Non-Line-Of-Sight Environment based Localization in Wireless Sensor Networks

Divya.R

PG Scholar, Electronics and communication Engineering, Pondicherry Engineering College, Puducherry, India

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the node localization under Non-Line-of-Sight conditions in wireless sensor networks. Consider the static blind sensor and mobile anchor nodes are in random deployment. Each of the sensor nodes determines its own position by itself is called localization. Obstacles blocking between the direct paths, called as Non-Line-Of-Sight (NLOS), which causes NLOS error. The propagation of this error results in unreliable localization and significantly decreases localization accuracy. It is an important research topic because position information is a major requirement in many WSN applications. Localization algorithms are used to find the position information of nodes in wireless sensor networks (WSNs). In the proposed work, Range Map Stitching (RMS) algorithm is used. This method can provide good localization accuracy and energy efficiency by mitigating the effects of NLOS measurements.

General Terms

Range Map Stitching Algorithm, Position estimation, Distance estimation.

Keywords

RMS(Range Map Stitching), SDP(Semi-Definite Programming), NLOS(Non-Line-Of-Sight), RSS(Received Signal Strength)

1. INTRODUCTION

Node localization is the process through which each of the sensor nodes determines its own position by itself. Gang Wang [9] WLS approach is used for RSS-based localization in sensor networks. The WLS solution is used as a starting point for any local search routine to estimate the corresponding ML solution. The proposed method is easily applied to indoor localization although the exact ML formulation for indoor localization is hardly obtained. Simulations in both outdoor and indoor environments confirm the effectiveness of this method. Stefano Marono [5] a novel approach deals with the non-line-of-sight propagation that relies on features extracted from the received waveform. This technique does not require formulation of explicit statistical models for the features. Chao-Tsun Chang [3] the minimizing and balancing the location inaccuracies of all sensors can be reached. The proposed mechanism outperforms the Snake-Like and Random movements and hence obtains better results in terms of mean location error, localization efficiency and balance index. Paolo Pivato [8] the noise component of the error is inversely proportional to the square root of the product of the anchor number and the regression coefficient of the channel propagation model. The accuracy of indoor localization based on RSS measurements collected by a WSN

Gunasundari.R Associate Professor, Electronics and communication Engineering, Pondicherry Engineering College, Puducherry, India

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discussed the proposed work based on node localization under NLOS conditions used. In section 3, described in detail about RMS algorithm. In Section 4, Simulation results of Performance analysis for localization accuracy and energy efficiency are presented. Conclusions are given in Section 5.

2. PROPOSED WORK

Obstacles blocking the direct path between nodes cause some error, called as Non-Line-Of-Sight (NLOS) errors, which positive biases the location estimates and affects the localization accuracy. The main objective of the project is to identify and mitigate the NLOS errors and provides good localization accuracy in the case of static blind sensor nodes and the mobile anchor nodes. A novel algorithm, called as Range Map Stitching (RMS) algorithm is proposed.

The algorithm has two steps basically: 1) Creating local maps using geometric properties; 2) Stitching the local maps iteratively. Received Signal Strength (RSS) ranging technique used to create local maps under NLOS environments. RMS algorithm is used to find the node location of the static blind sensor nodes using the mobile anchor nodes.

2.1 RSS TECHNIQUES

2.1.1 Distance Estimation

The RSS based localization techniques eliminate the need for additional hardware, and exhibit favourable properties with respect to power consumption, size and cost. In general, the RSS based localization techniques can be divided into two categories: the distance estimation and the RSS profiling based techniques.

In free space, the received signal strength at a receiver is given by the Friis equation:

$$P_r(d) = \frac{P_t G_t G_r \lambda^2}{(4\prod)^2 d^2 L}$$

Where P_t is the transmitted power, $P_r(d)$ is the received power at a distance d from the transmitter, G_t is the transmitter antenna gain, Gris the receiver antenna gain, L is a system loss factor not related to propagation and λ is the wavelength of the transmitter signal.

The gain of an antenna is related to its effective aperture, Ae, by

$$G = \frac{4\prod Ae}{\lambda^2}$$

The effective aperture Ae is determined by the physical size and the aperture efficiency of the antenna.

2.1.2 Stochastic Model of RSS

In a realistic environment, obstacles may appear in the sensing field and thus obstruct the radio connectivity between the anchor node and the sensor nodes during this occasion the sensor node identifies its location through diffraction of RSS signal on the neighbour nodes. And from the neighbour nodes the sensor nodes identifies its distance and location.

Based on a wide variety of measurements, the difference between a measured received power and its stochastic mean can be modelled as a log-normal distribution (i.e., Gaussian if expressed in decibels). Thus, the received power, in terms of dBm, at the receiver is distributed as:

$$f(p) \sim N(p; \overline{p}(d)\sigma_{dB}^2)$$

Where N denotes normal distribution

$$\overline{p}(d) = p_o - 10n \log \frac{d}{d_o}$$

Where P_o is the received power at a short reference distance, d_o .



Fig 1: Block Diagram of Localization System

3. RANGE MAP STITCHING

The map stitching is a type of localization algorithm in which the network is divided into small overlapping sub regions, each of the mobile anchor node creates a local map, and then the local maps are stitched together to form a single global map. Although there are several methods of constructing local maps, every existing algorithm uses the same method for stitching two maps, called the absolute orientation method.

The proposed method achieves good localization accuracy and energy efficiency under NLOS conditions. Range Map Stitching (RMS) is a different way of approaching the same problem.

3.1.1 Process of RMS

Step 1: Split the network into small overlapping maps. Very often each map is simply a single node and its one-hop neighbours.

Step 2: For each sub region, compute a "local map", which is essentially an embedding of the nodes in the sub region into a relative coordinate system.

Step 3: Finally, merge the sub regions using a coordinate system registration procedure.

Following steps are to form a single coordinate system:

Step 1: Let the node responsible for each local map chooses an integer coordinate system ID at random.

Step 2: Each node communicates with its neighbors; each pair performs the following steps.

Step 2a: If both have the same ID, then do nothing further.

Step 2b: If they have different IDs, then register the map of the node with the lower ID with the map of the node with the higher ID. Afterwards, both nodes keep the higher ID as their own.

Step 3: Repeat step 2 until all nodes have the same ID; now all nodes have a coordinate assignment in a global coordinate system.



Fig 2: Node v1, v2, and v3 are common to two patches (a) and (b). Two patches can be rigidly stitched by overlapping three common nodes as shown in (d). Node v1 is a little away from its actual position in the patch (c). Stitching two patches (a) and (c) will result in a flip error as shown in (e).

3.1.2 RSS Based RMS Algorithm

Received-signal-strength (RSS) is defined as the power measured by a power detector circuit implemented in the receiver. The RSS of RF signals can be obtained during normal signal transmission without demanding additional bandwidth. RSS measurement is relatively inexpensive and can be simply implemented in the receiver; however, it is unreliable because of its unpredictable and not well modelled (i.e., large variance) sources of error. The RSS method is based on the fact that the average power of a received signal decays as a function of the distance between transmitter and receiver. Hence, a unique relationship between signal power and range can be established.

In free space, signal power decays proportionally as d-2, where d is the distance between transmitter and receiver. In a wireless channel, shadowing and multipath are two major error sources in RSS measurement which deteriorate with the quadratic property of free space attenuation. Reflection and

4. SIMULATION RESULTS4.1 Simulation Environment

The simulation is performed with 59 sensor nodes, 5 anchor nodes and 6 obstacles. The node localization of simulation in ns2 and the node creation are shown in Fig 3. The nodes are shown in red colour is an anchor node; black colour denotes blind nodes and grey colour are obstacles. Data sink is act as a Refraction of the radio wave from objects are associated into multipath and shadowing phenomena, respectively. Due to the multi-component nature of the multipath, its effect can be constructive or destructive in RSS measurement but shadowing always causes the destructive (i.e., attenuative) effect on the signal. The shadowing effect can be modelled stochastically and some RSS techniques take advantage of the a priori stochastic models to enhance the performance of the RSS method.

Table 1. Comparison Table

	Mobile Anchor	Static Anchor	%
Localization Accuracy	120	70	41.6
Energy Efficiency	47	17	63.83

base station. Fig 3 shows the random deployment of static blind and the mobile anchor nodes with NLOS error. Nodes start the process of localizing. Each node determines its own position by itself.



Fig 3: Node Creation

4.2 Localization Error

N'

Localization Error=
$$\frac{1}{N'}\sum_{v} \frac{|crd_{actual}(v) - crd'_{cmp}|}{r}$$

Where,

is the total number of localized nodes,

 $crd_{actual}(v)$ is the actual co-ordinates

 $crd'_{cmp}(v)$ is the transformed computed coordinate of node v.

v(v)



4.3 Per Node Localization

The percentages of localized nodes are to be compared. A node is counted as localized if it belongs to the core map after completion of the stitching phase. The percentage does not depend on the stitching orders. Stitching technique achieves a higher percentage overall, but the difference is not so

significant. As long as the network is not very sparse, it is very likely that each adjacent pair of local maps has three or more common nodes. Fig 5 shows that the result of per node localization.



4.4 Mobile Anchor Vs Static Anchor nodes

Fig 6 shows that the mobile anchor nodes of route length localization are better than the static blind sensor nodes. Route

length localization of mobile anchor node is increased by 41.6%.



4.5 Energy Efficiency

Fig 7 shows that the energy efficiency for the localization. When compared to the static and the mobile anchor, mobile anchor only consumes lesser energy. So the mobile anchor

only the best of energy efficiency for localization under NLOS conditions. The energy efficiency of mobile anchor consumes 63.83% in terms of joules.





5. CONCLUSION

Node localization based RMS algorithm has been proposed. Mixture of LOS and NLOS range measurements, our method is applicable in both cases without discarding any range information. Simulation results demonstrate the effectiveness of RMS method. Many localization algorithms have been developed and used to estimate the position of blind sensors. Although a lot of algorithms are proposed, it is still difficult to find the node location accurately and efficiently in WSN since the proposed algorithm should be low complexity and to reduce the communication cost for WSN. It has been shown in the simulation results that the proposed localization scheme can achieve the good localization accuracy and energy efficiency in NLOS environments. This method can provide good estimate by mitigating the effects of NLOS measurements. RMS localization algorithm is proposed which achieves performance improvement over the existing algorithms.

6. REFERENCES

[1] H. Chen, G. Wang, Z. Wang, H. So, and H. Poor, "Nonline-of-sight node localization based on semi-definite programming in wireless sensor networks," IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 108–116, January 2012.

- [2] Oh-Heum Kwon, and Ha-Joo Song, "Localization through map stitching in wireless sensor network," IEEE Transactions on Parallel and distributed systems, vol. 19, no. 1, January 2008.
- [3] Chao-Tsun Chang, Chih-Yung Chang, and Chih-Yu Lin, "Anchor-Guiding Mechanism for Beacon-Assisted Localization in Wireless Sensor Networks" IEEE Sensor journal., Vol.12, No.5 May 2012.
- [4] Oh-Heum Kwon, Ha-Joo Song and Sangjoon Park, "Anchor-Free Localization through Flip-Error-Resistant Map Stitching in Wireless Sensor Network," IEEE Transactions on Parallel and distributed systems., vol. 21, no. 11, November 2010.
- [5] Stefano Marono, Wesley M. Gifford, and Henk Wymeersch, "NLOS Identification and Mitigation for Localization Based on UWB Experimental Data," IEEE

Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 28, no. 7, September 2010.

- [6] Sayyed Majid Mazinani and Fatemeh Farnia, "Localization in Wireless Sensor Network Using a Mobile Anchor in Obstacle Environment" International Journal of Computer and Communication Engineering, Vol. 2, No. 4, July 2013
- [7] HuiSuo, Jiafu Wan, Lian Huang and Caifeng Zou, "Issues and challenges of wireless sensor network localization in emerging applications," International Conference on Computer Science and Electronics Engineering, 2012.
- [8] Paolo Pivato, Luigi Palopoli, and Dario Petri, "Accuracy of RSS-Based Centroid Localization Algorithms in an

Indoor Environment," IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement, vol. 60, no. 10, October 2011.

- [9] Gang Wang and Kehu Yang, "A New Approach to Sensor Node Localization using RSS Measurements in Wireless Sensor Networks," IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 10, no. 5, May 2011.
- [10] Usman A. Khan, SoummyaKar, Jose M. F. Moura, "Distributed Sensor Localization in Random Environments Using Minimal Number of Anchor Nodes," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 57, no. 5, May 2009.