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#### Abstract

Some applications such as RSA encryption/decryption need integer arithmetic operations with many bits. However, such operations cannot be performed directly by conventional CPUs, because their instruction supports integers with fixed bits, say, 64 bits. Since the CPUs need to repeat arithmetic operations to numbers with fixed bits, they have considerably overhead to execute applications involving integer arithmetic with many bits. On the other hand, This paper implements hardware algorithms for such applications in the FPGAs for further acceleration. However, the implementation of hardware algorithm is usually very complicated and debugging of hardware is too hard. The main contribution of this paper is to present an intermediate approach of software and hardware using FPGAs. More specifically, this paper presents a processor based on FDFM (Few DSP slices and Few Memory blocks) approach that supports arithmetic operations with flexibly many bits, and implement it in the FPGA. To show the potentiality of designed processor, 128bit RSA encryption/decryption is implemented and compare with soft processor "MicroBlaze" in FPGA. The resulting processor uses only one DSP48E1 slice and four Block RAMs (BRAMs), and RSA encryption software on it runs in 0.42 ms . However, MicroBlaze uses three DSP48E1 slices and 170 Block RAMs (BRAMs) and runs in 152.28 ms . Hence, the proposed designed processor is significantly efficient in terms of resource used and time complexity in comparison to soft processor "MicroBlaze" in FPGAs. Also the proposed processor can be used efficiently for longer bit arithmetic operation such as 2048 -bit without further modifications and hence it is more flexible.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

An FPGA is a programmable logic device designed to be configured by the customer or designer by HDL (Hardware Description Language) after manufacturing. An FPGA chip maintains relative lower price and programmable features [1], [2], [3], hence, it is widely used recently. The readers may refer to see some circuit implementations in FPGAs [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12] to accelerate computation. Applications require arithmetic operations on integer numbers which exceed the range of processing by a CPU directly is called Multiple Double Length Numbers or Multiple Precision

Numbers and hence, computation of these numbers is called Multiple-Length-Arithmetic. More specifically, application requires integer arithmetic operations for multiple-length numbers with size longer than 64 bits cannot be performed directly by conventional 64-bit CPUs, because their instruction sup-ports integers with fixed 64 bits. To execute such application, CPUs need to repeat arithmetic operations for those numbers with fixed 64 bits which increase the execution overhead. Alternatively, hardware algorithms for such applications can be implemented in FPGAs to speed up computations. However, the implementation of hardware algorithm is usually very complicated and debugging of hardware is too hard.

Since, low level of instructions, represented by 0 's and 1 's is an almost impossible to understand even by an expert, the debugging of an algorithm at this level is very hard. Moreover, to implement hardware algorithm, written by hardware language such as Verilog HDL, users should have sufficient knowledge of hardware such as registers which makes it complicated to the non-expert or to the beginners. The instructions in assembly language are written by alphanumeric symbols instead of 0 's and 1 's in low level that is an almost similar to the high level language, written by English which makes the instructions as well as algorithms easy to read, modify and debugging by the non-expert or by the beginners.

The main contribution of this paper is precisely described as follows:

- To prove the potentiality of the proposed processor, 128 -bit RSA is implemented and compare with soft processor "MicroBlaze" in FPGA which implies that proposed processor is significantly efficient in terms of resource used and time complexity.
- Also, the proposed flexible-length arithmetic processor based on FDFM approach can be used for computing of integer numbers with flexibly many bits, even longer than 2048-bit by a single machine instruction.
- To make the debugging and further development easy, an intermediate approach of software and hardware is presented.

This designed processor provides flexibility so that it can be used for computing of integer numbers with flexibly many bit such as 64 -bit, 128 -bit, even longer than 2048 -bit without further modification.

Since, the designed processor based on FDFM approach, the key idea of the FDFM approach is to use few DSP slices and few block RAMs to perform routine computations which can be treated alternatively as a resource efficient approach. Let us explain briefly the FDFM approach using a simple example. Figure 1 (1) illustrates a hardware algorithm to compute the output of FIR (Finite Impulse Response) $y_{i}=a_{0} x_{i}+a_{1} x_{i 1}+a_{2}$ $x_{i 2}+a_{3} x_{i 3}$. A conventional approach implementing the FIR is to use four DSP slices as illustrated in Figure 1 (2)[13]. In this conventional approach, the number of DPS blocks must be the same as that of multipliers in the hardware algorithm. However, FDFM approach uses one or few DSP slices and one or few block RAMs to implement the FIR. The Figure 1 (3) shows the FDFM approach using one DSP slice and one block RAM to implement the same mentioned above. Note that, the coefficients $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots$ are stored in the block RAM.

(1) FIR

(2) Conventional approach

(3) FDFM approach

Figure 1: FDFM approach over conventional one for FIR
The readers may refer to the papers [14], [15], [16], [17] in which they can find details about FDFM approach and conventional approach.


Figure 2: Internal Configuration of Virtex-6FPGA

The most common FPGA architecture consists of an array of logic blocks, I/O pads, Block RAMs and routing channels. Furthermore, embedded DSP blocks which is integrated into an FPGA that makes a higher performance and a broader application. Figure 2 illustrates the Virtex-6 FPGA developed by Xilinx. The CLB (Configurable Logic Blocks) in Virtex-6 consists of 2 sub-logic blocks called slice. Using LUTs (Look Up Tables) and Flip-Flops in the slices, various combinatorial circuits and sequential circuits can be implemented.

The summarization of the several significant points of the results is described as follows:

1. A flexible-length-arithmetic processor is proposed for the applications which require arithmetic operations for numbers longer than 64 bits. Even, numbers longer than 2048 bits or higher can be computed by the designed processor without any modification.
2. The proposed processor is flexible in a sense that it can support arithmetic operations for numbers with flexibly many bits or numbers with variable size longer than 64 bits.
3. Finally, the potentiality of this processor is checked by implementing 128-bit RSA and compare with soft processor "MicroBlaze" which shows that the proposed processor is significantly efficient.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section II briefly describes the Multiple-length-arithmetic operation. In Section III describes the proposed architecture. The RSA cryptography as an application is described briefly in Section IV; however the readers may refer to the previous paper [23] for details. Section V describes experimental results and discussions. Finally Section VI concludes this work.

## 2. MULTIPLE-LENGTH-ARITHMETIC OPERATION

The main purpose of this section is to describe Multiple-Length-Arithmetic operations. Suppose that A and B are two multiple-length numbers of 1024 bits each. These numbers are partitioned into several blocks of 17 bits. First, let us see that how a multiple-length number of 1024 bits is stored in data memory. Figure 3(a) shows a data memory (BRAM). Every 17-bit block data together with 1-bit flag represents a bitblock of 18 bits and MSB (Most Significant Bit) of each bitblock is known as flag which set to 1 indicates the end of each stored multiple-length data into the data memory as shown in Figure 3(b). In this figure, multiple-length data $A$ of 1024 bits is divided into 61 numbers of 17 bits block such as $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots$, , $a_{60}, a_{61}$. Every 17 bits block of multiple-length data, $A$ together with 1-bit flag is stored in different memory location of the data memory (BRAM).


Figure 3: Data of 1024-bit Length is Stored in Memory (BRAM)
For the benefit of readers, Figure 4 describes the instruction memory as well as instruction format of multiple-length or multi-double long data. Figure 4 (a) represents an instruction memory in which 53 bits instruction together with 1-bit flag can be stored at any address of the instruction memory addresses. In this case, 1 -bit flag is set to 1 indicates the last instruction for execution. Note that, addresses of the instruction memory are handled by the Program Counter (PC).

Let us give an example of a multiplication of two multiplelength or two multi-double long data. However, other arithmetic operations can also be performed such as addition, subtraction, division, comparison of multi-double long data. Suppose $u$ and $v$ represents two multi-double long data. Let us multiply $u$ by $v$ and the result is stored in $w$, that is $w=u . v$. An assembly instruction for this computing of multi-double long data is as follows:

## MUL A, B, C

In the above instruction, $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C are known as operands of 16 -bit each which can be used to indicate $2^{16}$ different addresses $0,1, \ldots, 2^{16}$ of the data memory (BRAM) and MUL is known as OPCODE of 5 -bit which determines the operation of operands (in this case multiplication) as illustrated in Figure 4(b). The readers may refer to the paper [23] for details in which an example is clearly described for multi-double long multiplication. Let us see Algorithm 1 for multiplication of two multi-double long data $u$ and $v$.



Figure 5: The Proposed Processor Architecture

## Algorithm 1: Multi-Double Long Multiplication

B: number of digits in radix- $-2^{17}$ operands
n : last number of digit of radix- $-{ }^{17}$ numbers in $u$
m : last number of digit of radix- $-{ }^{17}$ numbers in $v$
Input: $\mathrm{u}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u^{i} \cdot B^{i} \quad, \mathrm{v}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} v^{i} \cdot B^{i}$
Output: w=u.v

1. for $\mathrm{j}=0$ to $\mathrm{m}-1$ do
2. $\mathrm{c} \leftarrow 0$
3. $\mathrm{w}_{0} \leftarrow 0$
4. for $\mathrm{i}=0$ to $\mathrm{n}-1$ do
5. $\left\{c, w_{i+j}\right\} \leftarrow w_{i+j} u_{i}, v_{j}+c$
6. End for
7. $\mathrm{Wn}+\mathrm{j} \leqslant \mathrm{c}$
8. End for
9. Return $\sum_{i=0}^{n+m-1} w^{i} . B^{i}$

Since there is a page limitation, hence the readers may refer to the previous paper [23] to show a simple example of the above algorithm.

## 3. PROPOSED ARCHITECTURE

Let us briefly describe the proposed processor architecture for multiple-length-arithmetic operations. The designed processor consists of program counter, instruction memory, address counters, data memory, ALU, registers, control units as illustrated in Figure 5 and Figure 6. Because of page limitation, the readers may refer to the previous paper [23] for details.

Fig.4.An Instruction Memory and an Instruction Format for Multi-Double Data


## Figure 6: ALU Architecture

In the following section, RSA cryptography is implemented using the proposed architecture and it is programmed by assembly language. The assembly instructions of number, 117, each of 54-bit are needed to implement modular exponentiation algorithm. In this paper, only the assembly code is shown for Montgomery Multiplication as illustrated in the previous paper [23]. In below assembly code, the registers $R 1$ and $R 2$ are used to take inputs $X$ and $Y$, each of 64-bit. Also 64-bit $M$ is given. The registers $R 3, R 4, R 5$ is used to hold the intermediate results and final results of the Montgomery Multiplication is stored either in $R 6$ or $C$. Note that, 64-bit data in register $R 1$ is divided into several blocks of 17-bit each and these are stored in several block registers such as $R 1_{0}, R 1_{1}, R 1_{2}, R 1_{3}$ (lower block to higher block). For the case of other registers, these can be explained in similar way.
[Assembly Code for Montgomery Multiplication] $\mathrm{R} 1=\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{R} 2=\mathrm{Y}$;
R3 $=0, R 4=0, R 5=0, R 6=0$;
$\mathrm{C}=0$;

02: MOVI, $R 3_{0} \quad, R 4_{0}, \quad R 3_{3} ; \quad$ copy blocks of $R 3$ into $R 4$
03:MASK, $R 4_{3} \quad, R 4_{3}, \quad$ 1FFF; make last 4-bit of $R 4_{3}$ as 0 's
04: MUL, $\quad R 4_{0}, M_{0}{ }^{1} R, 5_{0} ; \quad \mathrm{R} 4 M^{1}$ store in $R 5$
05: MOVI, $R 5_{0} \quad, R 4_{0}, \quad R 5_{3}$; copy blocks of $R 5$ into $R 4$
06:MASK, $R 4_{3} \quad, R 4_{3}, \quad$ 1FFF; make last 4-bit of $R 4_{3}$ as 0 's
07: MUL, $\quad R 4_{0}, M_{0}, \quad R 5_{0} ; \quad$ R4 M store in $R 4$
08: ADD $\quad R 3_{0}, R 5_{0}, \quad R 6_{0} ; \quad$ store $R 3+R 5$ in $R 6$
09: SHR, $\quad R 6_{3}, R 6_{0}$,
13; 64-bit shift right of $R 6$
0A: CMP, $\quad R 6_{0}, M_{0}$;
0B: JC, 0D;
0C: SUB $, \quad R 6_{0}, M_{0}, \quad R 6_{0}$;
0D:MOV, $\quad R 6_{0}, C_{0}$;
$R 6$ compare with $M$
if $R 6>M$, go to OD
R6-M is the results in $R 6$ results in $R 6$ move to $C$

## 4. AN APPLICATION OF RSA CRYPTOGRAPHY USING THE PROPOSED PROCESSOR

This section briefly reviews the RSA Cryptography which is described details in paper [15]. Using the proposed processor, the same algorithm is implemented by software, instead of HDL as illustrated in paper [15] to make it easy for modifications or changes by a non-expert or by a beginner.

In RSA [18], the modular exponentiation $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{E}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$ or P $=C^{\mathrm{D}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$ are computed, where P and C are plain and cypher text, and (E, M) and (D, M) are encryption and decryption keys. Usually, the bit length in $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{D}$, and M is 512 or larger. Also, the modulo exponentiation is repeatedly computed for fixed E, D, and M, and various P and C. Since modulo operation is very costly in terms of the computing time and hardware resources, Montgomery modular multiplication [19], [20], [21] is used, which does not directly compute modulo operation.

Montgomery multiplication [19], [20], [21] is an optimal method to calculate modular exponentiation. Three R-bit numbers $X, Y$, and $M$ are given, and $(X \cdot Y+q \cdot M) \cdot 2^{-R}$ $\bmod \mathrm{M}$ is computed, where an integer q is selected such that the least significant R bits of $\mathrm{X} \cdot \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{M}$ are zero. The value of $q$ can be computed as follows. Let $\left(-\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ denote the minimum non-negative number such that $\left(-M^{-1}\right) \cdot M \equiv-1$ ( or $\left.2^{R}-1\right)\left(\bmod 2^{R}\right)$. Since $M$ is odd, then $\left(-M^{-1}\right)<2^{R}$ always holds. The q can be selected such that $\mathrm{q}=((\mathrm{X} \cdot \mathrm{Y})$. $\left.\left(-\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)\right)[\mathrm{r}-1,0]$. For such $\mathrm{q},(\mathrm{X} \cdot \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{M})[\mathrm{r}-1,0]$ are zero. The readers may refer to paper [23] for an example.

Radix- $2^{\mathrm{r}}$ Montgomery multiplication is shown in Algorithm 2. In Algorithm 2, $d=[R / r]$ presents the number of digits in radix- $2^{\mathrm{r}}$ operands. The multiplier Y is partitioned by each r-bit and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ represents the i-th digit of Y. Therefore, Y could be given by $Y=\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} 2^{i r} . Y_{i}$. After d loops, R-bit Montgomery multiplication can be computed. As far as now, Montgomery multiplication could be computed by multiplication, addition and shift operations without modulo operations.
Algorithm 2: radix-2 ${ }^{r}$ Montgomery Multiplication radix $-2^{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{d}=[\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{r}], X, Y, M \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{\mathrm{R}}-1\right\}$,
$Y=\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} 2^{i r} . Y_{i}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{\mathrm{r}}-1\right\}$
$\left(-\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{M} \equiv-1 \bmod 2^{\mathrm{r}},-\mathrm{M}^{-1} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{\mathrm{r}}-1\right\}$
Input: $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{M},-\mathrm{M}^{-1}$
Output: $\mathrm{Sd}=\mathrm{X} \cdot \mathrm{Y} \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{dr}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$

1. $S_{0} \leftarrow 0$
2. for $\mathrm{i}=0$ to $\mathrm{d}-1$ do
3. $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \leftarrow\left(\left(\mathrm{Si}+\mathrm{X} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \cdot\left(-\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)\right) \bmod 2^{\mathrm{r}}$
4. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}+1} \leftarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / 2^{\mathrm{r}}$
5. end for
6. if $\left(\mathrm{M} \leq \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ then $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}} \leftarrow \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{M}$

Since $X \cdot Y+q \cdot M \equiv X \cdot Y(\bmod M)$, the $(X \cdot Y+q \cdot M)$. $2^{-R} \bmod M=X \cdot Y \cdot 2^{-R} \bmod M$ can be written. Let us see how Montgomery modular multiplication is used to compute $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{E}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$. Suppose $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{E}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$ needs to be computed. For simplicity, assume that E is a power of two. Since R and $M$ are fixed, again assume that $2^{2 R} \bmod M$ is computed beforehand. First compute $P \cdot\left(2^{2 R} \bmod M\right) \cdot 2^{R} \bmod M=P \cdot 2^{R}$
$\bmod \mathrm{M}$ using the Montgomery modular multiplication, then compute the square $\left(\mathrm{P} \cdot 2^{\mathrm{R}} \bmod \mathrm{M}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{P} \cdot 2^{\mathrm{R}} \bmod \mathrm{M}\right) \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{R}}$ $\bmod M=P^{2} \cdot 2^{R} \bmod M$. It should be clear that, by repeating the square computation using the Montgomery modular multiplication, having $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{E}} \cdot 2^{\mathrm{R}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$. After that, multiply 1 , that is $\left(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{E}} \cdot 2^{\mathrm{R}} \bmod \mathrm{M}\right) \cdot 1 \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{R}} \bmod \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{E}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$ is computed. In this way, cypher text C could be obtained.

Algorithm 3 shows the modular exponentiation using Montgomery multiplication of Algorithm 2. In Algorithm 3, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}$ represents the size of E . Inputs $2^{2 \mathrm{dr}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$ and $-\mathrm{M}^{-1}$ are given. To use Montgomery modular multiplication, C and P are converted from 1 and P in the 1 st line and the 2 nd line, respectively. The line 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7 in Algorithm 3 can be computed using Montgomery multiplication of Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 3: Modular Exponentiation
$0 \leq \mathrm{E} \leq 2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}-1, E=\sum_{i=0}^{E_{b}-1} 2^{i} . E_{i}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \in\{0,1\}$
Input: $P, E, M,-M^{-1}, 2^{2 d r} \bmod M$
Output: $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{E}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$

1. $\mathrm{C} \leftarrow\left(2^{2 \mathrm{dr}} \bmod \mathrm{M}\right) \cdot 1 \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{dr}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$;
2. $\mathrm{P} \leftarrow\left(2^{2 \mathrm{dr}} \bmod \mathrm{M}\right) \cdot \mathrm{P} \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{dr}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$;
3. for $i=E_{b}-1$ downto 0 do
4. $\mathrm{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{dr}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$;
5. if $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$ then $\mathrm{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{P} \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{dr}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$;
6. end for
7. $\mathrm{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{C} \cdot 1 \cdot 2^{-\mathrm{dr}} \bmod \mathrm{M}$;

Let $\{\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{B}\}$ denote a concatenation of A and B . For example, if $\mathrm{A}=(\mathrm{FF})_{16}$ and $\mathrm{B}=(\mathrm{EC})_{16},\{\mathrm{~A}: \mathrm{B}\}=(\mathrm{F} \mathrm{F} \mathrm{EC})_{16}$. Algorithm 4 is an improved algorithm from Algorithm 2. Considering the features of the target Virtex 6 FPGA, radix$2^{17}$ is selected. Let $R$ denotes the size of Montgomery multiplier operands $X$, $Y$, and $M$. Also, $d=[R / 17]$ is the number of digits of the operands on radix- $2{ }^{17}$. In the algorithm, the $17 \mathrm{~d} \geq \mathrm{R}+3$ condition is introduced to ignore the subtraction shown in the 6th line of Algorithm 2. If the condition is satisfied, it can be guaranteed that at least 3-bit 0 is padded to the most significant bits of the most significant digit as the redundancy. Due to the stringent page limitation, the proof is omitted. However, $\mathrm{M} \geq \mathrm{C}$ is always satisfied in the modular exponentiation shown in Algorithm 3. Further, in practical RSA encryption, the size of operands is radix-2 numbers such as 512-bit, 1024-bit, 2048-bit, and 4096-bit. For radix $-2^{17}$ system, the condition $17 \mathrm{~d} \geq \mathrm{R}+3$ is satisfied. If the condition is not satisfied, then it needs to append one redundant digit at the most significant digit.

## Algorithm 4: Montgomery Algorithm

radix $-2^{17}, \mathrm{~d}=[\mathrm{R} / 17], 17 \mathrm{~d} \geq \mathrm{R}+3$,
$X, Y, M, S_{i} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{R}-1\right\}$,
$-\mathrm{M}^{-1}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{C}_{\beta} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{17}-1\right\}, \mathrm{C}_{\gamma}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}} \in\{0,1\}$,
$X=\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} 2^{17 i} . X_{i}, X_{i} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{17}-1\right\}, X_{d}=0$
$Y=\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} 2^{17 i} . Y_{i}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{17}-1\right\}$
$\boldsymbol{M}=\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} 2^{17 i} \cdot M_{i}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{17}-1\right\}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}=0$

$$
S_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} 2^{17 j} \cdot S_{(i, j)}, \mathrm{S}_{(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})} \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{17}-1\right\}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}=0
$$

Input: $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{M},-\mathrm{M}^{-1}$
Output: $S_{d}=X \cdot Y \cdot 2^{-17 d} \bmod M$

1. $\mathrm{S} 0 \leftarrow 0$
2. for $i=0$ to $d-1$ do
3. $\mathrm{q} \leftarrow\left(\left(\mathrm{X} 0 \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{S}_{(\mathrm{i}, 0)}\right) \cdot\left(-\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)\right) \bmod 2^{17}$
4. $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{C}_{\beta}, \mathrm{C}_{\gamma}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}} \leftarrow 0$
5. for $\mathrm{j}=0$ to d do
6. $\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}: \alpha\right\} \leftarrow \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$
7. $\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\beta}: \beta\right\} \leftarrow \mathrm{q} \cdot \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$
8. $\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}: \gamma\right\} \leftarrow \alpha+\beta+\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$
9. $\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}: \mathrm{S}_{(\mathrm{i}+1, \mathrm{j}-1)}\right\} \leftarrow \gamma+\mathrm{S}_{(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$
10. end for

## 11. end for

Algorithm 4 is a radix $-2^{17}$ digit serial Montgomery algorithm from Algorithm 2. In other words, each 17-bit, as 1 digit, is processed every clock cycle. For this reason, the operands X, $Y, M$, and $S_{i}$ are split into 17-bit digits $X_{j}, Y_{j}, M_{j}$, and $S_{(i, j)}$, respectively. The loop from the 2nd to 11th lines of Algorithm 4 corresponds to the 2 nd to 5 th lines of Algorithm 2. Comparing the two algorithms, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}+1} \leftarrow\left(\mathrm{X} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) /$ $2^{\mathrm{r}}$ of the 4th line of Algorithm 2 corresponds to the digit serial processing by 4th to 10th lines of Algorithm 4 . In Algorithm $4, \mathrm{C}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{C}_{\beta}, \mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$ are carries and they are added at the next loop. In the algorithm, $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ are 17-bit carries for 17-bit MACC, and $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$ are 1-bit carries for 17-bit addition. For example, at the 6th line $X_{j}, Y_{i}$ are timed and added to 17 -bit carry $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$, the result is 34-bit. A product of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and an addition of the product and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ are computed. The resulting upper 17-bit denotes a carry $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ which can be added at next loop. The lower 17 -bit of result is $\alpha$ which is used at the 8 th and 9th lines. These carries in the algorithm appear in both the 17 -bit MACC and the 17 -bit adder to prevent a long carry chain that causes circuit delay.

The proposed flexible-length-arithmetic processor architecture is used to implement modular exponentiation algorithm and evaluate on Xilinx Vertex-6 XC6VLX240T-3FF1156, programmed by software and synthesis with Xilinx ISE Foundation 13.4. Table II and Table I show the synthesized result for this work.

For the benefit of readers, an optimal implementation[15] is recalled, which is evaluated on Xilinx Virtex-6 FPGA XC6VLX240T-1, programmed by hardware description language Verilog HDL and synthesized by Xilinx ISE Foundation 11.4. Note that, the optimal one, programmed by HDL is specialized design by an expert so that it is difficult to debug or change by a non-expert or sometimes even by an expert. However, proposed approach is in between software and hardware which makes debugging easy to the non-experts or beginners.

This implementation is near to the optimal one [15]. Hence, it is said that the implementation is an almost scalable in this paper. However, the optimal one [15] is designed to be implemented by hardware language, HDL which is difficult for modifications or changes by non-expert, because this is specially designed by an expert.

On the other hand, the implementation of RSA encryption/decryption using proposed processor architecture is designed to be implemented by software, more specifically by assembly language; hence it is easy for modifications or changes by a non-expert or by a beginner which makes it flexible. Even though, it has ability to support higher bit (more than 2048-bit) of RSA encryption/decryption.

## 5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The proposed flexible-length-arithmetic processor architecture is used to implement modular exponentiation algorithm and evaluate on Xilinx Vertex-6 XC6VLX240T-3FF1156, programmed by software and synthesis with Xilinx ISE Foundation 13.4. Table I shows the synthesized result for the work in comparison to soft processor in FPGAs.

Table I and Table II show the synthesized results of Virtex-6 for comparing both implementations. In Table $I$, it is compared how much resource used to implement RSA Cryptography. In this table, the implementation uses 4 block RAMs and 1 DSP slice. On the other hand, 70 block RAMs and 3 DSP slices are require for the same implementation using soft processor, MicroBlaze in FPGAs. Hence, it is said that this work is more resource efficient.

In Table II, time complexity to implement RSA Cryptography is compared. In this table, the implementation requires 0.42 ms . On the other hand, soft processor, MicroBlaze needs 152.28 ms for the same implementation. Hence, it is said that this work is more efficient in term of time complexity.

Based on results in Table I and Table II, it is said that, this implementation of RSA encryption/decryption using proposed processor is significantly efficient (less resource used, less time) in comparison to soft processor, MicroBlaze. Also designed processor architecture can be used to implement 256-bit, 512-bit, 1024-bit, even more than 2048-bit RSA encryption/decryption without further modifications or changes. Hence it is flexible.
Table I: Comparison of Resource Used to Implement 128bit RSA Cryptography

| Target FPGA: | Designed <br> Processor: <br> Vertex-6 FPGA <br> Flexible Length <br> Arithmetic <br> Processor | Soft Processor <br> in FPGA: <br> MicroBlaze |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slice Used | $170 / 301440$ | $6984 / 301440$ |
| Block RAM Used | $4 / 416$ | $70 / 416$ |
| DSP (DSP48E1) <br> Used | $1 / 768$ | $3 / 768$ |
| Clock Frequency in <br> MHz | 299.90 | 150 |

Table II: shows the synthesized result to compare time complexity

| Number of bits, R $\rightarrow$ |  | 64bits | 128bit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A: Soft Processor <br> "MicroBlaze" | No. of Cycles | Worst Case <br> Execution <br> Time[ms] | 20.55 |
|  | A/B: Ratio of <br> Execution Time | 186.80 | 362841973 |
|  | No. of Cycles | 34116 | 127025 |
|  | Worst Case <br> Execution <br> Time[ms] | 0.11 | 0.42 |

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, an intermediate approach of software and hardware is presented using DSP Slices and Block RAMs in FPGAs. More specifically, a flexible-length-arithmetic processor based on FDFM approach is presented that supports arithmetic operations for numbers with flexibly many bits, even longer than 2048 bits. The potentiality of this processor is shown through the implementation of modular exponentiation algorithm and compares it with the implementation results using 32-bit soft processor, MicroBlaze in FPGA. The results in Table I and Table II show that this work is significantly efficient in terms of resource used and time complexity. In future, it can be applied for higher bit RSA cryptography.
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