Evaluation of Different Hybrid Energy Potential in AL-Arish
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ABSTRACT

This paper presents the potential impact of various energy generation utilizing wind turbines, photovoltaic cell, fuel cell and diesel generation for AL-Arish, Sinai, Egypt. In addition to investigating the feasibility for each combination where they are simulated using Hybrid Optimization Modeling for Energy Renewable (HOMER) software to find the optimum size for each unit and its corresponding economics.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Using fossil fuels like petroleum and coal as energy resources produce a bad effect on the environment in emission of harmful gases specially carbon dioxide as well as their high prices and these resources started depleting in the oil-producing countries [1 \to 3].

Renewable resources as wind and solar energy are clean, freely-available and permanent, although they are unpredictable. The combination of renewable resources is widely used as an alternative for fossil fuel resources, especially in remote sites where it's becoming more promising. Usually the hybrid combination consists of two or more resources, controller, converters and a storage system [4].

2. HYBRID SYSTEM COMPONENTS

The hybrid system after the prefeasibility study consists of the following components:

1) BWC Excel-R wind turbine.
2) CHSM 6610P-250 photovoltaic panel.
3) Trojan L16P Battery.
4) Converter.
5) Fuel Cell.
6) Diesel generator.
7) AL-Arish Load.

2.1 Wind Turbine:

In this simulation, Bergey Wind Power’s BWC Excel-R model is considered. It has a rated capacity of 7.5 kW and provides 48 V DC as an output. Its initial cost is $28500 and its replacement at $24500 [5]. Annual operation and maintenance cost is $200. Its lifetime is estimated at 20 years, a number from 1 unit to 10 is considered.

2.2 Photovoltaic module:

CHSM 6610P-250 photovoltaic panel is considered in the scheme, with initial and replacement cost $ 265 with rated power 250 watt and rated voltage 30 V [6] sizes to be considered are (0.25 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.50 5 5.5 6 6.5 10 20 30 40 50 60 100) watts.

2.3 Battery

Trojan L16P Battery models (6 V, 360Ah, 1.075 kWh), Cost of one battery is $275 and maintenance cost $3 [7], a number of units considered are (10 20 50 100 150 200 250 300).

2.4 Converter

For a 1 kW converter the installation and maintenance costs are taken as $1000 and $10, number of units to be considered (1 2 3 4 5 6 10 20 30 50 100 150 200 250 300).

2.5 Fuel Cell

A fuel cell is an energy conversion device, which converts the chemical energy of a fuel and oxidant, often hydrogen and oxygen, to electrical energy. Fuel cells are similar to batteries, however, unlike battery a fuel cell must be continuously provided with fuel, with initial and replacement cost $3000 and $2700 respectively, for 1KW size and operation and annual maintenance cost $0.02 [8] sizes to be considered are (1 2 3 4 5 6 10 15 20) KW.

2.6 Diesel generator.

The diesel generator sizes to be considered in simulation vary from 3 to 7 kW. Their initial costs and replacement cost are respectively (2990, 2400S), (3978, 3200S), (4984, 4000S), (5981, 4800S) and (6978, 5600S) and (6978, 5600S). Their operation and maintenance are of 0.05$/h [9].
2.7. AL-Arish Load:
The annual peak load is 11 KW with energy consumption of 85 kWh/day and the daily profile of the load is shown in Fig. 1.

3. WIND AND SOLAR RESOURCES:
The annual average wind speed and the annual average insolation level at AL-Arish is 5.29 m/s and 5.71 kWh/m²/day, respectively. The monthly wind speed variation, the monthly clearness index and the daily radiation are shown in Fig. (2, 3)[10].

4. SIMULATION OF HYBRID SYSTEMS WITH HOMER SOFTWARE:
HOMER software simulates the operation of the proposed system along the year by making an energy balance between the generation and the load to determine the feasible system architecture which meet the load demand under the site condition beside specifying the cost-effective combination based on the total net present cost [TNPC] which is the summation of all the costs and revenue all over the project life time which is assumed 25 years [11].

Where the cost of each element is given by:

\[ C_i = N_i \times (CCosti + RCosti \times Ki + OMCosti) \]

Where \( N_i \) is the number/size of the system component, \( CCosti \) is the capital cost, \( RCosti \) is the replacement cost, \( Ki \) is the number of replacement, and \( OMCosti \) is operation and maintenance cost through the system operation.

Number of combinations are to be considered as follows:
1. Wind turbine, Batteries and Diesel generation.
2. PV panel, Batteries and Diesel generation.
3. Wind turbine, Batteries and PV panels.
4. Wind turbine, Batteries, PV panels and Diesel generation.
5. Wind turbine and Fuel cell
6. PV panels and Fuel cell
7. Wind turbine, PV panels and Fuel cell.

Then simulated using HOMER software to determine the most optimum combination for AL-Arish load.

4.1. Wind and Diesel generation
The Fig.4 shows Wind and Diesel System Design Using HOMER.

The simulation results present the optimum combination: 1 wind turbine, 4KW generator, 10 batteries and 4KW converter as shown in Table. (1), with initial cost: 33,228$, operating cost: 7,208$/year and cost of energy: 0.335$/kWh, Fig (5) shows the energy yield of the optimum solution.

<p>| Table.1: Wind and Diesel Optimization Result. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Size (kW)</th>
<th>Battery (kWh)</th>
<th>Generator (kW)</th>
<th>Cost (k$)</th>
<th>Peak (kW)</th>
<th>Load (kW)</th>
<th>TNPC (k$)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind Turbine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batteries</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel Generator</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Cell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV Panel</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.5: Wind and Diesel Electric Production
4.2. PV and Diesel generation

The Fig. 6 shows PV and Diesel System Design Using HOMER.

![Fig.6: PV and Diesel System.](image)

The simulation results present the optimum combination: 20KW PV, 2KW generator, 50 battery unit and 6KW converter as shown in Table. (2) and Fig. (7) shows the energy yield of the optimum solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment to consider</th>
<th>[Add/Remove]</th>
<th>Primary Load 1 95 kW/h/d 11 kW peak</th>
<th>Generator 1</th>
<th>Diesel Converter</th>
<th>LT/SIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AC</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table.2: Wind and PV Optimization Result

![Fig.7: Wind and PV Electric Production.](image)

4.3. Wind and PV.

The Fig. 8 shows Wind and PV System Design Using HOMER.

![Fig.8: Wind and PV System.](image)

The simulation results present the optimum combination: 20KW PV, 2KW generator, 50 battery unit and 6KW converter as shown in Table. (3), and Fig. (9) shows the energy yield of the optimum solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PV kW</th>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Installed Capacity (kW)</th>
<th>Initial Capital Cost ($/kW)</th>
<th>Operating Cost ($/kWh)</th>
<th>Total NPC</th>
<th>COE ($/kWh)</th>
<th>Pen. Cost ($/kW)</th>
<th>Cap. Cost ($/kW)</th>
<th>Life (yrs)</th>
<th>Load Factor</th>
<th>Storage Cost ($/kWh)</th>
<th>Capacity (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table.3: Wind and PV Optimization Result

![Fig.9: Wind and PV Electric Production.](image)

4.4. Wind, PV and Diesel

The Fig. 10 shows Wind, PV and System Design Using HOMER.

![Fig.10: Wind, PV and Diesel System.](image)

The simulation results present the optimum combination: 11 KW PV, 1 wind turbine, 2KW generator, 30 battery unit and 6 KW converter as shown in Table. (4) and Fig. (11) shows the energy yield of the optimum solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PV kW</th>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Installed Capacity (kW)</th>
<th>Initial Capital Cost ($/kW)</th>
<th>Operating Cost ($/kWh)</th>
<th>Total NPC</th>
<th>COE ($/kWh)</th>
<th>Pen. Cost ($/kW)</th>
<th>Cap. Cost ($/kW)</th>
<th>Life (yrs)</th>
<th>Load Factor</th>
<th>Storage Cost ($/kWh)</th>
<th>Capacity (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table.4: Wind, PV and Diesel Optimization Result

![Fig.11: Wind, PV and Diesel Electric Production.](image)
4.5. Wind Turbine and Fuel Cell.
The Fig.12 shows Wind and Fuel System Design Using HOMER.

The simulation results present the optimum combination: 6 BWC wind turbine, 6 kW Fuel Cell, 10 kW converter, 10 KW Electrolyzer and 20 kg hydrogen tank as shown in table (5) and Fig. (13) shows the energy yield of the optimum solution.

Fig.12: Wind and Fuel Cell System

Table.5: Wind and Fuel Cell Optimization Result

Fig.13: Wind and Fuel Cell Electric Production

4.6. PV panels and Fuel cell.
The Fig.14 shows PV and Fuel System Design Using HOMER.

There is no optimum solution found

Fig.14: PV and Fuel Cell System

4.7. Wind turbine, PV panels and Fuel cell.
The Fig.15 shows Wind, PV and Fuel System Design Using HOMER.

The simulation results present the optimum combination: 40 KW PV, 2 BWC wind turbine, 6 KW Fuel cell, 10 KW Converter (Inverter & Rectifier), 10 KW Electrolyzer and 10 Kg hydrogen tank as shown in table (6) and Fig. (16) Shows the energy yield of the optimum solution.

Table.6: Wind, PV and Fuel Cell System Optimization Result

Fig.16: Wind, PV and Fuel Cell Electric Production

5. LOAD SHEDDING

Load Shedding is a preferred method of saving energy for the grid. During certain times of the day, or during emergencies, large consumers of electricity will reduce their electricity usage, thus reducing the load on the grid.

This can save the cost of having extra generators and reduce the cost of electricity for consumers.

For AL-Arish load a percentage of 20% of the peak load is reduced for the peak months (April, August) on the peak hour (18:00 → 19:00)

6. CONCLUSIONS

The previous cases are summarized in table (7) showing the system architecture and economic value.

The results show that the combination including diesel generators (as: wind &diesel, PV & diesel and wind & PV& diesel) gives less net present cost than its corresponding combination with fuel cell (as: wind &fuel cell, PV & fuel cell and wind & PV & fuel cell)

PV and Fuel cell doesn’t present any optimal solution.

The most optimum combination is wind, PV and diesel with lowest net present cost and energy cost so load shedding was
applied at the peak load to reduce twenty percent, which results in reducing the net present cost from $112014 to $107880 and reduce the energy cost from $/KW 0.284 to $/KW 0.275, the system architecture changed from: 11 KW PV, 1 wind turbine, 2 KW diesel generator and 30 battery unit to 12 KW PV, 1 wind turbine, 3 KW diesel generator and 28 battery unit.

Table 7: Comparison between Different Hybrid Combinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Architecture</th>
<th>Net Present Cost($)</th>
<th>Cost of energy ($/kwh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wind and Diesel</td>
<td>1BWC WT</td>
<td>131371</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4KW generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10L16P battery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 KW converter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV and Diesel</td>
<td>20KW PV</td>
<td>117664</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2KW generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 L16P battery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 KW converter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV and Wind</td>
<td>20KW PV</td>
<td>115701</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1BWC WT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50L16P battery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 KW converter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV, Wind and Diesel</td>
<td>11KW PV</td>
<td>112014</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1BWC WT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2KW generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 L16P battery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind and Fuel Cell</td>
<td>6 BWC WT</td>
<td>245000</td>
<td>0.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 KW FC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 KW Converter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 KW Electrolyzer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 Kg Hydrogen Tank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV and Fuel Cell</td>
<td>No Optimal Solution</td>
<td></td>
<td>No Optimal Solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind, PV and Fuel Cell</td>
<td>2 BWC WT</td>
<td>160400</td>
<td>0.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 KW PV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 KW FC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 KW Converter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 KW Electrolyzer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 Kg Hydrogen Tank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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