Application of Active Suspension System to Reduce Aircraft Vibration using PID Technique and Bees Algorithm
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ABSTRACT
The dynamic load and vibration caused by landing impact and the unevenness of runway will result in airframe fatigue, discomfort of crew/passengers and the reduction of the pilot’s ability to control the aircraft. The aim of the current paper is to design Proportional Integral Derivative classical controller based on Bees Intelligent Algorithm as the optimization technique for nonlinear model of active landing gear system that chooses damping and stiffness performance of suspension system at touchdown as optimization object. Optimal setting of controller parameters to achieve desirable time response using numerical software method based on Bees Algorithm is easier and more effective than other traditional methods because it does not need high experience and complex calculations and leads to better results. This research develops nonlinear two-dimensional mathematical model to describe landing gear system with oleo-pneumatic shock absorber and linear tire. Based on this model, the dynamic equations derived are used to investigate the behavior of an aircraft active landing gear system subject to runway disturbance excitation and the stability conditions of the landing system around static equilibrium position is studied according to the Routh-Hurwitz criterion. Simulink control system simulation software is utilized to validate the theoretical analysis of system stability and results comparison and adaptation of this paper with research of Wang and Xing about investigation of active landing gear system. Results of system numerical Simulation with optimized controller using Bees Algorithm in MATLAB software shows that the transmitted impact load to airframe, the vertical vibration of aircraft and time to return static equilibrium position at touchdown are significantly improved compared with other control performances.
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1. INTRODUCTION
An aircraft landing gear system must absorb the kinetic energy produced by a landing impact and excitations caused by the aircraft travelling over an uneven runway surface. This is the necessary requirement of a successfully designed landing system [1-2]. The oleo-pneumatic shock strut is the most common type of shock absorber landing gear system used in aircrafts. It dissipates the kinetic energy produced by impacts arising when an airplane lands at high speed but also offers a comfortable ride to passengers when the airplane taxis at low speed. The strut behaves in a strongly nonlinear manner, which influences the performance of the landing system [3-5]. From 1970s, the active control and semi-active control began to be popular and widely used in vibration control of constructions and vehicle suspensions. Compared with the passive control, the active and semi-active controls have excellent tunabilities due to their flexible structure.

Investigations [6-9] involving real-time feedback of the ground input to the landing system have shown that active control greatly reduces impact and fatigue loads experienced by the aircraft as well as vertical displacements. This is achieved by adjusting the system’s stiffness and damping values [6-9]. In most current airplane designs, a traditional passive landing gear system is used [10]. The impact loads experienced are large [11], because the characteristic design parameters of a shock-absorbing device in a passive system can not adjust to meet different landing and runway environments. In very bad landing conditions, large impact loads can reach the design limitations of the airframe and landing gear structure to cause a possible flight accident [11-12].

The development of shock absorber control technology is identified by passive, semi-active and active control phases [10]. The major difference between an active and a passive control landing gear system is that the hydraulic damping is changed following the shock strut stroke and the impact load [12]. This improves the performance of the active control shock absorber and the resulting vibration reduced by designing a suitable controller. Through measurement of the strut’s stroke, the controller influences a servo valve which regulates the damping characteristic and hence reduces the vibration [12].

At present, active control landing gear systems are still in the stage of theoretical and experimental investigation. They have not been introduced into real aircrafts because of many practical issues involving safety, design and production. Previously, NASA studied the behavior of an active nose landing gear using A-10 [6] and F-106B [7] airplanes. In the latter, drop tests were performed. These two studies focused on observation and experimental data but lacked a theoretical analysis to support the tests. Ghiringhelli [13] tested a semi-active landing gear control system of a generic aircraft, but it was shown that its overall influence was inferior compared to an active controller. This situation contrasts markedly to developments in automotive engineering [14-16] where an active control approach is widely used. Dong [17] constructs a semi-active GA-based nonlinear model predictive controller.
for landing gear system at touchdown. Drop tests are carried out on an experimental passive landing gear system to validate the parameters of the simulation model. The result of numerical simulation shows that the isolation of impact load at touchdown can be significantly improved compared to other control algorithms. J.T. Xing [18] develops a mathematical model to control aircraft vibrations caused by runway excitation using an active landing gear system and focuses his paper on optimization of the performance of the active landing gear system. MATLAB simulation software is used to run simulations using a PID strategy. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2, the model of active landing gear is introduced. Then in section 3, PID control technique and Bees intelligent optimization algorithm is developed. Section 4 presents stability analysis of system. In section 5, the numerical simulation is performed and the conclusion of this investigation is summarized in sections 6.

2. MODEL OF ACTIVE LANDING GEAR SYSTEM

Figure 1 illustrates a model of an active landing gear system with a typical oleo-pneumatic shock absorber [18-20]. The absorber is the main component of a passive system. It consists of lower and upper chambers that these two chambers are connected by a small orifice. The upper volume of the top chamber is filled with pressurized nitrogen and the remaining volumes of the upper and lower chambers are filled with oil. This absorber design produces both spring and damping characteristics. During the process of an airplane landing, the shock strut experiences compression and extension. This motion forces the oil to pass through the orifice, which dissipates the large amount of energy created by a landing impact. The oil flows from the lower to the upper chamber, compressing the nitrogen that stores the remaining impact energy. When this stored energy is released, the shock strut extends and the oil flows from the upper to the lower chamber, thus dissipating the impact energy residue. This compression and extension oscillation continues until all landing impact energy dissipates. To this active landing gear system, an active control system is added as shown in Figure 1. The latter consists of a servo valve, a low-pressure (LP) reservoir, a high-pressure (HP) accumulator, a hydraulic pump, an electronic controller and feedback transducers. When an aircraft lands, the shock absorber stroke is influenced by the aircraft’s payload and varies depending on runway excitation. The stroke is measured by the transducers and their signals input into the electronic controller. This directs the servo valve to regulate the oil flow into or out of the shock absorber, hence producing the active control force to reduce the force transferred to the airplane. As will be shown, this action improves the performance of the passive system.

To establish a mathematical model to describe the active landing gear system, the notations adopted are shown in Figure 2 [18]. Here, the airplane and possible attachments are simplified by a sprung concentrated mass \( m_1 \) to which an aerodynamic lift \( L \) is applied. The suspension and tire systems are modelled by the unsprung concentrated mass \( m_2 \). These two masses are connected by a spring with stiffness coefficient \( k_1 \) and a damper with damping factor \( c_1 \), which simulate the stiffness and damping of the shock strut unit. \( k_2 \) and \( c_2 \) stiffness and damping coefficients represent the stiffness and damping of the tire system, respectively.

![Fig. 1: Model of nonlinear landing gear with active control system](image1)

![Fig. 2: Schematic sketch of the dynamic model of the active landing gear system](image2)

### 2.1 Dynamic Equilibrium Equations

Using Newton’s second law of motion and the system model in Figure 2, we represent the dynamic equilibrium equations as

\[
\begin{align*}
    m_1 \ddot{y}_1 &= m_1 g - L - F_d - F_o - f - F_Q \\
    m_2 \ddot{y}_2 &= m_2 g - F_i + F_d + F_o + f + F_Q
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

### 2.2 Shock Strut Force

Considering principles of the shock strut operation, a damping effect is produced by squeezing the compressed oil through the tunable orifice. It produces an oleo damping force. In the pneumatic chamber, the air is compressed by the movement of the piston, thus it provides an air spring force. Additional friction forces experienced by the landing gear are generated from two principal sources. Namely, one force is caused by
the tightness of the seal and the other friction force is due to the offset wheel.

### 2.2.1 Oleo Damping Force

\[
F_o = \frac{\rho A^3}{2C_o A_o} (\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2)^2 \text{sgn}(\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2)
\]  

(2)

### 2.2.2 Air Spring Force

\[
F_a = P_0 A (1 - \frac{\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2}{\dot{y}_0})^n
\]

(3)

### 2.2.3 Friction Force

\[
f = f_{\text{seal}} + f_{\text{ow}} = k_m (\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2) + \text{sgn}(\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2)k_n (\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2)^2 + \mu(-\frac{F_{\text{fl}}L}{\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2 + B})
\]

(4)

### 2.2.4 Active Control Force

\[
F_Q = k_o Q + k_b Q^2 \text{sgn}(Q)
\]

Where \( k_o \) and \( k_b \) are the two characteristic constants measured for the servo valve system [12]. The flow quantity \( Q \) is calculated as follows [18]:

\[
Q = C_Q \omega_{\text{wx}} \sqrt{\frac{P_{\text{sh}} - P_{\text{sl}}}{\rho}}
\]

(5)

\[
P_{\text{sh}}, P_{\text{sl}} \text{ are pressure in the HP and LP reservoirs, respectively, as shown in Figure 1, } C_Q \text{ represents a non-dimensional discharge coefficient, } \omega \text{ defines the orifice area gradient and } x \text{ represent the displacement of the servo valve spool.}
\]

### 2.3 Tire Force

In order to simplify the mathematical model, the tire is acted as a combination of a linear spring and a linear damper as follows [21]:

\[
F_t = c_t (\dot{y}_2 + \dot{y}_g) + k_t (y_2 + y_g)
\]

(6)

### 3. CONTROLLER DESIGN

Classical controller accounts for more than 90% of the controls and automation applications today, primarily because effective and simple to implement. They originally are intended for linear, time-invariant systems. This type of controller has developed, to control systems with more complex dynamics. For linear systems and some nonlinear systems, classic controllers such as PI, PD and PID have been widely used in industrial control processes.

### 3.1 Introduction of PID Controller

Proportional Integral Derivative Controller (PIDC) is used where system is second-order or high order. It ensures set point tracking with zero steady state error and allows faster response without oscillatory nature of PI control greater influence of error response, degree of overshoot and oscillation.

The displacement \( x \) of servo valve spool is controlled by classical method as shown in Figure 3. The classical controllers have the advantage of being structurally simple, mathematically credible, more or less easy to realize with scope for adjustment, thus making it widely applicable in engineering systems. These control methods are chosen to complete the mathematical model and to investigate the landing gear system’s performance.

In Figure 3, \( r \) represents a reference signal and \( y \) is the feedback signal measured from the landing gear by transducers. Their difference \( e \) is input into the classical controllers. The transfer functions of PID controller is defined as follows:

\[
C(s) = \frac{U(s)}{E(s)} = k_p + \frac{k_i}{s} + k_d s
\]

(7)

Here, \( k_p \) represents a proportionality coefficient, \( k_i \) an integral coefficient and \( k_d \) a differential coefficient. These feedback coefficients can be adjusted to obtain the best control efficiency. The output signal of the controller gives the displacement \( x \) of servo-valve spool as follows:

\[
x(t) = k_p \{\dot{r}(t) - \dot{y}(t)\} + k_i \{r(t) - y(t)\} + k_d \{\dot{r}(t) - \dot{y}(t)\}
\]

(8)

### 3.2. Introduction of Bees Algorithm

During the harvesting season, a colony of bees keeps a percentage of its population as scouts [22] and uses them to explore the field surrounding the hive for promising flower patches. The foraging process begins with the scout bees being sent to the field where they move randomly from one patch to another.

When they return to the hive, those scout bees that found a patch of a sufficient quality (measured as the level of some constituents, such as sugar content) deposit their nectar or pollen and go to the “dance floor” to perform a dance known as the “waggle dance” [23]. This dance is the means to communicate to other bees three pieces of information regarding a flower patch: the direction in which it will be found, its distance from the hive, and its quality rating (or fitness) [24,25]. This information helps the bees watching the dance to find the flower patches without using guides or maps. After the waggle dance, the dancer (i.e. the scout bee) goes back to the flower patch with follower bees recruited from the hive. The number of follower bees will depend on the overall quality of the patch. Flower patches with large amounts of nectar or pollen that can be collected with less effort are regarded as more promising and attract more bees [23,26]. In this way, the colony can gather food quickly and efficiently. Although some of the algorithms proposed have names that are suggestive of possibly bee-inspired operations, as far as authors know, those algorithms do not closely follow the behavior of bees. In particular, they do not seem to implement the techniques that bees employ when foraging for food. There is an algorithm which works similar to the Bees Algorithm [27]. Figure 4 shows the flowchart of Bees
Algorithm. For more details, the reader is referred to [28]. The algorithm requires a number of parameters to be set, namely: number of scout bees (n), number of sites selected for neighborhood searching (out of n visited sites) (m), number of top-rated (elite) sites among m selected sites (e), number of bees recruited for the best e sites (nep), number of bees recruited for the other (m-e) selected sites (nsp), the initial size of each patch (ngh) (a patch is a region in the search space that includes the visited site and its neighborhood), and the stopping criterion. Figure 5 represents schematic sketch of the classical controller with Bees Algorithm. The objective function of optimization problem is introduced in Equation 10.

4. STABILITY ANALYSIS

We assume that, in time, the aircraft returns to its static equilibrium position after a landing impact or a runway excitation. A passive landing gear satisfies this assumption. As shown in figure 1, an active landing system is established by using of an active control unit. This unit provides additional damping and stiffness forces to improve the performance of the passive landing gear system. These additional forces play a modifying role with the expectation that they cause the aircraft to return to its static equilibrium position quicker than by the passive landing gear system. Furthermore, these forces cause the less impact to induce to aircraft’s airframe than by the passive landing gear system only.

4.1 Static Equilibrium Solution

To determine the static equilibrium solution of the landing gear system, the time derivatives of the displacements \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \), the ground inputs and its time derivative are set to zero [18]. This allows Equation 1 reduce to

\[
\begin{align*}
20 & y_1 = -F_A - F_o - f \\
20 & y_2 = -F_t + F_A + F_o + f 
\end{align*}
\]  

(11)

4.2 Dynamic Equations Around the Static Equilibrium Solution

By using Taylor’s expansion around static stroke of shock absorber and neglecting higher-order quantities, the term arising Equation 11 is derived as follow

4.2.1 Oleo Damping Force

\[ F_0 = 0 \]  

(12)

4.2.2 Air Spring Force

\[ F_a = p_0 A \left( \frac{n}{y_0} \right) (y_1 - y_2) \]  

(13)

4.2.3 Friction Force

\[ f = k_m (y_1 - y_2) \]  

(14)

4.2.4 Active Control Force

\[ F_Q = k_a Q \]  

(15)

4.3 Stability Conditions

By substitution of linearized Equations in Equation 11 and taking Laplace transform, the transfer functions of system are derived as follow

\[
\begin{align*}
G_1(s) &= \frac{y_1(s) - y_2(s)}{y_g(s)} = \frac{m_1 c_t s^3 + m_1 k_t s^2}{a_4 s^4 + a_3 s^3 + a_2 s^2 + a_1 s + a_0} \\
G_2(s) &= \frac{y_1(s) - y_2(s)}{F_Q(s)} = \frac{-(m_1 + m_2)s^2 - c_t s - k_t}{a_4 s^4 + a_3 s^3 + a_2 s^2 + a_1 s + a_0} 
\end{align*}
\]  

(16)

Where,

\[
\begin{align*}
a_4 &= m_1 m_2 \\
a_3 &= c_t m_1 + k_m (m_1 + m_2) \\
a_2 &= c_t k_m + k_t m_1 + p_0 A \left( \frac{n}{y_0} \right) (m_1 + m_2) \\
a_1 &= c_t p_0 A \left( \frac{n}{y_0} \right) + k_t k_m \\
a_0 &= k_t p_0 A \left( \frac{n}{y_0} \right)
\end{align*}
\]  

So, the conditions for stability according to the Routh-Hurwitz criterion are as follow
\[ C(s)G_1(s) = -1 \]
\[ \frac{k_p s + k_i + k_d s^2}{s} \frac{(m_4 c_j s^3 + m_4 k_j s^2)}{a_4 s^4 + a_3 s^3 + a_2 s^2 + a_1 s + a_0} = -1 \]
\[ k_d > \min \left\{ \frac{-a_4}{m_4 c_j}, \frac{-a_3}{m_4 k_j} \right\} \]
\[ k_p > \min \left\{ \frac{-a_3}{m_4 c_l}, \frac{-a_2}{m_4 k_l} \right\} \]
\[ k_i > \min \left\{ \frac{-a_2}{m_4 c_l}, \frac{-a_1}{m_4 k_l} \right\} \]
\[ (18) \]

\[ C(s)G_2(s) = -1 \]
\[ \frac{k_p s + k_i + k_d s^2}{s} \frac{-(m_1 + m_2)s^2 - c_i s - k_i}{a_4 s^4 + a_3 s^3 + a_2 s^2 + a_1 s + a_0} = -1 \]
\[ k_d < \max \left\{ \frac{a_3}{(m_1 + m_2)}, \frac{a_2}{c_i}, \frac{a_1}{k_l} \right\} \]
\[ k_p < \max \left\{ \frac{a_3}{(m_1 + m_2)}, \frac{a_2}{c_i}, \frac{a_1}{k_l} \right\} \]
\[ k_i < \max \left\{ \frac{a_1}{(m_1 + m_2)}, \frac{a_0}{c_i}, 0 \right\} \]
\[ (19) \]

5. NUMERICAL SIMULATION

SIMULINK control system simulation software derives numerical simulations of the active landing gear system responses. We investigate A6 Intruder airplane with values according to Table 1 and Parameters of the Bees Algorithm are given in Table 2. Aircraft and Landing gear masses are 4832.7 kg and 145.1 kg, respectively. Lift Aerodynamic Force is 7500 N and Touchdown velocity is 78 m/s and runway disturbance is half sin-type ramp of height 10cm and time 0.4s over which the airplane travels. As derived from [18], the parameters defining the stability conditions are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    k_p &< 1.680 \\
    1.23 \times 10^{-5} &< k_i < 1.601 \\
    k_d &< 1.042
\end{align*}
\]
\[ (20) \]
5.1 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Three kinds of control methods including passive control, PID-Wang & Xing active control and PID-Bees Algorithm active control are used in the computer simulation. In the process of simulation, the comparison is taken in terms without disturbance and with disturbance of runway.

5.1.1 Case 1: Results without Runway Disturbance

The vertical displacement of the aircraft is an important parameter in designing an aircraft landing gear system. It is expected that an aircraft rapidly returns to its original equilibrium state without runway disturbance. Through numerical simulation and according to the parameters defining the stability conditions, we found that the approximate optimum set in Bees Algorithm produced the best control efficiency. Figures 6 and 7 show that there is 7% and 27% decrease of the aircraft’s displacement response, respectively, making taxiing smoother and therefore the crew/passenger comfort improved. The passive system requires approximately 3s for the aircraft to return to its static equilibrium position. This time is reduced to approximately 1s using active system with PID controller and Bees Algorithm that demonstrates a significant improvement over the performance of the passive system.
The amplitude of the spring force transmitted to the airframe and landing gear affects the structural strength and the fatigue life of them. Figures 8 and 9 show that this force is reduced using the PID-BA active system and indicate that there is 11% and 33% decrease of the transmitted force in the passive landing gear respectively, if this system is used that results in improvements to the longevity of the airframe and landing gear and comfort to passengers.

5.1.2 Case 2: Results with Runway Disturbance

The vertical displacement of the aircraft is an important parameter in designing an aircraft landing gear system. It is expected that an aircraft rapidly returns to its original equilibrium state when influenced by a runway disturbance. Through numerical simulation and according to the parameters defining the stability conditions, we found that the approximate optimum set in Bees Algorithm produced the best control efficiency. Figure 11 shows that there is a 18% decrease of the aircraft’s displacement response with optimization objective function of shock absorber stroke velocity, making taxiing smoother and therefore the crew/passenger comfort improved. The passive system requires approximately 3s for the aircraft to return to its static equilibrium position. This time is reduced to approximately 2s using active system with PID controller and Bees Algorithm that demonstrates a significant improvement over the performance of the passive system as shown in Figure 11.

Fig. 10: The vertical vibration of aircraft with $y_1$ optimization objective function

Fig. 11: The vertical vibration of aircraft with $\dot{y}_1$ optimization objective function

Fig. 12: The air spring force with $y_1$ optimization objective function

Fig. 13: The air spring force with $\dot{y}_1$ optimization objective function
The amplitude of the spring force transmitted to the airframe and landing gear affects the structural strength and the fatigue life of them. Figures 12 and 13 show that this force is reduced using the PID-BA active system and indicate that there is 7% and 27% decrease of the transmitted force in the passive landing gear respectively, if this system is used that results in improvements to the longevity of the airframe and landing gear and comfort to passengers.

6. CONCLUSION
Comparison of passive control, PID-Wang & Xing active control and PID-Bees Algorithm active control in two cases (without disturbance and with disturbance) identify the effectiveness of the third through significant reduction in the magnitude of the displacement of the center of gravity of the aircraft and the load transmitted to the airframe by the landing gear during aircraft landing. It is further demonstrated that by using an active landing gear system, a reduction in the time length of responses to return to their static equilibrium positions is achieved, thus improving the performance of the landing gear, the fatigue life of the airframe and landing system, crew and passenger comfort, the pilot’s ability to control the airplane during ground operations and a reduction of the influence of runway unevenness. This study provides a theoretical and numerical approach to initiate the design of a realizable active landing gear system. The linearized equations are used here to study the stability of a nonlinear system about static equilibrium point.
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