$  is also a Cauchy sequence.

So,  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $X$ . But  $X$  is complete so  $\exists x, y \in X$  such that  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  converges to  $x, y$  respectively.

Now we will show that  $S(x, y) = x$ . If not then  $\exists z \in c$  such that

$$d(S(x, y), x) = z \text{ where } |z| > 0.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} z &\leq d(x, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, S(x, y)) \\ &= d(x, x_{n+2}) + d(S(x, y), T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \\ &\leq d(x, x_{n+2}) + \alpha \frac{[d(x, S(x, y))d(x, T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}))d(x_{n+1}, S(x, y))] }{d(x, T(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) + d(x_{n+1}, S(x, y))} \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$|z| \leq |d(x, x_{n+2})| + \alpha \frac{[|z| |d(x, x_{n+2})| + |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| |d(x_{n+1}, S(x, y))|]}{|d(x, x_{n+2})| + |d(x_{n+1}, S(x, y))|}.$$

On taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $|z| \leq 0$ , a contradiction.

So  $|z| = 0$ . Hence  $S(x, y) = x$ .

Also if possible, let  $d(S(y, x), y) = t$  where  $|t| > 0$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} t &\leq d(y, y_{n+2}) + d(y_{n+2}, S(y, x)) \\ &= d(y, y_{n+2}) + d(S(y, x), T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq d(y, y_{n+2}) + \alpha \frac{[d(y, S(y, x))d(y, T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + d(y_{n+1}, T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}))d(y_{n+1}, S(y, x))] }{d(y, T(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) + d(y_{n+1}, S(y, x))} \\ &= d(y, y_{n+2}) + \alpha \frac{[t d(y, y_{n+2}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})d(y_{n+1}, S(y, x))]}{d(y, y_{n+2}) + d(y_{n+1}, S(y, x))} \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$|t| \leq |d(y, y_{n+2})| + \alpha \frac{[|t| |d(y, y_{n+2})| + |d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})| |d(y_{n+1}, S(y, x))|]}{|d(y, y_{n+2})| + |d(y_{n+1}, S(y, x))|}.$$

On taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $|t| \leq 0$ , a contradiction.

So  $t = 0$ . Hence  $S(y, x) = y$ .

Similarly  $T(x, y) = x$  and  $T(y, x) = y$ .

Hence  $(x, y)$  is the common coupled fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$

**For uniqueness.** Let  $(u, v)$  is another common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . Then

$$d(x,u) = (S(x,y), T(u,v))$$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{[d(x,S(x,y))d(x,T(u,v)) + d(u,T(u,v))d(u,S(x,y))]}{d(x,T(u,v)) + d(u,S(x,y))}$$

implies

$$d(x,u) \leq 0$$

implies

$$x = u$$

Similarly  $y = v$ .

So,  $(x, y)$  is the unique common coupled fixed point for  $S$  and  $T$ .

### 3. REFERENCES

- [1] Abbas M, Arsad M, Azam A (2013), Fixed points of asymptotically regular mappings in complex-valued metric spaces, *Georgian Math. J.*, 20 (2), 213-221.
- [2] Abbas M, Fisher B, Nazir T (2012), Well-posedness and periodic point property of mappings satisfying a rational inequality in an ordered complex valued metric space, *Sci. Stud. Res., Ser. Math. Inform.*, 22 (1), 5-24.
- [3] Ahmad J, Klin-Eam C, Azam A (2013), Common fixed points for multivalued mappings in complex valued metric spaces with applications, *Abstr Appl. Anal.* Article ID 854965.
- [4] Arshad M, Karapinar E, Ahmad J (2013), Some unique fixed point theorems for rational contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* Article ID 248.
- [5] Azam A, Ahmad J, Kuman P (2013), Common fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in complex-valued metric spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, Article ID 578.
- [6] Azam A, Fisher B, Khan M (2011), Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 32 (3), 243-253.
- [7] Fisher B (1981), Four mappings with a common fixed point, *J. Univ. Kuwait Sci.*, 8, 131-139.
- [8] Jungck G, Rhoades BE (1988), Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29 (3), 227-238.
- [9] Klin-Eam C, Suanoom C (2013), Some common fixed-point theorems for generalized-contractive-type mappings on complex-valued metric space, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* Article ID 604215.
- [10] Rouzkard F, Imdad M (2012), Some common fixed point theorems on complex valued metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 64 (6), 1866-1874.
- [11] Bhatt S, Chaukiyal S, Dimri RC (2011), Common fixed point of mappings satisfying rational inequality in complex valued metric space, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 73 (2), 159-164.
- [12] Sintunavart W, Kuman P (2012), Generalized Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces and application, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, Article ID 84.