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ABSTRACT
Artificial intelligence is the brainpower of machines. Due to the propagation of information knowledge and information systems increasingly have the ability to gather vast quantity of data in the various number of DB [3, 5]. A basic crisis in Artificial Intelligence (AI) is that no one be familiar with what intelligence is. The crisis is mainly sharp when a user want to think artificial systems which are appreciably diverse to humans [13]. In this paper, I approach the different ways such as a user take a number of familiar definitions of machine intelligence that have been specified by proficient, and extract their important aspects. In this paper, a study of the proposed model of the Machine Intelligence (MI) used for the knowledge extraction and Knowledge Management (KM) is presented. The system recognizes the regular attainment of knowledge. The research area also highlights how to systematize the extracted knowledge, selecting a method linked to the field of interest. It improves the reasoning aptitudes of expert systems with the facility of simplify and the management of knowledge in incomplete cases.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Machines can have sensors, information processing skills, actuators, physical structures, and subsist in environments. This creates the model of Machine Intelligence mostly complex to obtain a handle on [9, 17]. In various cases, a machine may have possessions that are comparable to human intelligence, and it may be sensible to explain the machine as well as being intelligent [12]. If the knowledge extracted from a huge amount of databases symbolizes summary knowledge slightly than real data, KE can also be well thought-out a type of learning knowledge from content.

Knowledge Management is the observation of accumulating actionable assessment to information by confining implicit knowledge and translating it to explicit knowledge; via cleaning, accumulating, recovering and distributing explicit knowledge and by means of generating and testing innovative knowledge. It consists of proficiency, imminent and perceptions that a human being extends from having been absorbed in a career for an unlimited period of time. On the other side, unambiguous knowledge is knowledge that can be expressed properly using a method of communication, signs, regulations, items and can consequently be communicated to others. It consists of written actions, worldwide ethics, scientific data etc.

2. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION
Knowledge acquisition for expert systems creates a lot of troubles. The complexity of outlining an extremely all-purpose conception of intelligence is eagerly obvious. For example, remembrance and statistical calculation responsibilities were formerly observed as defining characteristics of human intelligence as well as machine intelligence. I recognize that these responsibilities are extremely inconsequential for a machine and do not analysis it is intelligence in any significant sense.

In all-purpose, when difficult machine intelligence a user look a similar crisis in that users cannot pre-suppose that a machine will have an adequate stage of language conception to be competent to realize instructions. The subsistence of an objective elevates the crisis of how the agent knows what the objective is. One opportunity would be for the objective to be known in proceed and for same knowledge to be built into the agent. The crisis with this is that it restrictions each agent to just one objective.

3. SOLUTION DESCRIPTION
A straightforward answer is to utilize fundamental rewards to show performance. Even though this approach is particularly common, one obscurity is that resolving the task, and basically learning what the mission is, become confused and thus the consequences require to be interpreted vigilantly. Other explanation is based on the Turing test and its derivatives [10]. On the other hand, Turing recognized how tricky it would be to straightforwardly describe intelligence and hence attempted to the matter by setting up his now well-known simulation game, if person judges cannot successfully differentiate between a computer and a human being throughout teletype discussion then users must terminate that the computer or laptop is intelligent.

For trouble-free environments, a person should be intelligent to recognize their configuration and utilize this to maximize reward. Though, for supplementary multifaceted environments it is tough to know how well a human being would perform. So, much of the human being brain is set up to process positive types of planned information from the person sense organs, and thus is somewhat specialized, at least evaluated to the exceptionally universal setting considered now.

4. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK
Machine Intelligence (MI) contains three crucial parts: An agent (computational steps, organizational steps), environments (constructed meanings, constructed actions) and goals (performance outcomes). Obviously, the agent and the
environment must be competent to interrelate with each other, purposely; the agent wants to be proficient to send indications to the environment and also accept indications being sent from the environment as shown in figure 1. Also, the environment must be intelligent to send and accept indications [23]. A user will accept the agent’s viewpoint on these interactions and submit the indications, sent via agent to the environment as proceedings, and vice-versa.

The observations also include a non-reward division, which a user will submit to as observations. The objective is absolutely distinct by the environment as this is what manages when rewards are produced. Therefore, in the proposed framework as defined below; to analysis an agent in any given method it is adequate to completely define the environment. The explanation is to necessitate the environmental prospect dealings to be assessable. Not only is this situation essential if the users are to have an efficient quantify of intelligence, it is not as limiting as it might first emerge. There are still an endless amount of environments with no higher bound on their maximal difficulty. Moreover, even though the actions

Figure 1: Knowledge Extraction and Knowledge Management with Machine Intelligence Model
that explain the environments are assessable, this does not indicate that the environments are deterministic. So, a method is helpful in two features. There are still an endless amount of environments with no higher bound on their maximal difficulty. Moreover, even though the actions that explain the environments are assessable, this does not indicate that the environments are deterministic. So, a method is helpful in two features.

First, the method is able to discover from various examples with a recognized conclusion. With this extracted knowledge it is probable to identify new anonymous examples as shown in the above diagrams. Another aptitude is to handle a huge amount of data set for which a conclusion is unknown. In answer to the fast extension and prevalent use of DB technology, there is a rising awareness in increasing new practices for extracting knowledge from data. If one recognizes that the collision of really intelligent machines is probably to be thoughtful, and that there is at least a little chance of this occurrence in the predictable prospect, it is only cautious to attempt to arrange for this in advance. If users stay until it appears very possible that intelligent machines will soon emerge, it will be too delayed to systematically discuss and consider the concerns involved.

5. CONCLUSION
MI could fetch extraordinary prospect if used profitably and carefully. So extended as the method as a complete has the dominant properties requisite for machine intelligence, then all the users have the type of tremendously all-purpose and powerful machine that all users want. And on the other hand, if sympathetic does have an assessable collision on an agent’s routine in a few circumstances, then it is of attention to us. In categorize to achieve these objectives, the KW should proficiently create, accumulate, recover and, in all-purpose, deal with unambiguous knowledge in various varieties. Secondly, the KW should be able to accumulate, carry out and supervise the analysis jobs and its sustaining technologies. Lastly, the KW should offer computer assisted hold to produce normal language influences regarding both the analogous authority of the models, and dealings formed by investigation tasks, and how this latest knowledge relates to
the decision maker’s principle. So, particular that the propositions of dominant MI are probably to be multifaceted, users cannot guess to find high quality responds rapidly.
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