Comparison of PCA and MPCA with Different Databases for Face Recognition
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ABSTRACT

Face recognition is one of the Biometric characteristics for person identification. In this paper, Face recognition is done using two feature extraction techniques PCA (Principal Component Analysis) and MPCA (Modular Principal Component Analysis). PCA is a linear projection method in which dimensionality reduction is applied to the original image space. MPCA is an improved version of PCA in which each image (Face image) is divided into number of sub-block image and then PCA is applied for each sub-block image. The experimental result shows the accuracy of PCA and MPCA for different database images.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Biometrics is the automatic recognition of humans using their physical or behavioral characteristics. In Biometrics, it is easy to verify and validate the identity of the individual. Various biometric techniques like Face, DNA, Fingerprint, Gait, Iris, Palm print, Voice are implemented recently.

Face Recognition is a unimodal biometric system for image identification and verification. It is carried out using many techniques like Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA), Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Kernel Principal Component Analysis (KPCA), Independent Component Analysis (ICA), Modular Principal Component Analysis (MPCA) and 2-Dimensional Principal Component Analysis (2DPCA). PCA overcomes the high dimensional pattern recognition problem using decomposition technique to reduce dimensionality. In MPCA it is easy to recognize the face image even if the image has varying facial expressions, pose variations, illumination changes, etc.

Chellapapp made a survey for face recognition based on features and neural networks [1]. PCA is done for frontal face images using best Eigen vectors called Eigen faces [2][3]. In Eigen face approach, after dimensionality reduction, the Euclidean distance is measured for the face images [4]. In MPCA, the accuracy is higher for face images having different lighting variation and changes in facial expression [5]. In improved MPCA, weighted average is applied for each and every sub-block and then PCA technique is followed [6]. PCA technique is applied to get higher accuracy rate for face recognition and the performance is evaluated. KPCA is also carried out in [7]. Face Recognition in subspaces and feature extraction for each subspace is followed in [8]. A survey of many face recognition techniques is followed in [9].

The objective of this paper is to enhance the recognition rate for varying facial expression and illumination changes. PCA is a simple and efficient method to perform face recognition. But the recognition and accuracy rate is not high for images having different expressions and poses. In this paper, accuracy rate is found for MPCA and it is compared with PCA.

2. PCA

PCA is the most simple and efficient method for face recognition. It is a decomposition technique to reduce dimensionality (2 Dimensions). It is mainly used for image compression which is also known as Karhunen-Loee Transform. PCA overcomes the high dimension pattern recognition problem in Face recognition by using Eigen value decomposition technique. In PCA, Holistic approach is used for feature extraction to extract features globally.

2.1 Steps for EigenFace Algorithm

2.1.1 Data Acquisition

Face images are captured and stored in database. The collected images are pre-processed using histogram equalization and they are used for further processing. All the images are concatenated to form a single matrix (N×M) where M represents the number of images.

2.1.2 Subtract the Mean

Initially mean value is calculated for the input matrix and this value is subtracted from the original matrix (Γi). From this the mean adjusted value is calculated. The mean (Ψi) and mean adjusted value (Φi) is reshaped to form the average image and mean adjusted image.

\[
ψ = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} Γ_i
\]

\[
Φ_i = Γ_i - ψ
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

2.1.3 Covariance Matrix

In this step, the covariance matrix C is calculated as,

\[
C = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} Φ_i Φ_i^T
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

2.1.4 Eigen values and Eigen vectors

From covariance matrix, Eigen values and Eigen vectors are found using Jacobian method. The outcome of covariance is
symmetric, hence Eigen values & vectors always are symmetric. Eigen faces are calculated as,

$$U_k = \sum_{i=1}^{M} V_i \Phi_k. \quad (3)$$

### 2.1.5 Recognizing the Face

In this step, weights ($W_k$) should be calculated for both training and test set. The Euclidean distance is found by calculating the difference in weights. A threshold value should be set for recognition. If the Euclidean distance is equal to the threshold value, then it is a known image having same expression. If it is less than the threshold value, then it is an unknown image which is not recognized. The weight vector ($\Omega^T$) is calculated as,

$$W_k = U_k (\Gamma_i - \Psi_i). \quad (4)$$

The normalized training set is represented as,

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \\ \vdots \\ W_k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

### 3. MODULAR PCA

In PCA, recognition rate is higher only for frontal face images. The information of the face is taken globally for processing and checked whether it is recognized or not. Though PCA overcomes the high dimensionality pattern recognition problem, the accuracy rate is not high. In case of images having different poses, facial expressions and change in illumination, the recognition rate of PCA will not be high.

In MPCA, initially the face images are partitioned into N number of sub-block images and then apply steps of PCA for each and every sub-block image by using local information of the face. Here the first sub-image of test image is taken initially and compared with all the images in the database. The images matched with this first sub-image are selected. Again, the second sub-image is checked with this set of selected images and then the matched images are found. Further, the third sub-image is compared with the previous set of selected images and the same procedure is applied to find the recognized image. Finally the last sub-image is also compared and the image is recognized. If it got rejected in the first step, then the image is considered as not recognized. MPCA overcomes the problems of PCA under the varying conditions of pose variations, facial expressions, and illumination changes. So we expect that the accuracy rate will be better for Modular PCA.

### 3.1 Steps for Modular PCA

#### 3.1.1 Divide into sub-blocks

The training set images are divided into N number of sub-blocks. L represents the size of the image. The size of each sub-blocks are divided as $L_i/N$. The sub-blocks can be found as,
3.1.7 Recognizing faces

In this step, weights ($W_k$) should be calculated for both train and test set. The Euclidean distance is found by calculating the difference in weights. A threshold value should be set for recognition. If the Euclidean distance is equal to the threshold value, then it is known image having same expression. If it is less than the threshold value, then it is known image having different expression. If it is greater than the threshold value, then it is unknown image which is not recognized image. The weight vector ($\Omega^T$) is calculated as

$$W_k = U_i(\Gamma_i - \Psi).$$

$$\Omega^T = [W_1 W_2 W_3 \ldots W_{16}]. \quad (11)$$

The normalized training set is represented as,

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \\ \vdots \\ W_{16} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

4. FACE DATABASES

For both PCA and MPCA techniques, we have used three databases- ORL database, JAFFE database, and Indian database.

4.1 ORL Database

In ORL database, forty distinct subjects are taken in the database and ten images having different expressions of each subject are considered. Fig. 2(a) shows the sample of test images and Fig. 2(b) shows the sample of train images in ORL Database.

![Figure 2](a) training image and (b) test image in ORL database.

4.2 Indian Database

In Indian database, forty distinct subjects are taken in the database and each image having different expressions of each subject are considered. Fig. 3(a) shows the sample of test images and Fig. 3(b) shows the sample of train images in Indian Database.

![Figure 3](a) training image and (b) test image in Indian database.

4.3 JAFFE Database

In JAFFE database, ten distinct subjects are taken in the database and ten images having different expressions of each subject are considered. Fig. 4(a) shows the sample of test images and Fig. 4(b) shows the sample of train images in JAFFE Database.

![Figure 4](a) training image and (b) test image in JAFFE database.

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The performance of both PCA and MPCA techniques are tested by varying the number of eigenvectors. The Euclidean distance is calculated by finding the difference between the weights of test and train sets. A threshold value has been set to increase the recognition rate.

For PCA, the Euclidean distance is calculated for both test and train set in the database. If the Euclidean distance is equal to the threshold value which we have set, then the input image completely matches with the processed image and is found to be recognized image having same expression is shown in Fig. 5.

![Figure 5](Recognized image of same expression in Indian Database for PCA)

Another test was done for an image which was present in the database but having different face expression. If the Euclidean distance is less than threshold value which we have set, then the input image matches with the processed image and is found to be recognized image having different expression is shown in Fig. 6.

![Figure 6](Recognized image of different expression in Japanese Database for PCA)

If the Euclidean distance is greater than threshold value which we have set, then the input image does not match with the processed image and hence it is an unknown face and is shown in Fig. 7.
For MPCA, the Euclidean distance of test image from each sub-image in the database is calculated. If the Euclidean distance is equal to the threshold value which we have set, then the sub-image completely matches with its original image and is found to be recognized image having same expression is shown in Fig. 8.

Another test was done for an image which was present in the database but having different face expression. If the Euclidean distance is less than threshold value which we have set, then the input image matches with the processed image based on the sub-image and is found to be recognized image having different expression is shown in Fig. 9.

If the Euclidean distance is greater than threshold value which we have set, then the input image does not match with the processed image and hence it is an unknown face and is shown in Fig. 10.

In Table-1, the results of PCA and MPCA are calculated for three different databases- ORL Database, Indian Database, JAFFE Database. The metrics are calculated based on False Acceptance Rate (FAR), False Rejection Rate (FRR) and Accuracy (ACC). FAR refers to the ratio of number of false acceptances divided by the number of attempts identified. FRR refers to the ratio of number of false rejections divided by the number of attempts identified. ACC is calculated based on FAR and FRR.

### Table 1: Experimental results of different databases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metrics</th>
<th>ORL Database</th>
<th>Indian Database</th>
<th>JAFFE Database</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>MPCA</td>
<td>PCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAR (%)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRR (%)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC (%)</td>
<td>99.10</td>
<td>99.20</td>
<td>99.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6. CONCLUSION

Face Recognition has several feature extraction method, by comparatively PCA and Modular PCA with three different datasets. By comparative study we understand modular PCA provides better result than the PCA base on accuracy rate. The experimental result shows how it is perform better more than PCA in three different dataset. In large variation of illumination and expression of the face, the modular PCA will be useful for identification of the face but the computational complexity is higher.
