‘RGB’ Color Image Quantization using Pollination based Optimization
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ABSTRACT
Color Image Quantization plays an important role for image analysis and visualization. In this paper, RGB color image quantization using pollination based optimization is implemented. The pollination based optimization is applied to RGB color model for image quantization. The Euclidean Distance metric is used for color difference between pixels. Color elimination and reproduction is done by evaluating the Euclidean Distance. Threshold value is taken as the fitness function to calculate the popular and unpopular colors. Color difference calculated using Euclidean Distance and correlate better with visual assessment than color differences calculated using other distance metrics.

In order to evaluate the performance of proposed algorithm, MSE (Mean Square Error), Euclidean Distance, Correlation coefficient, PSNR, Time Taken (in seconds) is used. Experimental results shows that MSE values are significantly reduced and we achieve better PSNR and Correlation coefficient values.
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1. INTRODUCTION
1.1 What is Quantization?
Color image quantization is the process of reducing the number of colors presented in a digital color image so that the new image visually looks same as original image.

Color image quantization is divided into four phases:
(1) Sampling the original image for color statistics;
(2) Choosing a color map based on the color statistics;
(3) Mapping original colors to their nearest neighbors in the color map; and
(4) Quantizing and rendering the original image.

Color image quantization consists of two major steps:

- Creating a color map (or palette) where a small set of colors (typically 8-256 [2]) is chosen from the possible combinations of red, green and blue (RGB).
- Mapping each color pixel in the color image to one of the colors in the color map.

The main objective of color image quantization is to map the set of colors in the original color image to a much smaller set of colors in the quantized image [3].

1.2 Pollination Based Optimization
Pollination is a process of transfer of pollen from male parts of flower called anther to the female part called stigma of a flower. Some flowers will develop seeds as a result of self-pollination, when pollen and pistil are from the same plant, often (but not always) from the same flower. Other plants require cross-pollination; pollen and pistil must be from different plants. Plants benefit from pollinators because the movement of pollen allows them to reproduce by setting seeds. However, pollinators don’t know or care that the plant benefits. They pollinate to get nectar and/or pollen from flowers to meet their energy requirements and to produce offspring.

The floral display, fragrance and nectar lure pollinators and leads to pollination. Some species of plants optimize their nectar, display and fragrance producing resources. If pollination process is proceeding smoothly the plants spend average resources. If pollination process is above normal the plants reduce expenditure on resources for producing nectar, floral display and fragrance in the flowers. If the pollination success goes below normal, plants increase the resource expenditure such that more floral display, fragrance and nectar to attract pollinator. As more pollinators and their number of visits increase the pollination success rate increases [6]. The pollination in plants is 6 week programme.

The pollination model used was suggested by Thakar et al. [4] and later modified by Prajakta V. Belsare et. al.[5]. The model suggests that the reproductive success for every plant can be modeled by the following expression:

\[ R = \left( \frac{A \times D}{A + A \times D} \right) + \left( \frac{N}{N + N^P} \right) - C(N + D) \ldots (1) \]

where
\[ a = \text{variable denoting average display at a given average nectar content.} \ (a = \text{optimum D = 1.2}) \]
\[ A = \text{Average Investment in Nectar Content of a species} \ (A = \text{optimum N = 0.9, its range is 0.8 - 1.4}) \]
\[ D = \text{individual investment in display} \ (0 - 1.2 \text{ typical 1.2}) \]
\[ N = \text{individual investment in nectar} \ (0.8 - 1.5 \text{ typical value 2)} \]
\[ P = \text{parameter related to pollinators learning efficiency} \ P = m \times a + c \text{ here m and c are constants.} \ (\text{Range 0.1 - 25 typical value 2}) \]
\[ C = \text{proportionality constant relating investment to reproductive cost} \]

The model suggested by Thakar et al. [4] and later modified by Kumar, S., et al. [6] is applied by modifying as in our problem.

1.3 RGB Color Space
RGB is called the additive model in which each color appear as the combination of primary colors; red, green and blue. To
generate any color within the RGB Color cube, all three RGB components need to be of equal pixel depth and display resolution. For any modification of the image requires modification of all three planes. Black color is the resultant of zero intensity for each component and full intensity for each component gives white. When the intensities for all the components are the same, the result is a shade of gray, darker or lighter depending on the intensity. When the intensities are different, the result is a colored hue, more or less saturated depending on the difference of the strongest and weakest of the intensities of the primary colors employed. In the RGB model, an image consists of three independent image planes, one for each of the primary colors: red, green and blue. Specifying particular colors is by specifying the amount of each of the primary components present. Geometry of the RGB color model for specifying colors can be shown using a Cartesian coordinate system. The gray scale spectrum, i.e. those colors made from equal amounts of each primary, lies on the line joining the black and white vertices.

All the pixels in the image are compared with every other pixel in the image to find the most similar color to be eliminated. Euclidean distance is used to find out the distance between two pixels. Based on the value of Euclidean distance between similar colors elimination of one of the colors is done. PBO has been implemented and validated on by applying the algorithm on images.

2.2 Algorithm Level Design
The RGB pixels to be calculated are placed in an array and unique rows that have the same color pixels are calculated. Each dataset item has different color values and depending upon different values chooses a threshold value. This value selects the popular colors. After this, pollination based algorithm is applied on the test images. By using this we calculate reproductive success R. Then for each pixel calculate the error by subtracting reproductive success from weekly goal. If the error lies between the desired ranges then calculate the popular colors and evaluate the color map of selected popular colors else we have to approximate the unpopular colors to the nearest color map.

The overall procedure of the proposed algorithm can be described as follows:

Step 1: Read an image from chosen images.
Step 2: Separate the Red, Green and Blue components of the image in order to find out the intensity values of each component from which a particular color originates.
Step 3: For all pixel locations. Place the RGB pixel values in an array and calculate unique rows as they result in unique colors available in the image.
Step 4: Choose a threshold value in order to limit the choice of popular and unpopular colors.
Step 5: Apply pollination based optimization

\[ \text{Initialize the parameters as:} \]
\[ P = \text{number of plants (i.e. number of pixels)} \]
\[ W = \text{number of weeks}=6 \]
\[ S = \text{number of seasons (number of iterations (10))} \]
\[ A = \text{average nectar content} \]
\[ D = \text{individual displacement} \]
\[ N = \text{individual investment in nectar} \]
\[ \alpha = \text{variable denoting average display at a given average nectar content} \]
\[ P = \text{parameter related to pollinators learning efficiency} \]
\[ \alpha = m \times \alpha + c \text{ here m and c are constants. (Range 0.1 - 25 typical value 2)} \]
\[ \text{Evaluate R using equation (1), where R is reproductive success} \]
\[ \text{Pollination weekly goal=[0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 0.90, 1.00]} \]
\[ \text{For each pixel do} \]
\[ \text{Evaluate error, E= goal-R} \]
\[ \text{If error}>-0.25 \& \& <0.25 \]
\[ \text{Calculate popular colors} \]
\[ \text{End if} \]
Step 6: Evaluate color map of selected popular colors in Step (5)
Step 7: Approximate the unpopular colors to nearest value available in color map which has been developed from threshold performance meter.
Step 8: Store quantized image in a folder for future references.
3. RESULTS
RGB color image quantization using Pollination Based Optimization has been validated by applying the algorithm on images. The following figures (Fig 1 to 4) show original input image with original number of colors and resulting image with quantized colors. Fig 5 and Fig 6 show the histograms obtained for red, green and blue components.

Fig 1: Original Image “Fish.jpg” with 11883 Colors on top and Quantized Image “Fish.jpg” with 8574 Colors on bottom

Fig 2: Original Image “Baby.jpg” with 118199 Colors on top and Quantized Image “Baby.jpg” with 105344 Colors on bottom

Fig 3: Original Image “Flower.jpg” with 72346 Colors on top and Quantized Image “Flower.jpg” with 56817 Colors on bottom

Fig 4: Original Image “Beetel.jpg” with 82901 Colors on top and Quantized Image “Beetel.jpg” with 74147 Colors on bottom

Fig 5: Show the histogram for R, G, B components for Fish.jpg
Fig 6: Show the histogram for, G, B components for Baby.jpg

From the above results, it can be found that uniformity is there in the output image. There is no degradation in the image quality. The processed image is visually similar to the input image. The performance of proposed algorithm is evaluated based on MSE, PSNR, and Euclidean distance for images, Normalized Mean Square Error and Correlation coefficient.

4. CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

In this paper, pollination based optimization technique has been successfully used and tested. Experimental results show the feasibility of the approach in quantization. With suitable values, the proposed algorithm was able to successfully quantize the images. The proposed algorithm works correctly upon the large size of images and quantizes them that look visually same as the original image. In order to verify results MSE is taken as measure.

Results show that the proposed algorithm reduces more color and hence gives better results in terms of PSNR, Euclidean distance, Correlation coefficient.

In the proposed algorithm each pixel has to been taken and RGB color model is used. So, further research may focus on some modification of the proposed algorithm to enhance the speed. Further research work may focus on developing some new algorithms i.e. algorithm combination of two other swarm intelligence techniques to improve results and to decrease time during global optimization. Future research may also try to apply the proposed technique to other color spaces.

Table 1. Computational Results: Total no. of colors, Colors after Quantization, Euclidean Distance, Correlation coefficient, NMSE_Red, NMSE_Green, NMSE_Blue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Taken</th>
<th>Total no. of colors</th>
<th>Colors After Quantization</th>
<th>Euclidean Distance</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>NMSE_Red</th>
<th>NMSE_Green</th>
<th>NMSE_Blue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish.jpg</td>
<td>11883</td>
<td>8574</td>
<td>336.70</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.0289</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>0.0192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby.jpg</td>
<td>118199</td>
<td>105344</td>
<td>215.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.0243</td>
<td>0.0387</td>
<td>0.0224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower.jpg</td>
<td>72346</td>
<td>56817</td>
<td>361.93</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.0312</td>
<td>0.0437</td>
<td>0.0365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beetel.jpg</td>
<td>82901</td>
<td>74147</td>
<td>246.50</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.0332</td>
<td>0.0478</td>
<td>0.0523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Results show quality of measures with Total Time taken by the system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Taken</th>
<th>Time Taken(in seconds)</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>Percentage Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish.jpg</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby.jpg</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower.jpg</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beetel.jpg</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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