Inference of a Common Fixed Point Theorem on Four Self Maps

V.Srinivas Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad, India-501 301

R.Umamaheshwar Rao Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad, India-501 301

ABSTRACT:

The aim of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem which generalizes the result of Brian Fisher [1] and etal. by weaker conditions. The conditions of continuity, compatibility and completeness of a metric space are replaced by weaker conditions such as weakly compatible and the associated sequence.

KEYWORDS:

Fixed point, self maps, compatible maps, weakly compatible mappings, associated sequence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Two self maps S and T are said to be commutative if ST = TS. The concept of the commutativity has been generalized in several ways. For this Gerald Jungck [2] initiated the concept of compatibility.

1.1 Compatible Mappings:

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X,d) are said to be compatible mappings if $\displaystyle \lim_{n\to\infty} d(STx_n,TSx_n) = 0, \mbox{whenever} < x_n >$

is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t\in X$.

It can be easily verified that when the two mappings are commuting then they are compatible but not conversely. In 1998, Jungek and Rhoades [4] introduced the notion of

In 1998, Jungck and Rhoades [4] introduced the notion of weakly compatible and showed that compatible maps are weakly compatible but not conversely.

1.2. Weakly Compatible:

A pair of maps A and S is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points.

Brian Fisher and others [1] proved the following common Fixed Point theorem for four self maps of a complete metric space

Theorem 1.3 Suppose A, B, S and T are four self maps of metric space(X,d)such that

- 1.3.1 (X,d) is a complete metric space
- 1.3.2 $A(x)\subseteq T(x)$, $B(x)\subseteq S(x)$
- 1.3.3 The pairs (A,S) and,(B,T) are compatible
- 1.3.4 $d(Ax,By)^2 < c_1 \max\{d(Sx,Ax)^2,d(Ty,By)^2,d(Sx,Ty)^2\}$

 $+c_2 \max\{d(Sx,Ax)d(Sx,By),d(Ax,Ty)d(By,Ty)\}\\+c_3 \left\{d(Sx,By)d(Ty,Ax)\right\}$

Where $c_1,c_2,c_3 \ge 0$, $c_1+2c_2<1$ and $c_1+c_3>1$, then A,B,S and T have a unique common fixed point $z \in X$.

1.4 Associated Sequence:

Suppose A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the condition (1.3.2), Then for any $x_0 \in X$, $Ax_0 \in A(X)$ and hence, $Ax_0 \in T(X)$ so that there is a $x_1 \in X$ with $Ax_0 = Tx_1$. Now $Bx_1 \in B(X)$ and hence there is $x_2 \in X$ with $Bx_1 = Sx_2$. Repeating this process to each $x_0 \in X$, we get a sequence $< x_n >$ in X such that $Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ and $Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$ for $n \ge 0$. We shall call this sequence as an associated sequence of x_0 relative to the four self maps A, B, S and T.

Now we prove a lemma which plays an important role in proving our theorem.

1.5 Lemma: Suppose A, B, S and T are four self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the conditions (1.3.2) and (1.3.4) of Theorem(1.3) and Further if (1.3.1) (X, d) is a complete metric space then for any $x_0 \in X$ and for any of its associated sequence $< x_n >$ relative to Four self maps, the sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \ldots, Ax_{2n_1}, Bx_{2n+1}, \ldots,$ converges to some point $z \in X$.

Proof: For simplicity let us take $d_n=d$ (y_n,y_{n+1}) for $n=0,1,2,\ldots$

We have

$$\begin{split} &d^2_{2n+1} = [d(y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2})]^2 = [d(Ax_{2n},Bx_{2n+1})]^2 \\ &\leq c_1 max \{ [d(Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n})]^2, d(Tx_{2n+1},Bx_{2n+1})]^2, [d(Sx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1})] \} \\ &\quad + c_2 max \{ d(Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}) d(Sx_{2n},Bx_{2n+1}), \ d(Ax_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}) \} \\ &\quad d(Bx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}) \} + c_3 \{ d(Sx_{2n},Bx_{2n+1}), \ d(Tx_{2n+1},Ax_{2n}) \} \\ &\leq c_1 \{ d^2_{2n}, d^2_{2n+1} \} + c_2 \{ d_{2n} \ d(y_{2n},y_{2n-2}) \} \\ &\leq c_1 \ max \{ \ d^2_{2n}, d^2_{2n+1} \} + c_2 [d^2_{2n} + d_{2n} d_{2n-1}] \\ &\leq c_1 \ max \{ \ d^2_{2n}, d^2_{2n+1} \} + c_2 [\frac{3}{2} \ d^2_{2n} + \frac{1}{2} \ d^2_{2n-1} \] \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{If } d_{2n+1} > \!\! d_{2n} \text{, inequality (1.5.1) implies } d^2_{2n+1} \leq \!\! \frac{2c2}{2-2\,c1-c2} d^2_{2n} \text{ a} \\ \text{contradiction, since} & \frac{3\,c2}{2-2\,c1-c2} < 1. & \text{Thus } d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n} \text{ and} \\ \end{array}$

Volume 71-No.10, May 2013

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{inequality } (1.5.1) & \text{implies } & \text{that } & d_{2n+1} = d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-2}) & \leq & h \\ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) = h^2 \; d_{2n} \, Where & h^2 = \frac{2c1 + 3c2}{2 - c2} < 1. \end{array}$

Similarly,

$$\begin{array}{lll} d_{2n}^{\ 2}\!\!=\!\![d(y_{2n}\!,\!y_{2n+1}]^2\!\!=\!\![d(Ax_{2n}\!,\!Bx_{2n-1})]^2 & \leq & c_1max\{d^2_{2n-1}\!,\!d^2_{2n}\}\!+\!c_2(\!\frac{3}{2}\,d^2_{2n-1}\!+\!\frac{1}{2}\,d^2_{2n}) & \text{and it follows above} \\ & & that & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$$

 $d_{2n}=d(y_{2n},y_{2n+1}) \le h d (y_{2n-1},y_{2n}) = d_{2n-1}$

Consequently, $d(y_{n+1},y_n) \le h \ d(y_n,y_{n-1})$, For n=1,2, 3.....since h<1, this implies that $\{y_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in X.

Hence the Lemma.

The converse of the lemma is not true.

That is, suppose A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the conditions (1.3.2) and (1.3.4), even for each associated sequence $< x_n >$ of x_0 , the associated sequence converges, the metric space (X,d) need not be complete. For this we provide an example.

Example:

Let X=(-1,1) with d(x,y)=|x-y|

$$Ax = Bx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } -1 < x < \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } \frac{1}{6} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Sx = Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } -1 < x < \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{3} - x & \text{if } \frac{1}{6} \le x < 1 \end{cases}$$

Then
$$A(X)=B(X)=$$
 $\left\{\frac{1}{5},\frac{1}{6}\right\}$ while $S(X)=T(X)=$

$$\left\{\frac{1}{5} \cup \left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{-2}{3}\right]\right\} \text{ so that } A(X) \subset T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subset S(X). \text{Also}$$

the rational inequality holds with c_1 , c_2 , $c_3 \ge 0$, $c_1 + 2c_2 < 1$ and $c_1 + c_3 > 1$.But (X,d) is not a complete metric space. It is easy to prove that the associated sequence Ax_0 ,

 $Bx_{1},Ax_{2},Bx_{3},..,Ax_{2n},Bx_{2n+1}. \ converges \ to \ \frac{1}{5} \ if \ -1 < x < \frac{1}{6};$

and converges to $\frac{1}{6}$ if $\frac{1}{6} \le x < 1$.

II MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2: Suppose A, B, S and T are four self maps of metric space(X,d)such that

- 2.1 $A(x) \subset T(x), B(x) \subset S(x),$
- 2.2 The pairs (A,S) and (B,T) are weakly compatible.
- $$\begin{split} 2.3 \quad & d(Ax,By)^2 \leq c_1 \; max \{ d(Sx,Ax)^2, d(Ty,By)^2, d(Sx,Ty)^2 \} \\ & + c_2 \; max \; \{ d(Sx,Ax) \; d(Sx,By), \; d(Ax,Ty) d(By,Ty) \} \\ & + c_3 \; \; d(Sx,By) d(Ty,Ax) \} \end{split}$$

where $c_1, c_2, c_3, \ge 0$, $c_1+2c_2<1$ and $c_1+c_3>1$

Further if

2.4 The sequence Ax_0 , Bx_1 , Ax_2 , Bx_3 Ax_2n , Bx_{2n+1} ... converges to $z \in X$ then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point $z \in X$.

Proof: From condition (2.4), Ax_{2n} , Tx_{2n+1} , Bx_{2n+1} , Sx_{2n} converges to z as $n \to \infty$.

Also Since $A(x) \subseteq T(x) \exists u \in x \text{ such that } z=Tu$ To prove Bu=z, consider

$$\begin{split} &d(Ax_{2n},Bu)^2 < c_1 \ max \{d(Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n})^2,d(Tu,Bu)^2,d(Sx_{2n},Tu)^2\} \\ &+ c_2 \ max \ \{d(Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n}) \ d(Sx_{2n},Bu), \ d(Ax_{2n},Tu)d(Bu,Tu)\} \\ &+ c_3 \ \{d(Sx_{2n},Bu)d(Tu,Ax_{2n})\} \end{split}$$

Letting n tends to ∞ , we get
$$\begin{split} d[(z.Bu)]^2 &\leq c_1 \max\{[d(z,z)^2, d(z,Bu)^2, d(z,z)^2]\} \\ &+ c_2 \max\{d(z,z) \ d(z,Bu), \ d(z,z) d(Bu,z)\} \\ &+ c_3 \left\{d(z,Bu) d(z,z)\right\} \\ &= c_1 \ d(z,Bu)^2 \end{split}$$

 $d(z,Bu)^2[1\text{-}\ c_1]{\le}\ 0.$ Since c_1 cannot be greater than 1, $d(z,Bu){=}0,$ this gives $Bu{=}z.$

Since the pair (B,T) is weakly compatible and z=Bu=Tu, d(BBu,TTu)=0 or Bz=Tz.

To prove Bz=z put x=x_{2n}, y=z in (2.3) $d(Ax_{2n},Bz)^2 < c_1 \max\{d(Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n})^2,d(Tz,Bz)^2,d(Sx_{2n},Tz)^2\} \\ +c_2 \max\{d(Sx_{2n},Ax_{2n})\ d(Sx_{2n},Bz),\ d(Ax_{2n},Tz)d(Bz,Tz)\} \\ +c_3 \{d(Sx_{2n},Bz)d(Tz,Ax_{2n})\} \\ Letting n tends to <math>\infty$, using Bz=Tz. we get

$$\begin{split} d[(z.Bz)]^2 &\leq c_1 \max\{[d(z,z)^2,d(Bz,Bz)^2,d(z,Bz)^2]\}\\ &+ c_2 \max\{d(z,z)\ d(z,Bz),\ d(z,Bz)d(Bz,Bz)\}\\ &+ c_3 \left\{d(z,Bz)d(Bz,z)\right\}\\ d[(z.Bz)]^2 &\leq c_1\ d(z,Bz)^2 + c_3\ d(z,Bz)^2\\ d(z,Bz)^2[1-(c_1+c_3)] &\leq 0, \text{ since } c_1+c_3 \text{ cannot be greater than } 1, \end{split}$$

d(z,Bz)=0, this gives Bz=z. Therefore z=Bz=Tz.

Also Since $B(x)\subseteq S(x) \exists v \in x \text{ such that } z=Sv$ To prove Av=z, put x=v, y=z in (2.3) we get

$$\begin{split} d(Av, &Bz)^2 \leq &c_1 \; max\{[d(Sv, Av)^2, d(Tz, Bz)^2, d(Sv, Tz)^2]\} \\ &+ c_2 \; max\; \{d(Sv, Av), \; d(Sv, Bz), \; d(Av, Tz), d(Bz, Tz)\} \\ &+ c_3 \; \{d(Sv, Bz), d(Tz, Av)\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} d(Av, Bz)^2 \leq & c_1 \max\{ [d(z, Av)^2, d(Bz, Bz)^2, d(z, Tz)^2] \} \\ + & c_2 \max\{ d(z, Av), d(z, Bz), d(Av, Tz), d(Tz, Tz) \} \\ + & c_3 \left\{ d(z, Bz), d(Tz, Av) \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} d(Av,z)^2 \leq & c_1 \; max\{ [d(z,Av)^2,d(z,z)^2,d(z,z)^2] \} \\ + & c_2 \; max \; \{ d(z,Av), \; d(z,z), \; d(Av,z), d(z,z) \} \\ + & c_3 \; \{ d(z,z), d(z,Av) \} \end{split}$$

 $d(Av,z)^2 \leq c_1 \; d(z,Av)^2$

 $\begin{array}{ll} d(Av,z)^2[1\text{-}c_1] \leq &0. & \text{Since } c_1 \text{ cannot be greater than } 1, \\ d(Av,z)=&0, \text{ this gives } Av=&z. & \text{Hence } Av=&Sv=&z. \end{array}$

Since the pair (A,S) is weakly compatible ASv=SAv or Az=Sz.

To prove Az=z, consider

$$\begin{split} d(Az, &Bz)^2 \leq &c_1 \max\{ [d(Sz, Az)^2, d(Tz, Bz)^2, d(Sz, Tz)^2] \} \\ &+ c_2 \max \{ d(Sz, Az) \ d(Sz, Bz), \ d(Az, Tz) d(Bz, Tz) \} \\ &+ c_3 \{ d(Sz, Bz) d(Tz, Az) \} d(Az, z)^2 \} \end{split}$$

 $\leq c_1 d(Az,z)^2 + c_3 d(z,Az)^2$.

This gives

 $d(Az,z)^2 \le (c_1+c_3) d(z,Bz)^2$

 $d(Az,z)^2[1-(c_1+c_3)]\leq 0$, since $c_1+c_3<1$, we get $d(Az,z)^2=0$ or z=Az. Therefore S z=Az=z. Since z=Az=Bz=Sz=Tz, z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

The uniqueness of common fixed point can be easily proved.

Now, we discuss our earlier example in the following two remarks to justify our result.

Remark-1: The pairs (A,S) and (B,T) are weakly compatible as they commute at coincident points $\frac{1}{5}$ and $\frac{1}{6}$

More over $\frac{1}{6}$ is the unique common fixed point of P,Q,S and

Remark-2: In view of the earlier example Theorem2 is the generalization of theorem 1 by virtue of weaker conditions such as weakly compatible mappings in place of compatible mappings; Associated sequence in place of completeness of metric space(X,d) and the continuity condition is being dropped.

11. REFERENCES

- [1] B.Fisher and etal, "Common Fixed Point Theorems for Compatible Mappings", Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci, 3(1996), 451-456.
- [2] G. Jungck, "Compatible Mappings and Common Fixed Points", Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9(1986), 771-779.
- [3] G.Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed Point for set valued Functions without Continuity, Indian.J.Pure.Appl.Math, 3(1998), 227-238.
- [4] G. Jungek., B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure .Appl. Math, 3 (1998), 227-238.
- [5] V.Srinivas, R.Umamaheshwar Rao, A Fixed point Theorem for Weekly Compatible Mappings, Journal of Mathematical Sciences & Engineering Applications, 1(2007),41-48.
- [6] V.Srinivas, R.Umamaheshwar Rao, Common Fixed Point Theorem for Four Self Maps, International Journal of Mathematics Research, 2 (2011), 113-118.