Mobility Simulation of Reactive Routing Protocols for Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks

Reena Dadhich

Department of MCA,

Govt. College of Engineering,
Ajmer, India.

Ramesh C. Poonia

Apaji Institute of Mathematics
& Applied Computer
Technology,

Banasthali University,
Banasthali, India.m

ABSTRACT

Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks (VANETs) have been recently attracting an increasing attention from both research and industry communities. The emerging and promising VANET technology is distinguished from mobile ad hoc networks (MANET) and wireless sensor networks (WSN) by large-scale deployed autonomous nodes with abundant exterior assisted information, high mobility with an organized but constrained pattern, frequently changed network topology leading to frequent network fragmentation, and varying drivers behavior factors. In this paper, We introduce a promising realistic vehicular mobility model and evaluate the performance of following routing protocols: AODV, DSR and TORA. A variety of highway scenarios, characterized by the mobility, load, and size of the network were simulated. Our results indicate the reactive routing protocols performance, which is suitable for VANET scenarios in terms of packet delivery ratio, routing load, and end-to-end delay.

Keywords

MANET, VANETs, AODV, DSR, TORA.

1. INTRODUCTION

The growth of the increased number of vehicles are equipped with wireless transceivers to communicate with other vehicles to form a special class of wireless networks, known as vehicular ad hoc networks or VANETs [1].

The VANET mostly resembles the operation technology of MANET in the sense that the process of self-organization, self-management, low bandwidth and shared radio transmission criteria remain same. The interference in operation of VANET comes from the high speed and uncertain mobility (in contrast to MANET) of the mobile nodes (vehicles) along the paths [2]. This suggested that the design of efficient on-demand routing protocol demands upgradation of MANET architecture to accommodate the fast mobility of the VANET nodes in an efficient manner. This warranted various research challenges to design appropriate routing protocol. It is therefore important at this stage to say that the key characteristics of VANET [3] that may be accounted for the design of various routing protocols.

As a special type of network, Vehicular Ad hoc Networks (VANETs) have received increasing research attention in recent years [4-6]. There are many active research projects [7],[10] concerned with VANETs. Vehicular ad hoc networks are wireless networks that use multi-hop routing instead of static networks infrastructure to provide network connectivity.

VANETs have applications in rapidly deployed and dynamic military and civilian systems. The network topology in VANETs usually changes with time. Therefore, there are new challenges for routing protocols in VANETs since traditional routing protocols may not be suitable for VANETs [8]. Researchers are designing new VANETs routing protocols, comparing and improving existing ones by using simulations. This work is an attempt towards a comprehensive performance evaluation of commonly used mobile ad hoc routing protocols.


Fig. 1: Taxonomy of Various Routing Protocols in VANET

Figure 1 illustrates the taxonomy of these VANET routing protocols [9],[10] which can be classified as topology-based and geographic (position-based) in VANET. Topology-based routing uses the information about links that exist in the network to perform packet forwarding. Geographic routing uses neighbouring location information to perform packet forwarding [11]. Since link information changes in a regular basis, topology-based routing suffers from routing route breaks.

The complete paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we shortly provide some related work in the field of vehicular ad-hoc networks and presents the mobility model chosen for our simulation. while in Section 3, we will discuss in brief about the mobility models and simulator for Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks. Simulation and Results is done in section 4 and the paper concludes in Section 5.

2. RELATED WORK

Several open-source tools for the generation of vehicular mobility patterns became available in recent years. Most of these open-source tools are capable of producing traces for network simulators such as ns-2 [12], Qual-Net [13] or OPNET [14]. Recent efforts are the most related to our work, as they also use simulation-based methodology i.e. NS-2 [12],[15] is the first to provide a realistic, quantitative analysis comparing the relative performance of the four mobile ad hoc network routing

protocols AODV, DSDV, DSR, and TORA [16-20]. They simulated 50 wireless nodes, moving according to the random waypoint (RWP) model over a rectangular (1500m ×300m) flat space for 900 seconds. The mobility patterns were generated with 7 different pause time (0, 30, 60, 120, 300, 600, and 900 seconds) and with 2 different maximum node speed (1 and 20 mps). The type of communication patterns was chosen to be constant bit rate (CBR), and the parameters experimented with 3 different communication pairs (10, 20, 30 traffic sources), each sending 1, 4, and 8 packets per second (packet sizes of 64 and 1024 bytes). Packet delivery fraction, number of routing packets transmitted, and distribution of path lengths were chosen as the performance metrics. Simulation results demonstrated that DSR and AODV performed significantly better than DSDV, and TORA acted the worst in terms of routing packet overhead.

There are many papers [21],[22] focusing on new routing protocols to VANETs, in order to achieve increased communication reliability in some mobility scenarios (highway and Urban area) or particular application.

3. MOBILITY MODELS AND SIMULATOR

The Mobility Model governs the set of rules that define movement pattern of nodes in ad-hoc network. Network simulators can then, by using this information, create random topologies based on nodes position and perform some tasks between the nodes. Using VANET pose a challenge and that is how to separate a mobility model at Macroscopic and Microscopic level [23]. Mobility Model includes some constraints like streets, lights, roads, buildings, cars, vehicular movements and inter-vehicle behaviour. These constraints are divided into two parts that are dealt with separately. The node mobility includes streets, lights, roads, buildings etc and is classified as Macroscopic, whereas the movement of vehicles and their behaviours are classified as Microscopic. We can also analyze mobility model as Traffic generator and Motion generator. Motion constraints are designed by car driver habits, cars and pedestrians and describe each vehicle movement. The Traffic generator creates random topologies from maps and defines the vehicular behaviour under environment. The mobility model is described by the framework, which includes topological maps like lanes, roads, streets, obstacles in mobility and communication model, car velocities, the attraction and repulsion points, based on traffic densities relating to how the simulation time could vary, vehicular distribution on roads and intelligent driving pattern. Vehicular communication is expected to contribute to safer and more efficient traffic by providing timely information to drivers, and also to make travel more convenient. The illustration of this framework is given in the figure below.

There are various models, which can generate mobility patterns based on certain criteria. While it is hard to present real world traffic scenarios in a single simulation model, ways can be adopted to develop a protocol suite which can support the implementation. The mobility patterns can be generated from various models. Since real-life implementation of protocols for these mobility models are not easily feasible, a common way of performance evaluation is through simulation. A different choice is the supposed network simulator-2 [12] which is among the most widely accepted network simulation tools in the scientific community.


Fig. 2: The framework of VANETs

Its software architecture is well prepared for extensions and enables attaching software modules for data exchange with other programs. NS-2 features a comprehensive model for simulating multihop wireless networks and includes an implementation of the IEEE 802.11 MAC-protocol. As radio wave propagation models, NS-2 basically provides the free space model and that supposed Two Ray Ground model, which takes into account both the direct communication path between two vehicles and an additional path due to reflections on the ground. This model is very well applicable to the VANET domain.

4. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

The experimental setup is used for performance evaluation of the AODV, DSR and TORA routing protocols. It measures the ability of protocols to adapt the dynamic network topology changes while continuing to successfully deliver data packets from source to their destinations. In order to measure this ability, different scenarios are generated by varying the number of nodes. We use following scenario generation commands for generating scenario file for 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 nodes:

./setdest –v 1 –n 20 –p 2.0 –M 10.0 -t 100 -x 200 -y 200;

./setdest –v 1 –n 40 –p 2.0 –M 10.0 -t 100 -x 200 -y 200;

./setdest –v 1 –n 60 –p 2.0 –M 10.0 -t 100 -x 200 -y 200;

./setdest –v 1 –n 80 –p 2.0 –M 10.0 -t 100 -x 200 -y 200;

./setdest –v 1 –n 100 –p 2.0 –M 10.0 -t 100 -x 200 -y 200.

Similarly, for connection pattern generation we use, cbrgen.tcl file. By using following commands the connection pattern is generated:

ns cbrgen.tcl -type cbr -nn 20 -seed 1.0 -mc 10 –rate 4.0;

ns cbrgen.tcl -type cbr -nn 40 -seed 1.0 -mc 10 –rate 4.0;

ns cbrgen.tcl -type cbr -nn 60 -seed 1.0 -mc 10 –rate 4.0;

ns cbrgen.tcl -type cbr -nn 80 -seed 1.0 -mc 10 –rate 4.0;

 ns cbrgen.tcl -type cbr -nn 100 -seed 1.0 -mc 10 –rate 4.0;

The trace file is created by each run and is analyzed using a variety of scripts, particularly one called file *.tr that counts the number of successfully delivered packets and the length of the paths taken by the packets, as well as additional information

about the internal functioning of each scripts executed. This trace file is further analyzed with AWK file and Microsoft Excel is used to produce the graphs [12]. Simulations are run by considering AODV, DSR and TORA routing protocol. Simulation parameters are appended in Table-1.

Table 1. Simulation Parameters

| Parameters	Specifications
Network Simulator	NS-2.34
Routing Protocols	AODV, DSR and TORA
Simulation Area	200m x 200m
Propagation Model	Two-ray ground reflection model
Number of Vehicles	20, 40, 60, 80, 100
Antenna model	Omnidirectional
MAC Protocol	IEEE 802.11
Channel Type	Wireless channel
Traffic Type	Constant Bit Rate (CBR)
Source/Destination	Random
Max. Speed	10 m/s
Simulation Time	100 s
Data Rate	4 Mbps
Seed	1.0
Pause Time	2.0
Data payload	512 Bytes / packet
Connections	10

In order to get realistic performance, the results are averaged for a number of scenarios. We tried to measure the protocols performance on a particular terrain area of 200m x 200m from real life scenario at a speed of 10 m/s. The simulation time was taken to be of 100 seconds for Constant Bit Rate (CBR) traffic type with a packet size of 512 Byte. Also, we have considered nodes with Omni-Antenna and Two Ray Ground Radio Propagation method.

First, we will compare three protocols under the above simulation environment. Figure 3 shows the behaviour by throughput of AODV, DSR and TORA. Throughput of the routing protocol means that in certain time the total size of useful packets that received at all the destination nodes. Do not include headers, footers or page numbers in your submission. These will be added when the publications are assembled.


Fig. 3: Throughput of AODV, DSR and TORA

Figure 4 shows that Packet Delivery Fraction (PDF) for the same movement models are used, the number of traffic sources is increasing at 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100. The maximum speed of the nodes is set to 10m/s and the pause time is fixed 2.


Fig. 4: Packet Delivery Fraction (PDF) of AODV and DSR

The average end-to-end delay in packet delivery is higher in TORA as compared to AODV and DSR, figure 5. AODV and DSR are little and similar in the case of decreasing order of nodes. When the nodes increases 80 to 100, the transmission delay of data packet is slightly similar. The DSR becomes better rather than to AODV and TORA when nodes becomes 20 to 80.

FiG. 5: End-to-End average Delay of AODV, DSR and TORA

Figure 6 shows that Normalized Routing Load (NRL) of AODV, DSR and TORA.

Fig. 6: Normalized Routing Load (NRL) of AODV, DSR and TORA

However, DSR performs a little better load-wise and can possibly do even better with some fine-tuning of this timeout period by making it a function of node mobility. TORA too has the worst delay characteristics because of the loss of distance information with progress.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper provides an overview of a concept for mobility models and a network simulator. With the help of such a simulation environment, the impacts of vehicle to-vehicle communication on traffic can be investigated in detail, which is crucial for evaluating the benefits for traffic safety and throughput. The simulation environment set up is a big step forward to a realistic representation of different scenarios, we focus on the routing performance in vehicular ad hoc networks. We present an extensive simulation studies to compare the following routing protocols: AODV, DSR and TORA, using a variety of highway scenarios, characterized by the mobility, load, and size of the networks. Our results indicate the reactive routing protocols performance, which is suitable for VANET scenarios in terms of packet delivery ratio, routing load, and end-to-end delay. The goal of this performance evaluation is a comparison of a VANETs routing protocols between AODV, DSR and TORA. DSR in our simulation experiment shows to have the overall best performance. TORA performs better at maximum number of nodes or high mobility.

6. REFERENCES

[1] A. K. Saha and D. B. Johnson, 2004, "Modeling mobility for vehicular ad hoc networks," In Proceedings of ACM International Workshop on Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks, pp. 91-92.

[2] J. J. Blum, A. Eskandarian, and L. J. Hoffman, 2004, "Challenges of Inter vehicle Ad Hoc Networks", IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, Vol. 5. No. 4.

[3] J. Blum, A. Eskandarian, and L. Hoffman, 2003, "Performance Characteristics of Inter- Vehicle Ad Hoc Networks". The IEEE 6th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems, Shanghai, China, page 114-119.

[4] Meng Jun Tong, Li Yu, Chang Heng Shu, Qi Fen Dong, Feng Gao, 2011, ''Research and Simulation of Routing Protocol in Different VANET Scenarios", Journal of Advanced Materials Research (Volumes 217 - 218), Switzerland.

[5] Gongjun Yan, Nathalie Mitton, Xu Li, 2011, "Reliable Routing in Vehicular Ad hoc Networks", The 7th International Workshop on Wireless Ad hoc and Sensor Networking (WWASN 2010), Genoa, Italy.

[6] Bijan Paul, Md. Ibrahim, Md. Abu Naser Bikas, 2011 "VANET Routing Protocols: Pros and Cons", International Journal of Computer Applications, Volume 20– No.3.

[7] Qing Yang, 2011 "Adaptive Connectivity Aware Routing Protocol for Wireless Vehicular Networks", A dissertation of Ph.D. at Auburn University.

[8] B. Ramakrishnan, Dr. R. S. Rajesh, R. S. Shaji, 2010 "An Intelligent Routing Protocol for Vehicle safety communication in Highway Environments", Journal of Computing, Volume 2, Issue 11.

[9] Yun-Wei Lin, Yuh-Shyan Chen and Sing-Ling Lee, 2010 "Routing in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks: A Survey and Future Perspectives," Journal of Information Science and Engineering.

[10] Sajjad Ali & Asad Ali, 2010 "thesis - Performance Analysis of AODV, DSR and OLSR in MANET", Department of Electrical Engineering with emphasis on Telecommunication Blekinge Institute of Technology, Sweden, 2009.

[11] R.S. Raw, S. Kumar, S. Das,, "An Efficient Position-Based Routing Protocol for Vehicular Ad Hoc Network in City Traffic Scenarios", RISTEP-2010, NIT Srinagar, India, 2010.

[12] The Network Simulator, ns-2 http://www.isi.edu/nsnam/ns.

[13] QualNet network simulator, http://www.scalable-networks.com.

[14] OPNET NetworkModeling and Simulation, http://www.opnet.com/services/university.

[15] Broch, J., Maltz, D.A., Johnson, D.B., Hu, Y.-C. and Jetcheva, J., 1998. A performance comparison of multi-hop wireless ad hoc network routing protocols, Proceedings of the 4th annual ACM/IEEE international conference on Mobile computing and networking. ACM, Dallas, Texas, United States, pp. 85-97.

[16] Park, V.D. and Corson, M.S., 1997. A highly adaptive distributed routing algorithm for mobile wireless networks, Proceedings of the 16th Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies. IEEE Computer Society, pp. 1405-1413.

[17] Peiyan, Y. and Layuan, L., 2006. Performance evaluation and simulations of routing protocols in ad hoc networks, Proceedings of the 2006 workshop on Broadband wireless access for ubiquitous networking. ACM, Alghero, Italy.

[18] Perkins, C.E. and Bhagwat, P., 1994. Highly dynamic destination-sequenced distance-vector routing (DSDV) for mobile computers. ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, 24(4): 234-244.

[19] Perkins, C.E. and Royer, E.M., 1999. Ad-hoc on-demand distance vector routing, Proceedings of the 2nd IEEE Workshop on Mobile Computer Systems and Applications. IEEE Computer Society, pp. 1405-1413.

[20] Perkins, C.E., Royer, E.M., Das, S.R. and Marina, M.K., 2001. "Performance comparison of two on-demand routing protocols for ad hoc networks", IEEE Personal Communications, page no. 16-28.

[21] B. Ramakrishnan, Dr. R. S. Rajesh, R. S. Shaji, 2010 " An Intelligent Routing Protocol for Vehicle safety communication in Highway Environments", Journal of Computing , Volume 2, Issue 11.

[22] Carolina Tripp Barba, Karen Ornelas, Monica Aguilar Igartua, 2010 "Performance Evaluation of a Hybrid Sensor and Vehicular Network to Improve Road Safety", 7th ACM PEWASUN 2010, Bodrum, Turkey.

[23] Fiore, M.; Harri, J.; Filali, F.; Bonnet, C. 2007 "Vehicular Mobility Simulation for VANETs Simulation" Symposium, 2007. ANSS apos;07. 40th Annual Volume , Issue , Page(s):301 – 309