Design of Optimal $L_1$ Stable IIR Digital Filter using Hybrid Optimization Algorithm
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ABSTRACT
A Hybrid optimization algorithm is applied for designing stable infinite impulse response (IIR) digital filter based on $L_1$-approximation error criterion. The proposed Hybrid method calculates the optimal filter coefficients by exploring and exploiting the search space locally as well globally. The filter designed based on $L_1$-approximation error possesses flat passbands and stopbands in comparison to that of square design and the minimax approach. A comparison with other design techniques is made, demonstrating that the proposed hybrid approach can obtain better digital IIR filters than the existing Genetic Algorithm (GA) based methods.

Keywords
Digital IIR filters, Hybrid search algorithm, $L_1$-approximation error, Stability.

1. INTRODUCTION
Infinite impulse response (IIR) digital filters offer improved selectivity, computational efficiency, and reduced system delay compared to finite impulse response (FIR) digital filters with comparable approximation accuracy. However, its design is more difficult than FIR digital filters because IIR digital filters have a rational transfer function. The design task of IIR digital filters is to approximate a given ideal frequency response by a stable IIR digital filter under some design criterion. The IIR filter design principally follows two techniques: transformation technique and optimization technique. To implement Optimization technique with some criteria various optimization methods have been applied where p-error, mean-square-error, and ripple magnitudes (tolerances) of both pass-band and stop-band are used to measure performance for the design of digital IIR filters. The optimization algorithm described by Fletcher and Powell [1] is used to minimize a square-error criterion in the frequency domain. The semi-definite programming relaxation technique [2] has been adopted to formulate the design problem of IIR filter in a convex form. However due to non-linear and multimodal nature of error surface of IIR filters, conventional gradient-based design may easily get stuck in the local minima of error surface. Therefore, researchers have developed design methods based on modern heuristics optimization algorithms such as genetic algorithms [3-8], ant colony optimization [9], Hybrid Taguchi genetic algorithm [10], etc. However the performance of genetic algorithm based methods is often compromised by their very slow convergence. In this paper hybrid optimization algorithm is proposed that randomly explores the search space for the best solution locally as well globally for the design of IIR filters. The values of the filter coefficients are optimized with the hybrid approach to achieve $L_1$-norm error criterion.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the IIR filter design problem statement. The underlying mechanism about the methodology of the hybrid algorithm for designing the optimal digital IIR filters is described in Section 3. In Section 4, the performance of the proposed hybrid method has been evaluated and achieved results are compared with the design results by Tang et al. [4] and Tsai and Chou [11] for the low-pass (LP), high-pass (HP), band-pass (BP), and band-stop (BS) filters. Finally, the conclusions and discussions are outlined in Section 5.

2. FILTER DESIGN PROBLEM
A digital IIR filter design problem involves the determination of a set of filter coefficients which meet performance specifications such as pass-band width and corresponding gain, width of the stop-band and attenuation, band edge frequencies, and tolerable peak ripple in the pass band and stop-band.

The transfer function of IIR filter is stated as below:

$$H(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{M} p_k z^{-k}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} q_k z^{-k}}$$

(1)

Digital filter design problem involves the determination of a set of filter coefficients, $p_k$ and $q_k$. Regardless of the filter type, the structure of cascading type digital IIR filter is:

$$H(\omega, x) = \beta \left( \prod_{k=1}^{M} \frac{1 + p_k e^{-j\omega}}{1 + q_k e^{-j\omega}} \right) \times \frac{N}{k=1} \left( 1 + r_k e^{-j\omega} + s_k e^{-2j\omega} \right)$$

(2)

where $x = [p_1 \ p_1 \ q_1 \ q_1 \ ... \ p_M \ q_M \ r_1 \ r_1 \ ... \ r_{21} \ s_{21} \ s_{21} \ ... \ r_{1N} \ r_{2N} \ s_{1N} \ s_{2N} \ \beta]$ and vector $x$ denotes the filter coefficients of dimension $V \times 1$ with $V = 2M + 4N + 1$.

The IIR filter is designed by optimizing the coefficients such that the approximation error function in $L_1$-norm for magnitude is to be minimized. The magnitude response is specified at $K$ equally spaced discrete frequency points in pass-band and stop-band where $e(x)$ denotes the absolute error in $L_1$-norm of magnitude response and is defined as given below:

$$e(x) = \frac{K}{i=0} \left| H_d(a_{i}) - H(a_{i}, x) \right|$$

(3)
Desired magnitude response \( H_d(\omega_i) \) of IIR filter is given as:

\[
H_d(\omega_i) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{for } \omega_i \in \text{passband} \\
0, & \text{for } \omega_i \in \text{stopband} 
\end{cases}
\]  

(4)

Minimize 

\[ f(x) = \epsilon(x) \]

(5)

Subject to: the stability constraints

\[
1 + q_{li} \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, M)
\]

(5a)

\[
1 - q_{li} \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, M)
\]

(5b)

\[
1 - s_{2k} \geq 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots, N)
\]

(5c)

\[
1 + s_{lk} + s_{2k} \geq 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots, N)
\]

(5d)

\[
1 - s_{lk} + s_{2k} \geq 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots, N)
\]

(5e)

The design of causal recursive filters requires the inclusion of stability constraints. Therefore, the stability constraints given by Eq. (5a) to Eq. (5e) which are obtained by using the Jury method. **Error! Reference source not found.** on the coefficients of the digital IIR filter in Eq. (2) are included in the optimization process.

### 3. HYBRID APPROACH FOR THE DESIGN OF IIR FILTER

A hybrid approach is used to describe a sequential examination of trial solutions. The process of going from a given point to the next improved point is called a ‘move’. A move is termed a ‘success’ if the objective improves; otherwise, it is a ‘failure’. The hybrid method uses three types of moves. The first move is Random initialization. Random initialization has been framed to acquire best starting point. In random initialization the starting point is found with the help of random search. The starting point is determined by applying Global search then further the starting point is moved by applying local search to record the best starting point. The search process is started by initializing the variable \( x_l^0 \) using Eq. (6) which is used to calculate objective function using Eq. (2).

\[
x_l^j = x_l^j \min + \eta_s (x_l^j \max - x_l^j \min )
\]

where \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, V; j = 1, 2, \ldots, NV \)

where \( \eta \) is a random generated number, \( V \) is number of variables, \( NV \) is the population size.

The concept of opposition-based learning has been applied to accelerate reinforcement learning and back-propagation learning in neural networks [13]. The main idea behind opposition-based learning is the simultaneous consideration of an estimate and its corresponding opposite estimate (i.e., guess and opposite guess) in order to achieve a better approximation for the current candidate solution. The opposition based strategy is applied and starting point \( x_l^j \) is further explored using Eq. (7) to record the best starting point.

\[
x_l^{j+1} = \max - \eta (x_l^{\max} - x_l^{\min})
\]

where \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, V; j = 1, 2, \ldots, NV \)

Out of 2NV members, best NV members constitute pool to initiate the process. For the local best select, best member is selected.

The second move is exploratory move designed to acquire information concerning the working of the function. This move is performed in the neighborhood of the current point systematically to find the best point around the current point. The third move is a pattern move with random acceleration factor. The pattern move uses the information collected in the exploratory move and realize the minimization of the function by moving in the direction of an established ‘pattern’. A new point is calculated by leaping from current best point \( x_l^c \) along a direction connecting previous best point \( x_l^0 \) and is executed as given below

\[
x_l^n = x_l^c + \eta (x_l^c - x_l^0)
\]

(8)

\( \eta \) is accelerating factor and is a random number varying between 0.5 to 2.0. Special care has been taken while generating accelerating factor which has been made random. In case the pattern move does not move the solution into a better region, the pattern move is not accepted and the extent of the exploratory move is reduced. The process is continued in a looped manner till the minimum value of function is achieved.

### 4. DESIGN EXAMPLES AND COMPARISONS

For designing digital IIR filter 200 equally spaced points are set within the frequency domain \([0, \pi]\) and for the purpose of comparison, the lowest order of the digital IIR filter is set exactly the same as that given by Tang et al. in [4] for the LP, HP, BP, and BS filters. Therefore, in this paper, the order of the digital IIR filter is a fixed number not a variable in the optimization process. The objective of designing the digital IIR filters is to minimize the objective function given by Eq. (5) with the stability constraints stated by Eq. (5a) to Eq. (5e) under the prescribed design conditions given in Table I.

| Filter type | Pass-band | Stop-band | Maximum Value of \( |H(\omega, x)| \) |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Low-Pass    | \( 0 \leq \omega \leq 0.2\pi \) | \( 0.3\pi \leq \omega \leq \pi \) | 1 |
| High-Pass   | \( 0.8\pi \leq \omega \leq \pi \) | \( 0 \leq \omega \leq 0.7\pi \) | 1 |
| Band-Pass   | \( 0.4\pi \leq \omega \leq 0.6\pi \) | \( 0 \leq \omega \leq 0.25\pi \) \( 0.75 \leq \omega \leq \pi \) | 1 |
| Band-Stop   | \( 0 \leq \omega \leq 0.25\pi \) \( 0.75 \leq \omega \leq \pi \) | \( 0.4\pi \leq \omega \leq 0.6\pi \) | 1 |
### TABLE 2: Design results for Low Pass Filter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$L_1$-norm error</th>
<th>Pass-band performance (Ripple magnitude)</th>
<th>Stop-band performance (Ripple magnitude)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid Method</td>
<td>3.7903</td>
<td>$0.9283 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA Approach [11]</td>
<td>3.8157</td>
<td>$0.8914 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Tang et al. [4]</td>
<td>4.3395</td>
<td>$0.8870 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 3: Design results for High-Pass Filter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$L_1$-norm error</th>
<th>Pass-band performance (Ripple magnitude)</th>
<th>Stop-band performance (Ripple magnitude)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid Method</td>
<td>3.9724</td>
<td>$0.9625 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA Approach [11]</td>
<td>4.1819</td>
<td>$0.9229 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Tang et al. [4]</td>
<td>14.5078</td>
<td>$0.9224 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 4: Design results for Band-Pass Filter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$L_1$-norm error</th>
<th>Pass-band performance (Ripple magnitude)</th>
<th>Stop-band performance (Ripple magnitude)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid Method</td>
<td>1.3121</td>
<td>$0.9825 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA Approach [11]</td>
<td>1.5204</td>
<td>$0.9681 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Tang et al. [4]</td>
<td>5.2165</td>
<td>$0.8956 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 5: Design results for Band-Stop Filter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$L_1$-norm error</th>
<th>Pass-band performance (Ripple magnitude)</th>
<th>Stop-band performance (Ripple magnitude)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid Method</td>
<td>3.3443</td>
<td>$0.9334 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA Approach [11]</td>
<td>3.4750</td>
<td>$0.9259 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Tang et al. [4]</td>
<td>6.6072</td>
<td>$0.8920 \leq</td>
<td>H(e^{j\omega})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Frequency responses of low pass filter using the Hybrid approach and the method given in Error! Reference source not found. and [4] respectively.

Figure 2: Frequency responses of high pass filter using the Hybrid approach and the method given in Error! Reference source not found. and [4] respectively.
From the evaluated results with the proposed hybrid method in Tables 2 to 5 and Figures 1 to 4, it can be seen that, for the LP, HP, BP, and BS filters, the proposed hybrid approach gives the smaller $L_1$-norm approximation errors and the better magnitude performances in both pass-band and stop-band than the genetic algorithm based method given by Tsai and Chou [11] and Tang et al. [4] respectively.
5. CONCLUSION
The paper proposes a hybrid optimization method for design of digital IIR filters based on $L_1$-norm approximation error. As shown through computational results, the proposed hybrid method works well with an arbitrary random initialization and it satisfies prescribed amplitude specifications consistently. On the basis of the results obtained, it can be concluded that the designed digital IIR filter in $L_1$-sense possesses flat passbands and stopbands responses as compared to methods purposed by Tsai and Chou [11] and Tang et al. [4]. The proposed approach for the design of digital IIR filers allows each filter, whether it is LP, HP, BP, or BS to be designed independently.
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