# Choice of Dual Soft Handoff Directing Developments in Wireless Communication

Sayem Patni Terna College Of Engineering Department Of Electronics and Telecommunication Nerul, Navi Mumbai, India

## ABSTRACT

In this paper we try to go through the different algorithms for handoff and select a combination of three emerging algorithms for Dual Soft Handoff. Moreover we go deeper into the actual workings of DSH with some results based on moments of Handoff

## **Keywords**

Mobile Communication, Wireless Communication, High Speed Wireless Transfer, Dual Soft Handoff, Moments Of Handoff, Handoff Algorithms, Handoff Types.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

With the development of wireless technology, wireless local area network (WLAN) and mobile communicationhave been penetrated into all aspects of our life. Roaming is the general topic for mobile nodes (MN). Because of the limitation of sending power and coverage, handoff is necessary and frequent when a MN roaming in WLAN. IEEE 802.11 deploys hard handoff. It disconnects with the current access point (AP) at first, and then connects to new AP. There is a handoff interval during which MN can't send or receive any data. There are many studies on how to diminish this interval or how to buffer data and resend them after reconnecting. But the existing interval may be intolerable for real-time applications such as video monitor system, voice over IP (VoIP) and kinds of alarm systems. With this we are trying to introduce a solution for eliminating the interval link and providing without data seamless data transmission during roaming with high speed.

# 2. HANDOFF ALGORITHMS

## Conventional Handoff Algorithms.

Handoff algorithms are distinguished from one another in two ways, handoff criteria and processing of handoff criteria. Fig6 shows Handoff Algorithms at Glance.

## Signal Strength Based Algorithms

"Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than IJCA must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, needs an acknowledgement to IJCA." There are several variations of signal strength based algorithms, including relative signal strength algorithms, absolute signal strength algorithms, and combined absolute and relative signal strength algorithms.



Fig 1: Handoff algorithm criteria

## **Relative Signal Strength Algorithms**

According to the relative signal strength criterion, the BS that receives the strongest signal from the MS is connected to the MS. The advantage of this algorithm is its ability to connect the MS with the strongest BS. However, the disadvantage is the excessive handoffs due to shadow fading variations associated with the signal strength. In many of the existing systems, measurements for candidate BSs are not performed if field strength for the existing BS exceeds a prescribed threshold. The disadvantage is the MS's retained connection to the current BS even if it passes the planned cell boundary as long as the signal strength is above the threshold. A variation of this basic relative signal strength algorithm incorporates hysteresis. For such an algorithm, a handoff is made if the RSS from another BS exceeds the RSS from the current BS by an amount of hysteresis.

#### **Emerging Handoff Algorithms.**

#### Dynamic Programming Based Handoff Algorithms:

Dynamic programming allows a systematic approach to optimization. However, it is usually model dependent (particularly the propagation model) and requires the estimation of some parameters and handoff criteria, such as signal strengths. So far, dynamic programming has been applied to very simplified handoff scenarios only. Handoff is viewed as a reward/cost optimization problem. RSS samples at the MS are modeled as stochastic processes. The reward is a function of several characteristics (e.g., signal strength, CIR, channel fading, shadowing, propagation loss, power control strategies, traffic distribution, cell loading profiles, and channel assignment). Handoffs are modeled as switching penalties that are based on resources needed for a successful handoff. Dynamic programming is used to derive properties of optimal policies for handoff. A signal strength based handoff as an optimization problem to obtain a tradeoff between the expected number of handoffs and number of service failures, events that occur when the signal strength drops below a level required for an acceptable service to the user. An optimal solution is derived based on dynamic programming and is used for comparison with other solutions. [26]

#### Pattern Recognition Based Handoff Algorithms:

Pattern recognition (PR) identifies meaningful regularities in noisy or complex environments. These techniques are based on the idea that the points that are close to each other in a mathematically defined feature space represent the same class of objects or variables. Explicit PR techniques use discriminate functions that define (n-1) hyper surfaces in an n-dimensional feature space. The input pattern is classified according to their location on the hyper surfaces. Implicit PR techniques measure the distance of the input pattern to the predefined representative patterns in each class. The sensitivity of the distance measurement to different representative patterns can be adjusted using weights. The clustering algorithms and fuzzy classifiers are examples of implicit methods. The environment in the region near cell boundaries is unstable, and many unnecessary handoffs are likely to occur. The PR techniques can help reduce this uncertainty by efficiently processing the RSS measurements.

### Prediction-based Handoff Algorithms:

Prediction-based handoff algorithms use the estimates of future values of handoff criteria, such as RSS. Signal strength based handoff algorithms can use path loss and shadow fading to make a handoff decision. The path loss depends on distance and is determinate. The shadow fading variations are correlated and hence can be predicted. The correlation factor is a function of the distance between the two locations and the nature of the surrounding environment. The prediction based algorithm exploits the correlation property to avoid unnecessary handoffs. The future RSS is estimated based on previously measured RSSs using an adaptive FIR filter. The FIR filter coefficients are continuously updated by minimizing the prediction error. Depending upon the current value of the RSS (RSSc) and the predicted future value of the RSS (RSSp), handoff decision is given a certain priority. Based on the combination of RSSc and RSSp, hysteresis may be added if it will not affect the handoff performance adversely. The final handoff decision is made based on the calculated handoff priority.

#### Neural Handoff Algorithms:

Most of the proposed neural techniques have shown only preliminary simulation results or have proposed methodologies without the simulation results. These techniques have used simplified simulation models. Learning capabilities of several paradigms of neural networks have not been utilized effectively in conjunction with handoff algorithms to date. A signal strength based handoff initiation algorithm using a binary hypothesis test implemented as a neural network.

## Fuzzy Handoff Algorithms:

The fuzzy handoff algorithm has shown to possess enhanced stability (i.e., less frequent handoffs). A hysteresis value used in a conventional handoff algorithm may not be enough for heavy fadings, while fuzzy logic has inherent fuzziness that can model the overlap region between the adjacent cells, which is the motivation behind this fuzzy logic algorithm. It incorporates signal strength, distance, and traffic. The methodology proposed in this paper allows systematic inclusion of different weight criteria and reduces the number of handoffs without excessive cell coverage overlapping. A change of RSS threshold as a means of introducing a bias is an effective way to balance traffic while allowing few or no additional handoffs. A combination of range and RSS modified by traffic weighting might give good performance. Different fuzzy composition methods to combine the cell membership degrees of different criteria methods can be adopted.

## **3. DUAL SOFT HANDOFF**



Fig 2: Soft-Dual-Handoff architecture

The Dual-Soft-Handoff scheme discussed in this topic is shown in Fig. 5. Network B is a large network connected by switches and routers. MN is the mobile node which can transfer data with nodes in Network B through APs along the line. Each access point has two APs with directional antennas mounted back-to-back. AP<sub>i,j</sub> is the AP at point L<sub>i</sub>, and j shows its antennas direction:

j=1: It's opposite with MN's moving direction;

j=2: It's the same with MN's moving direction.

MN has two network cards  $(N_1, N_2)$  with

directional antennas mounted back-to-back.

In topic, we put forward the Dual-Soft-Handoff scheme to support fast seamless roaming in WLAN.

When the MN moves from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ , it can receive signal from  $AP_{0,2}$ ,  $AP_{1,2}$ ,  $AP_{2,1}$ , and  $AP_{3,1}$ . The  $RSS_{2,1}$  strengthens while  $RSS_{1,2}$  lessens continuously. However, after the MN passes  $L_2$ , the  $RSS_{2,1}$  falls to zero very quickly, and the  $RSS_{1,2}$  keeps the

link in a period of time. Therefore,  $N_1$ 's handoff from  $AP_{2,1}$  to  $AP_{3,1}$  should be completed before arriving  $L_2$ . Data transfer is taken on by  $N_2$  through  $AP_{1,2}$  at this time.

When the MN arrives  $L_2$ ,  $RSS_{2,2}$  is at its maximum and  $N_2$  can find  $AP_{2,2}$ .  $N_2$  needs to switch to  $AP_{2,2}$  before  $RSS_{1,2}$  is under the threshold. The MN has connected with  $AP_{3,1}$  by  $N_1$ , so data communication is held by  $N_1$  and  $AP_{3,1}$ . Fig. 2 describes the general process of DSH during the MN roaming from  $L_i$  to  $L_{i+1}$ . It includes two phases.

Phase 1 is the forward handoff, and the new AP (NAP) is in front of the MN. It includes:

- 1) Data transfer between  $N_2$  and  $AP_{i,2}$ ;
- 2)  $N_1$  switches from  $AP_{i+1,1}$  to  $AP_{i+2,1}$ .

Phase 2 is the backward handoff, and the NAP is in back of the moving MN. It includes:

- 1) Data transfer between  $N_1$  and  $AP_{i+2,1}$ ;
- 2)  $N_2$  switches from  $AP_{i,2}$  to  $AP_{i+1,2}$ .

Here one network card's handoff occurs while the other works normally, so the data link can't be interrupted.



Figure 3 Directional attenuation's coverage

It includes two back-to-back APs. With directional antennas, AP's coverage is similar to a polygon, which is different from the omni-directional antenna.



Figure 4 Receiving sig attenuation model of the MN

It describes the change of the signal strength of APs during the MN's moving. In Fig. 4,  $L_i$  is the location of AP;  $RSS_{i,j}$  is the N<sub>j</sub>Received Signal Strength of AP<sub>i,j</sub>;  $T_i$  is the time MN passing  $L_i$ ;  $t_1$  is the time N<sub>1</sub> can switch;  $t_2$  is the earliest time N<sub>1</sub> finishing switch;  $t_3$  is the time N<sub>2</sub> beginning to switch;  $t_4$  is the time N<sub>2</sub> must finish the switch;  $S_{min}$  is the threshold of N<sub>1</sub> to be able to probe a AP.

There are different policies to handle the handoff while passing  $L_2$  from  $L_1$ :

1) MN finishes the handoff only before the original AP (OAP)'s signal reaches the connection threshold.

2)MN switches immediately when new AP (NAP)'s signal reaches the connection threshold.

If we adopt the former, it has some risk of  $N_1$ 's handoff not fulfilling accidently. So we choose the latter:  $N_1$  starts its handoff at  $t_1$ , just since probing AP<sub>3,1</sub>'s signal; and  $N_2$  also starts handoff at  $t_3(t_3 = T_2)$  when receiving signal from AP<sub>2,2</sub>. This policy can ensure both the handoff and the data communication.

# 4. DUAL SOFT HANDOFF SPECIFICS

#### Handoff triggering time

Using the immediate handoff policy, it's clear that the backward handoff to be triggered when passing the access point. But the triggering time of forward handoff is worthy researching. Fig.5 illustrates N1 and N2's handoff model.



Figure 5. N<sub>1</sub>'s forward handoff and N<sub>2</sub>'s backward handoff

In Fig. 5,  $N_1/N_2$  begin to switch at  $P_1/P_3$ , and finish switching at  $P_2/P_4$ ; the distance needed for handoff is *d*; the distance from the switching point to the OAP is  $d_{th}$ ; the distance between  $L_i$  and  $L_j$  is  $D_{i,j}$ ; *l* is the AP's effective coverage; is the maximum deviation angle of MN's track.

#### Message flow in Dual Soft Handoff



Figure 6 Message Flow in Dual Soft Handoff

## Model for DSH

The program describes the major work of Soft-Dual-Handoff. It records moments and positions of handoffs, which are used to make later handoff trigger more accurately.

Dual\_Handoff (int D\_AB )

{ //  $N_2$  takes charge of data transfer with  $AP_{1,2}$  i = 1;

if (distance\_bk () >= distance\_ap(i, i+1))

if ( probe( i, BK) == true) // find  $AP_{i,2}$ 's signal

if ( trigger\_handoff(N2, i, BK) == true) {

Handoff(N2, i, BK ); // N<sub>2</sub>'s backward handoff data\_handover( N2 ); //N<sub>2</sub> takes over data transfer }i++;}

#### Equations pertaining to DSH

If MN's velocity is (t) and passes the distance d(t) in a period of t ( $\tau_1 \le t \le \tau_2$ ). The distance d(t) can be denoted as:  $d(t) = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} v(t) dt \qquad (1)$ 



Figure 7 MN running at different speed in three stages

Fig. 5.4 is supposing that MN moves from station A to B, it goes through three stages: accelerating with the acceleration  $a_1$ ; moving with even speed; decelerating with theacceleration  $-a_2$ . So we can get v(t) and d(t):

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} v_0 + a_1 t = a_1 t & (v_0 = 0, t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1], a_1 > 0) \\ v_{max} & (\tau_2 \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)) \\ v_{max} - a_2 t & (t \in [\tau_2, \tau_3], a_2 > 0) \end{cases}$$

$$d(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} a_1 t^2 & (t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1], a_1 > 0) \\ v_{max} (\tau_j - \tau_i) & (\tau_2 \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)) \\ v_{max} (\tau_j - \tau_i) - \frac{1}{2} a_2 t^2 & (t \in [\tau_2, \tau_3], a_2 > 0) \end{cases}$$
(2)

If the MN moves with the deviation direction , the distance is *d*.

$$d \le d(t) \sec \beta, \beta \in [-\theta, \theta]$$
 (4)

$$d \ge d(t)\cos\beta \tag{5}$$

When  $d = d(t) \cos\beta \ge d(t)$ , *d* is the minimum distance for successful handoff in the direction of APs and  $d_{max} = d(t)$ .

We can get  $d_{th-N_1}$  and  $d_{th-N_2}$  from fig. Which can be ammended by history data:

$$d_{th-N_1} = l - D_{i,j}, \quad d_{th-N_2} = D_{i,j}$$
 (6)

# 5. RESULTS AND PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

According to the above analysis, we need to verify whether the Dual-Handoff model runs correctly. We designed a program to simulate the moving mode of MN. The simulation refers to some settings of subway data communication environment. It is supposed that the MN moves on the constant acceleration with the maximum velocity vmax. Thj,i is the time Nj begins to handoff from APi,j to APi+1,j; Tcj,i is the time Nj connects to APi+1,j; Data transfer between Nj and APi+1,j until Tdj,i. To reduce the interference, channel 1, 8 assign to AP0,2 and AP1,2; channel 4, 11 assign to AP1,1 and AP2,1, and so on.

Moments	
Table 5.1:	Different Parameters involved with meanings,
values and	units

Parameter	Meanings	Value	Unit
$D_{AB}$	Distance between A and	2000	m
	В		
$D_{i,i}$	Distance between	170 - 230	m
	adjacent APs		
L	Coverage of each AP	300	m
$v_{max}$	MN's maximum velocity	60/80/120	Km/h
$T_a$	Accelerating/Decelerating	30/40	S
	Time		
T <sub>max</sub>	Handoff Time	300	ms

Table 5.2: The Moments of Dual Soft Handoff (for 80km/h)

 $V_{MAX}\!\!=\!\!80KM/H,\ T_{A}\!\!=\!\!30S,\ 170M\!\!< D_{I,J}$  =  $D_{TH\_N2}\!\!< 230M,\ 70M\!\!<\!\!D_{TH\_N1}\!\!<\!\!130M$ 

	N1(s)			N2(s)		
Ι	$T_{h1,i}$	$T_{c1,i}$	$T_{d1,i}$	$T_{h2,i}$	$T_{c2,i}$	$T_{d2,i}$
1	15.76	16.06	24.22	23.92	24.22	28.86
2	28.56	28.86	32.94	32.64	32.94	38.16
3	37.86	38.16	42.39	42.09	42.39	46.71
4	46.41	46.71	51.66	51.36	51.66	54.59
5	54.29	54.59	60.21	59.91	60.21	64.71
6	64.41	64.71	68.09	67.79	68.09	73.85
7	73.55	73.85	78.21	77.91	78.21	82.80
8	82.50	82.80	87.35	87.05	87.35	91.45
9	91.15	91.45	95.12	94.82	95.12	120.00
10	120.00	120.30				





Table 5.3: The Moments of Dual Soft Handoff (for 120km/h)

V <sub>MAX</sub> =120KM/H,	T <sub>A</sub> =30S,	170M<	D <sub>I,J</sub>	=D <sub>TH N2</sub> <	230M,
$70M < D_{TH_N1} < 130M$	M				

	N1(s)			N2(s)		
i	$T_{h1,i}$	$T_{c1,i}$	$T_{d1,i}$	$T_{h2,i}$	$T_{c2,i}$	$T_{d2,i}$
1	12.87	13.17	19.83	19.53	19.83	23.32
2	23.32	23.62	26.86	26.56	26.86	30.24
3	30.24	30.54	33.36	33.06	33.36	35.94
4	35.94	36.24	39.54	39.24	39.54	41.19
5	41.19	41.49	45.24	44.94	45.24	47.94
6	47.94	48.24	50.49	50.19	50.49	54.03
7	54.03	54.33	57.24	56.94	57.24	60.00
8	60.00	60.30	61.86	61.56	61.86	63.17
9	63.17	63.47	65.16	64.86	65.16	90.00
10	90.00	90.30				





From Tab. 5.2 to Tab. 5.3, we can find that:

 $T_{c1,i+1}, T_{c2,i} = T_{d2,i}, T_{d1,i} \dots (7)$  $T_{h1,i+1}, T_{h2,i} > T_{c2,i}, T_{c1,i} \dots (8)$ 

These mean that one network card's data link maintains until another ready to built new data link; and the handoffs won't happen simultaneously. Therefore, the SDH can provide seamless connection during MN's fast roaming.

# 6. CONCLUSION

The proposed Soft-Dual-Handoff scheme aims at providing high quality data link for the rapid motion nodes.

It has high reliability, which is important for applications such as subway control, video or audio transmission.

If one link is broken- down, the SDH switch automatically to single network card mode, which can earn time for resuming from failure.

The SDH requires only the cooperation of mobile nodes, and AP needn't any modification. Therefore, AP can adopt standard IEEE 802.11 serial products to save investment.

In fast MSs, a handoff occurs frequently in WLANs due to their small coverage area. It implies that the frequency of handoff s will increase especially in WLANs, so a large number of handoff requests must be handled. Therefore, the handoff dropping probability is increasing, and the service quality (e.g., GoS) becomes worse. On the other hand, the CDMA system is large enough to accommodate fast MSs, and lower handoff request rates, thus resulting in lower burden and good service quality. It is safe to assume that either slow or stationary MSs transmit more data and that fast moving stations communicate at lower data rates. Therefore, according to the MS speed, the load balancing handoff between WLAN and CDMA results in good service quality and the avoidance of unnecessary handoff s. Our proposed methods adopt the mobility management concept through the MS speed cost function to minimize the GoS.

# 7. REFERENCES

[1] John Y. Kim and Gordon L. Stuber, "CDMA Soft

Handoff Analysis in the Presence of Power Control Error & Shadowing Correlation", IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 245-255, April 2002

- [2] BechirHamdaoui and ParameswaranRamanathan, "A Network Layer Soft Handoff Approach for Mobile Wireless IP-Based Systems", IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp. 630-642, May 2004
- [3] Qian Hong-Yan, Chen Bing & Qin Xiao-Lin, "A Dual-Soft-Handoff Scheme for Fast Seamless Roaming in WLAN", 2010 Second International Conference on Networks Security, Wireless Communications & Trusted Computing, pp. 97-100, 2010.
- [4] John Y. Kim, Gordon L. Stuber, Ian F. Akyildiz& Boo-Young Chan, "Soft Handoff Analysis of Hierarchical CDMA Cellular Systems", IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, Vol. 54, No. 3, pp. 1122-1134, May 2005
- [5] Sung Jin Hong & I-Tai Lu, "Effect of Various Threshold Settings on Soft Handoff Performance in Various Propagation Environments", pp. 2945-2949, VTC 2000
- [6] Yali Qin, XibingXu, Ming Zhao & Yan Yao, "Effect of User Mobility on Soft Handoff Performance in Cellular Communication", Proceeding of IEEE TENCON'02, pp. 956-959, 2002
- [7] RajatPrakash and Venugopal V. Veeravalli, "Locally Optimal Soft Handoff Algorithms", IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology Vol. 52, No. 2, pp. 347-356, March 2003