Unified Model Reference Adaptive Attitude Control of a Satellite in Presence of Uncertain Parameters: Design and Implementation

Farhad Fani Saberi
Amirkabir University of Technology
Space Science and Technology Institute
Tehran, Iran

Sadegh Ahmadi Dastgerdi
Islamic Azad University of South Tehran Branch
Department of Control Engineering
Tehran, Iran

Mehdi Zandieh
Islamic Azad University of Boroujerd
Department of Control Engineering
Boroujerd, Iran

ABSTRACT
In this paper, a discrete-time model reference adaptive attitude control algorithm (MRAC) will be designed based on unified approach for a three-axis stabilized satellite. In this algorithm, environmental disturbances and nonlinear dynamic terms will be estimated as a time-varying unknown parameter. In this method, nonlinear dynamic equation of satellite is rewritten as a linear model with uncertain parameters as the main novel idea. Therefore, MRAC algorithm is designed for the linear model in the presence of uncertain parameters, and then it will be applied to the nonlinear model of the satellite in presence of uncertain or unknown parameters. The proposed method is capable of simultaneous tracking and regulation. The designed algorithm will be implemented in software in the loop test bed with the use of ARM microcontroller in real time mode in order to evaluation and verification of its performance.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Designing a proper algorithm to control a satellite for achieving various missions, constitutes a large portion of the activities of the designers in this area. In this regard, various control methods of satellite from classic to advanced have been designed [1,2]. Due to unknown environmental conditions and uncertainty parameters of satellite dynamic model, classical controllers are not so appropriate for such conditions. To overcome this problem, robust controllers such as sliding mode controllers have been proposed [3]. However, robust controllers don’t have appropriate performance in presence of parameter changes. This problem has been solved by applying adaptive controllers. In adaptive control, the use of projection function is one of the common methods that estimation parameters are guaranteed to be bounded in the presence of uncertainty [4]. In [5], an adaptive controller has been designed for a rigid spacecraft in the presence of external disturbances and moment of inertia matrix uncertainties; in this method, a sliding mode controller has been designed without considering any constraints and then its performance will be improved by applying an adaptive control algorithm. In this method, the robustness and the high speed convergence of parameters estimations have been guaranteed without considering of actuators saturation constraints. In [6], design and implementation of various model reference adaptive controllers have been designed in discrete time mode. Accordingly, Retrospective Cost Adaptive Control (RCAC) method has been used for satellite attitude control in [7].Meanwhile, a method called “unified” approach has been initially introduced in [8]. In [2], a supervisory control algorithm has been offered for the satellite attitude control in the presence of inertia matrix uncertainty based on the unified approach. The considerable point in this method is no need for the information of uncertainties’ bounds. Numerical results indicate the effectiveness of this algorithm in the presence of disturbances.
In this paper, a discrete-time model reference adaptive attitude control algorithm (MRAC) will be designed based on unified approach for a three-axis stabilized satellite. In this algorithm, environmental disturbances and nonlinear dynamic terms will be estimated as a time-varying unknown parameter. In this algorithm, to eliminate the effect of uncertainties and un-modeled dynamics, two approaches have been proposed: 1- Use of an uncertain time varying parameter which will be estimated using recursive least squares error method. 2- Use of output estimation error. Finally, the proposed control algorithm will be implemented in software in the loop test bed with the use of ARM microcontroller in real time mode. In the following, in section 2, satellite dynamic equations will be introduced. In section 3, adaptive control will be designed for the satellite based on the unified approach. In section 4, software in the loop test bed will be provided. In section 5, the designed algorithm will be simulated and implemented in software in the loop test bed and in section 6, the conclusions will be presented.

2. SATELLITE EQUATIONS OF MOTION
Based on the Euler’s equation and Newton’s third law, the dynamic equation of a satellite motion can be written as [9]:

\[ I^\ddot{\omega} = T - \omega \times H \]  

(1)

Where \( I = \text{diag} \left[ I_x, I_y, I_z \right] \) is the moments of inertia of the satellite, \( \omega = [\dot{\omega}_x, \dot{\omega}_y, \dot{\omega}_z] \) is satellite angular rate vector of the satellite body relative to the inertial coordinate system and \( H \) is angular momentum vector where:

\[ H = I\omega \]  

(2)
where $y_n(k)$ is the bounded reference model output. The error function is defined as
\[ e(k) = y(k) - y_n(k) \]  
It is clear that the objectives of the control are accomplished if the following equation holds
\[ C_2(q^{−1})e(k + td) = 0 \quad k > 0 \]  
Using the identity
\[ C_2(q^{−1}) = A(q^{−1})S(q^{−1}) + q^{−td}R(q^{−1}) \]  
where
\[ S(q^{−1}) = 1 + s_1q^{−1} + s_2q^{−2} + \ldots + s_nq^{−n} \]  
\[ R(q^{−1}) = r_1 + r_2q^{−1} + r_3q^{−2} + \ldots + r_rq^{−r} \]

It has been shown [8] that the preceding identity has a unique solution and $n = td - 1$ and $n = \max(n_1 - 1, n_3 - td)$ Equation (13) can be written as
\[ C_2(q^{−1})e(k + td) = B(q^{−1})S(q^{−1})u(k) + B(q^{−1})y(k) - C_2(q^{−1})y_n(k - td) \]  
\[ \begin{align*}
\phi_1(k) & = [u(k-1), \ldots, u(k-td - n_1 + 1), y(k), \ldots, y(k - n)] \\
\Theta_1^{\phi} & = [\hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2, \ldots, \hat{b}_n, \hat{r}_0, \ldots, \hat{r}_n] \\
\phi_1(k) & = [u(k), \hat{w}(k)] \\
\Theta_1^{\phi} & = [\hat{b}_1; \hat{e}_0] \\
\end{align*} \]

Equating the right-hand side of equation (16) with zero, the control objective of (13) is achieved with the control law
\[ u(k) = \frac{1}{\hat{b}_1} C_2(q^{−1})y_n(k + td) - \hat{b}_1 \hat{w}(q^{−1})u(k) \]  
where
\[ B_1(q^{−1}) = B(q^{−1}) - \hat{b}_1 \]  
or equivalently
\[ u(k) = \frac{1}{\hat{b}_1} C_2(q^{−1})y_n(k + td) - \hat{e}_0 \hat{w}(k) \]  
For a plant with unknown parameters, recursive least squares error algorithm is used to estimate them. Therefore estimated output will be computed as follows:
\[ \hat{y}(k) = P(k)\hat{y}(k) \]  
It is assumed that “v” represents the estimation parameters. In equation (24), $\hat{y}(k)$ and $\hat{y}(k)$ and $P(k)$ represent the estimated outputs, estimated parameters and regression vectors respectively, that are defined according to equations (25) and (26).
\[ \hat{y}(k) = \left[ \hat{a}_1(k), \hat{a}_2(k), \ldots, \hat{a}_n(k), \hat{b}_1(k), \hat{b}_2(k), \ldots, \hat{b}_n(k) \right]^T \]  
\[ P(k) = \left[ -y(k-1), -y(k-2), \ldots, -y(k-n) \right] \]  
\[ u(k-1), u(k-2), \ldots, u(k-n) \]  
The algorithm for recursive least squares error is described in equations (27) - (30) [6].
1. Compute the estimation error $\varepsilon(k)$:
\[ \varepsilon(k) = y(k) - \hat{y}(k) \]
2. Compute following equation:
\[ G(k) = \frac{F(k-1)p(k)}{\lambda + P^T(k)F(k-1)p(k)} \]  
(28)
3. Update parameter F:
\[ F(k) = \frac{1}{\lambda}[1-G(k)P^T(k)]F(k-1) \]  
(29)
4. Update Estimation of unknown parameter:
\[ \hat{\lambda}(k) = \hat{\lambda}(k-1) + G(k)p(k) \]  
(30)
\( \lambda \) is the forgetting factor. The value for forgetting factor selected by a designer usually ranges from 0.98 to 0.995 [8].

The stability of estimation recursive algorithm of the system parameters and the stability of control algorithm are proven in [6] and [9].

3.1 Attitude Control Algorithm of Satellite Based on Unified MRAC Approach

In this section, a discrete-time model reference adaptive attitude control algorithm (MRAC) will be designed based on unified approach for a three-axis stabilized satellite in presence of the axes coupling effect, un-modeled dynamic and the external disturbances. Therefore attitude dynamic equations should be rewritten as equation (5). Thus, attitude dynamic equation of the satellite (Eq. (1)) linearized as below:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\phi} &= \frac{T_c - 4\alpha_k \phi}{I_1} \phi + d_1 \\
\dot{\theta} &= \frac{T_c - 3\alpha_k \theta}{I_2} \theta + d_2 \\
\dot{\psi} &= \frac{T_c - \alpha_k \psi}{I_3} \psi + d_3
\end{align*}
\]  
(31)

\( d_1, d_2, \) and \( d_3 \) are variables which represents the nonlinear terms corresponding to axes coupling effect and environment disturbances.

The discrete time of linear dynamic equation (31) can be described as below for each axis:

\[ y_n(k) = \frac{-q_d b_n(q')}{A_n(q')} u_n(k) + d_n \]  
(32)

\( t_d > 0, y_n(0) \neq 0, \ i = 1,2,3 \)

Where:

\[ y_n(k) = \phi(k), \ y_n(k) = \theta(k), \ y_n(k) = \psi(k) \]  
(33)
\[ u_n(k) = T_n(k), \ u_n(k) = T_n(k), \ u_n(k) = T_n(k) \]  
(34)

The discrete time of linear dynamic equation (31) can be written in the form of equation (32) as below

\[ B_n(q') = b_n + b_n q' \]  
(35)
\[ A_n(q') = a_n + a_n q' + a_n q'^2 \]  
(36)

The nominal coefficients of numerators \( (B_n(q')) \) and denominators \( (A_n(q')) \) in equation (32) described as below.

Where:

For \( \phi(k) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_n = -2\cosh \left( 2T_n \left( -\alpha_n \frac{l_k - I_1}{I_1} \right)^2 \right), & \quad \alpha_n = 1 \\
\beta_n = \cosh \left( 2T_n \left( -\alpha_n \frac{l_k - I_1}{I_1} \right)^2 \right)^{-1} - 1 \\
b_n = b_n = \frac{4\alpha_n}{\left( l_k - I_1 \right)}
\end{align*}
\]  
(37)

For \( \theta(k) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_n = -2\cosh \left( T_n \left( -\alpha_n \frac{l_k - I_1}{I_1} \right)^2 \right), & \quad \alpha_n = 1 \\
\beta_n = \cosh \left( T_n \left( -\alpha_n \frac{l_k - I_1}{I_1} \right)^2 \right)^{-1} - 1 \\
b_n = b_n = \frac{3\alpha_n}{\left( l_k - I_1 \right)}
\end{align*}
\]  
(38)

For \( \psi(k) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_n = -2\cosh \left( T_n \left( -\alpha_n \frac{l_k - I_1}{I_1} \right)^2 \right), & \quad \alpha_n = 1 \\
\beta_n = \cosh \left( T_n \left( -\alpha_n \frac{l_k - I_1}{I_1} \right)^2 \right)^{-1} - 1 \\
b_n = b_n = \frac{\alpha_n}{\left( l_k - I_1 \right)}
\end{align*}
\]  
(39)

\( d'_n(k) \) is a time-varying parameter corresponding to environmental disturbances and nonlinear dynamic terms which is described as follow:

\[
d'_n(k) = \frac{\alpha_n z^{-2} + \alpha_n z^{-1}}{z^{-2} + \beta z^{-1}} d_n(k)
\]  
(40)

In equation (40) the nominal \( \alpha_n \) and \( \beta_n \) are defined as below:

For \( \phi(k) \):

\[ \alpha_n = \frac{-I_1}{\cosh \left( 2T_n \left( -\alpha_n \frac{l_k - I_1}{I_1} \right)^2 \right) - 1} \]  
(41)

For \( \theta(k) \):

\[ \alpha_n = \frac{-I_1}{\cosh \left( T_n \left( -\alpha_n \frac{l_k - I_1}{I_1} \right)^2 \right) - 1} \]  
(42)
According to equation (27) it is clear that:
\[ y_{e}(k) = e(k) + \hat{y}(k) \]  
(49)

Now, by substituting equation (49) into equation (13) and using equations (27) - (30), the control law for each axis will be calculated as follow:
\[ u_{i}(k) = \frac{1}{b_{ni}} \left[ C_{i}(q^{-1}) y_{e}(k+1) + C_{i}(q^{-1}) y_{e}(k) \right] - (\mu_{i} - \hat{\alpha}_{i}) y_{e}(k) \]  
(50)

The block diagram of this control algorithm is shown in Figure 2.

**Fig 2: control algorithm block diagram (Approach 2)**

In the next section, the two proposed approaches will be implemented in software in the loop test bed with the use of ARM microcontroller in real time mode in order to evaluation and verification of its performance.

### 4. SOFTWARE IN THE LOOP TEST BED

It's necessary to ensure the accurate performance of a satellite attitude control system before launch. One of the most important satellite test beds are simulators. In these test beds, the operating conditions of the tested subsystems are simulated and then the performance of the subsystems will be evaluated. A simulator of satellite attitude was made in 1959 in NASA Research Center [10]. California Polytechnic state University has been provided a 10 kg simulator with 2-3 degrees accuracy [11]. Also, in Lawrence Livermore International Laboratory, a simulator table with four degrees of freedom and in NASA's Marshall Space Flight Center, a simulator table with six degrees of freedom has been provided [12].

However, these test beds are complex with too hardware equipment which is too expensive. Therefore, it seems necessary to design and provide an appropriate test bed with low cost and high flexibility for the implementation of various control algorithms. In this paper, a test bed for evaluation of the control algorithm in real-time mode has been made using the RTW toolbox of MATLAB software which will be called software in the loop test bed so on [13,14].

Configuration of the test bed contains three main parts including: simulator computer, on-board electronics using ARM type 32 bit microcontroller and an interface circuit for exchanging data between simulator computer and on-board electronics using RS232 serial port.
The tasks of simulator computer can be expressed as: 1) modeling of orbital motion of satellite, rotational motion of satellite, environmental disturbances and actuators and sensors dynamics. 2) Providing a graphical convenient user interface for monitoring system performance.

Figure 3, shows the block diagram of the designed software in the loop test bed [3].

As is clear in Figure 3, the attitude information of the satellite using the sensors model will be sent to the electronic control section through the serial port. In the electronic control section, based on the received data such as angular velocity and satellite attitude, the desired control torque according to the designed control law, will be calculated and will be sent to the monitoring section and simulator computer through the serial port. Then by applying this torque to the dynamic system model in the simulator computer, the satellite attitude will be changed and then the new data will be sent to the monitoring section again. This loop continues in real time mode and the performance of the designed control algorithm will be evaluated. In Figure 4, the components of the software in the loop test bed has been shown.

### 5. Simulation and implementation

In this section, the proposed MRAC algorithms based on unified approach (equations (46) and (50)) will be simulated and implemented in the software in the loop test bed in a real-time situation and the results will be investigated. Then the results of the software in the loop (SIL) tests for designed control algorithms are presented to demonstrate the capabilities of the mentioned algorithm in a real-time situation. The specifications of the satellite have been shown in Table 1 [15].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Satellite attributes [15]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_z = 3.04 \text{ kgm}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_x = 2.13 \text{ kgm}^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In these simulations, the satellite attitude determination data is extracted directly from simulation model and sampling time of the control loop has been considered 0.5 seconds. Therefore, the nominal polynomials of $B_i(q^{-1})$ and $A_i(q^{-1})$ in equations (41), (42) and (43) will be computed as below:

$$\phi : \begin{cases} B_1(q^{-1}) = 0.0586 + 0.0586q^{-1} \\ A_1(q^{-1}) = 1 - 2q^{-1} + q^{-2} \end{cases}$$

$$\theta : \begin{cases} B_2(q^{-1}) = 0.0411 + 0.0411q^{-1} \\ A_2(q^{-1}) = 1 - 2q^{-1} + q^{-2} \end{cases}$$

$$\psi : \begin{cases} B_3(q^{-1}) = 0.0404 + 0.0404q^{-1} \\ A_3(q^{-1}) = 1 - 2q^{-1} + q^{-2} \end{cases}$$

By considering the dynamic model of the satellite, the order of the $C_i(q^{-1})$ polynomial is two. The poles of this polynomial have been considered in $[0.001, 0.001]$ . Thus we will have:

$$C_i(q^{-1}) = 1 - 0.002q^{-1} + 10^4q^{-2}$$

In the implementation of designed control algorithm, according to Table 2, 60 bytes should be sent to the electronic board and 12 bytes should be received through each sampling time. Thus, a serial port with the rate of sending and receiving 115200 bits per second has been configured. Therefore, the required sampling time will be calculated as below:

$$T_{\text{Data Transmit}} = \frac{72 \times 8}{115200} = 0.005 \text{sec}$$
However, the required time for processing the control algorithms is 0.34 seconds. Thus, the minimum sampling time should be considered greater than 0.3405 seconds. So, in the following results the sampling time has been considered 0.5 seconds.

Table 2. The information attributes of the data sending and receiving from the electronic board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data sent to the electronic board</th>
<th>Data name</th>
<th>Data volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>desired attitude</td>
<td>Present data</td>
<td>12 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite attitude</td>
<td>Present data</td>
<td>12 bytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data received from the electronic board</th>
<th>Data name</th>
<th>Data volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roll control torque</td>
<td>4 bytes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch control torque</td>
<td>4 bytes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw control torque</td>
<td>4 bytes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case, we have considered a time delay equal to the one sampling time between the simulator computer and electronic board for data transferring. In other words, when the data are sent to the electronic board, the control law will be calculated and applied to the dynamic model of satellite in the next sampling time. This time delay should be considered in the modeling and designing of the control algorithm.

In the following simulations, the roll angle change from -25 to +25 degrees, the pitch angle change from -20 to +20 degrees and yaw axis will be regulated to zero. The forgetting factor in the estimation algorithm has been considered as 0.995. The results from the simulation using the first approach (equation (46)) are shown in Figures (5) – (7).

In Figures (8) – (10), the results using the second approach are shown.
According to figures (5) – (10), it can be concluded that the designed algorithms work effectively in tracking and regulation. Figures (7) and (10) show that the estimation convergence speeds of uncertainty parameter ̂� by using the second approach is higher than the first approach.

The implementation results of the proposed control algorithms in software in the loop test bed are shown in Figures (11) – (14).

Table 3. Simulation and implementation results of the two proposed approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>var(ε₁) (deg²)</th>
<th>mean(ε₁) (deg)</th>
<th>Ess₁ (deg)</th>
<th>var(ε₂) (deg²)</th>
<th>mean(ε₂) (deg)</th>
<th>Ess₂ (deg)</th>
<th>var(ε₃) (deg²)</th>
<th>mean(ε₃) (deg)</th>
<th>Ess₃ (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>First approach</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>2.325e-2</td>
<td>7.615e-6</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>5.635e-3</td>
<td>2.699e-6</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>2.256e-2</td>
<td>2.387e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second approach</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>2.829e-2</td>
<td>-1.074e-5</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>2.078e-2</td>
<td>-1.594e-6</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>5.404e-2</td>
<td>3.663e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First approach</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>2.431e-2</td>
<td>6.135e-5</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>6.430e-3</td>
<td>4.445e-5</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>3.178e-2</td>
<td>1.283e-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second approach</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>2.983e-2</td>
<td>-1.339e-5</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>2.608e-2</td>
<td>-2.734e-5</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>8.128e-2</td>
<td>5.293e-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to figures (5)- (14) and Table (3), it is obvious that the convergence speed of parameter estimation using the second approach is higher, but it is less accurate than the first approach. These results are compared in table (4) in brief.
Table 4: comparison results of the two proposed approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Convergence speed</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CONCLUSION
In this paper, a discrete-time model reference adaptive attitude control algorithm (MRAC) designed based on unified approach for a three-axis stabilized satellite. In this algorithm, environmental disturbances and nonlinear dynamic terms estimated as a time-varying unknown parameter. The designed algorithm implemented in software in the loop test bed with the use of ARM microcontroller in real time mode. According to Figures (5)-(14), it can be concluded that the designed control algorithms achieve properly in presence of environmental disturbances and nonlinear dynamic with unknown parameters. The proposed method is capable of simultaneous tracking and regulation and simplicity of implementation and high adaptation speed. The results in Table (3) illustrate that the convergence speed of parameter estimation using the second approach is higher, but it is less accurate than the first approach.
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