ABSTRACT

Wireless sensor network (WSN) is one of emerging trends in networking technologies being used for communication purpose in modern life. It has mainly comprised of small sensor nodes (SNs) with limited resources. Individual SNs are connected with each other and make the communication possible. Enhancement in the communication among sensor nodes or Sensor-to-Sink nodes is today’s most prominent objective. In this paper we have surveyed artificial neural network for different QOS parameters of WSN. Artificial neural network (ANN) is very prominent emerging area for WSN applications. Generally, artificial neural networks are classified in supervised learning and unsupervised learning. Unsupervised learning includes algorithms like Hebbian, Winner-take-all, ART, ART1, ART2, counter propagation network etc., while supervised learning includes perceptron model, delta learning rule, error back-propagation etc. ANN helps to achieve the better quality of services for communication in wireless sensor networks at the greater extent. We have summarized the survey of neural networks’ techniques applied for WSN applications so far.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Wireless Sensor Network is formed by groups of small sensor nodes (SNs) which are deployed for various collaborative missions such as environmental monitoring, target tracking and surveillance. As due to the miniature size of the sensor nodes, they are typically deployed in large numbers, and communicate via multiple hops through a wireless shared communication channel. The proper implementation of wireless sensor network is generally dependent on the enabling technologies such as digital electronics and wireless communications, as well as the provisioning of Quality of Service (QoS) in the network. In traditional networks; Quality of Service mechanism provides the service parameter such as delay, bandwidth, and packets lost rate etc. QoS is defined as the network’s promise for the quality of user’s data transmission service. It can be classifiable for different grade of service and provide different transport capabilities. In the wireless sensor networks (WSN), the nodes of the network are not only to transmit data, but also need to take up the task of monitor the environment. The efficiency of its applications does not only rely upon the transmission ability but also the monitor ability. So, the QoS of the WSN rely on the specific application, such as the monitor ability of events, the covered area of network, the energy consumption of network [40].

The typical application of wireless sensor network is event monitoring. WSN need not only to monitor the temperature and humidity of the forests but also used to detect the fire at different places in the forest. If; the fire is detected, the data of event must be transmitted rapidly. This event requests high real-time performance and the WSN must have the QoS mechanism to satisfy the different grade of service. The research of WSN’s QoS is focused on the end-to-end real-time and reliability. When the event occurred, it can be detected and transmitted rapidly in with low-energy consumption. The QoS mechanism should improve the efficiency and reduce the energy consumption of sensor nodes to delay the network’s survival time. The improved mechanism is fit for the WSN with limited resources and it has the advantages such as less energy consumption, less computation amounts, less communication data etc [40].

WSN is complex network which consists of sensing, processing and communication. It is driven by various applications and highly requires new Quality of Service guarantees. The application target of WSN is to monitor events, and it pays more attention to the QoS of colony data packets. The QoS mechanism considers the different event delay requirements, and adjusts the bandwidth and event delay reasonably for each event [18].

1.1 Applications

Wireless sensor networks are deployed in different fields. There is very wide range of applications from which some of are mentioned in table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Application area</th>
<th>Sensed event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Accelerometer</td>
<td>AVM, SHM</td>
<td>2D and 3D acceleration of movements and objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Health care, Transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Acoustic emission sensor</td>
<td>SHM</td>
<td>Elastic waves generated by the energy released during propagation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Capacitance sensor</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Solute concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>Health care</td>
<td>Heart rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>Brain electrical activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>Muscle activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Electrical/electromagnetic sensors</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Electrical resistivity/conductivity capacitance or inductance affected by the composition of tested soil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

The human brain, which possesses an extraordinary ability to learn, memorize and generalize, is a dense network of over 10 billion neurons, each connected on average to about 10,000 other neurons. Each neuron receives signals through synapses, which control the effects of the signals on the neuron. These synaptic connections play an important role in the behavior of the brain. These findings have inspired modeling of biological neural systems by means of NNs [31] [45].

The three basic components of an artificial neuron shown in Figure 1 are:

1) The links that provide weights \( W_{ji} \), to the \( n \) inputs of \( j \)th neuron \( x_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \);

2) An aggregation function that sums the weighted inputs to compute the input to the activation function

\[
u_j = \Theta_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i W_{ji}\]

Where \( \Theta_j \) is the bias, which is a numerical value associated with the neuron. It is convenient to think of the bias as the weight for an input \( x_0 \) whose value is always equal to one, so that

\[
u_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n} x_i W_{ji};\]

3) An activation function \( \Psi \) that maps \( u_j \) to \( v_j = \Psi (u_j) \), the output value of the neuron. Some examples of the activation functions are: step, sigmoid, tan hyperbolic and Gaussian function [31].

Neural networks are made of basic units arranged in layers. A unit collects information provided by other units (or by the external world) to which it is connected with weighted connections called synapses. These weights, called synaptic weights multiply (i.e., amplify or attenuate) the input information. A positive weight is considered excitatory, while a negative weight is inhibitory [52].

Fig. 1 Structure of an artificial neuron [31]

Fig.2 basic neural network models

Each of these units is a simplified model of a neuron and transforms its input information into an output response. This transformation involves two steps: First, the activation of the neuron is computed as the weighted sum of its inputs, and second this activation is transformed into a response by using a transfer function [52].

Neural networks learn the facts represented by patterns and determine their inter-relationships. Learning is the process in which the weights of a NN are updated in order to discover patterns or features in the input data. Learning methods are generally classified into the two types: i) supervised learning and ii) unsupervised learning. In supervised learning, a teacher presents an input pattern and the corresponding target output. Network weights are adapted in such a way that the error is minimized. The objective of unsupervised learning is to discover patterns in the input data with no help from a teacher [31].

2.1 Unsupervised Learning

This is also known as competitive learning. During unsupervised learning ANN typically perform dimensionality reduction or pattern clustering. They are able to discover both
regularities and irregularities in the redundant input data by iterative process of adjusting weights of interconnections between a large numbers of simple computational units (called artificial neurons) [30].

2.1.1 Hebbian learning rule
The earliest and simplest learning rule for an unsupervised learning neural network is generally known as the Hebb rule [19]. Hebb proposed that learning occurs by modification of the synapse strength (weight) in a manner such that if two interconnected neurons are both “on” at the same time, then the weight between those neurons should be increased. The original statement only talks about neurons firing at the same time. However, a stronger form of learning occurs if we also increase the weights are both neurons “off” at the same time [33]. Hebbian learning rule state that the learning signal is equal to the neuron’s output. We have

\[ r = f(w^i, x) \]

Where \( r \) is the learning signal, \( x \) is the input vector, & \( w \) is the weight vector. Moreover the increment of the weight vector becomes

\[ \Delta w_i = c f(w^i, x)x \]

This learning rule requires the weight initialization at small random values around \( w_i = 0 \) prior to learning. The Hebbian learning rule represents a purely feed-forward, unsupervised learning neural network. The rule states that if the cross product of output and input, or correlation term \( o_i x_j \) is positive, this results in increase of weight \( w_{ij} \); otherwise the weight decreases [57].

2.1.2 Winner-take-all
It can only be demonstrated and explained for an ensemble of neurons, preferably arranged in a layer of \( p \) units. This rule is an example of competitive learning, and it is used for learning statistical properties of inputs. The learning is based on the premise that one of the neurons in the layer, say \( m^{th} \) has the maximum response due to input \( x \), as shown in figure. This neuron is declared as the winner [57]. As a result of this winning event, the weight vector \( w_a \) becomes:

\[ w_a = w_{a1} W_{a2} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots ..... (Please note the text is cut off here and not fully readable)
In [15] authors have presented an energy efficient solution based on wireless sensor networks for monitoring of the environment by traffic control. The algorithm is based on Fuzzy ART model of neural networks. Our system provides high dimensionality reduction when sending only the classified data and transferring only the new data in given time series. In this way, the system can be very energy efficient for monitoring of non frequent events.

2.1.5 ART1
ART1 [9] is designed to cluster binary input vectors, allowing for great variation in the number of nonzero components, and direct user control of the degree of similarity among patterns placed on the same cluster unit. The architecture of an ART1 net consists of two fields of units- the F1 units and the F2 (cluster) units-together with a reset unit to control the degree of similarity of patterns placed on the same cluster unit[33]. This network learns clusters in an unsupervised mode. The novel property of the ART1 is the controlled discovery of clusters. In addition, it can accommodate new clusters without affecting the storage or recall capabilities for clusters already learned. The network produce clusters by itself; such clusters are identified in input data, and store the clustering information about patterns or features without a priori. Essentially the network “follows the leader” after it originates the first cluster with the first input pattern received. It then creates the second cluster if the distance of the second pattern exceeds a certain threshold; otherwise the pattern is clustered with the first cluster. This process of pattern inspection followed by either new cluster origination or acceptance of the pattern to the old cluster is the main step of ART1 neural network [57].

The authors in [52] have described that the performance of WSNs strongly depends on their network lifetime. They have observed that the improvement in network varies according to the network topology. ART1 is better than ART and the improvement in lifetime in ART1 is consistently around 45%. The maximum network lifetime improvement is found to be 47%. This effectively improves the bandwidth of the communication channel and also reduces the energy consumption.

Fig.5 Basic Architecture of ART1 [58]

In [2], authors have focused on use of classification techniques using neural network to reduce the data traffic from the node and thereby reduce energy consumption. The sensor data is classified using ART1 Neural Network Model. Wireless sensor network populates distributed nodes. Directed diffusion routing protocol is implemented to carry out performance comparison. The paper discusses classification technique using ART1 neural network models. Lifetime improvement of the WSN is compared with and without classification using cooperative routing and diffusion routing.

In [58] paper, authors improve the network’s lifetime through the mechanism including a minimum cluster head separation distance, an ART1 based cluster head election, a cluster head rotation system and load balancing cost functions. Experimental result implemented in MATLAB shows that ART1 neural network increases the network’s lifetime by 60% when it is compared to the traditional LEACH algorithm. Consequently it enhances the quality of network.

2.1.6 ART2
ART2 [10] is designed to perform for continuous-valued input vectors the same type of task as ART1 does for binary valued input vectors. The differences between ART1 and ART2 reflect the modifications needed to accommodate patterns with continuous-valued components. The more complex F1 field of ART2 is necessary because continuous-valued input vectors may be arbitrarily close together. The F1 field in the ART2 includes a combination of normalization and noise suppression, in addition to the comparison of bottom-up and top-down signals needed for the reset mechanism. There are two types of continuous-valued inputs for which ART2 may be used. The first might be called “noise binary” signals. These consist of patterns whose information is conveyed primarily by which components are “on” or “virtually off,” rather than by the differences in the magnitude of the components that are positive. The equilibrium weights found by the fast learning mode are suitable for this type of data. However, it is not as easy to find equilibrium weights in ART2 as it is for ART1, because the differential equations for the learning progresses [33]. The scope of ART2 neural network is larger than others among ART theory. ART2 has many advantages. First, its training is self-organized and it has the capacity of studying without supervision. Second, it could identify patterns which have been studied before and adapt to new patterns. Third, it could identify the dynamic pattern [56].

In [22] authors have aimed at the severe energy and computing resource constraints of Wireless Sensor Network (WSN), based on rough set theory and ART2 network, a distributed data mining model for WSN is proposed. The input layer neuron and the first layer neuron are located in every cluster member, while the second layer neuron and the output layer neuron are located in every cluster head. The features of the training samples were extracted to build up the decision table; the rough set theory was applied to reduce the decision table. Finally, the reduced decision attributes were used to construct ART2 neural network classification data. Simulation results prove data dimension is reduced and data redundancy is eliminated after the raw-data is processed by data mining algorithm, and the communication traffic is decreased and the life of WSN is extended.

2.1.7 Counterpropagation networks
Counterpropagation networks [20], [21] are multilayer networks based on a combination of input, clustering, and output layers. Counterpropagation nets can be used to compress data, to approximate functions, or to associate patterns. It approximates its training input vector pairs by adaptively constructing a look-up table. A large number of training data points can be compressed to a more manageable number of look-up table entries. If the training data represent...
functions values, the net will approximate a function.

![Fig.6 This spider like diagram of the counter propagation network has five layers: two input layers (1 and 5), one hidden layer (3), and two output layers (2 and 4).](image)

A hetero-associative net is simply one interpretation of a function from a set of vectors (patterns) \( x \) to a set vectors \( y \). The accuracy of the approximation is determined by the number of entries in the look-up table, which equals the number of units in the cluster layer of the net. Counterpropagation nets are trained in two stages. During the first stage, the input vectors are clustered. During the second stage of training, the weights from the cluster units to the output units are adopted to produce the desired response. [33].

### 2.1.8 Hopfield networks

![Fig.7 Hopfield neural network](image)

Hopfield networks are constructed from artificial neurons. These artificial neurons have \( N \) inputs. With each input \( i \) there is a weight \( w_i \) associated. They also have an output. The state of the output is maintained, until the neuron is updated. Updating the neuron entails the following operations:

- The value of each input, \( x_i \) is determined and the weighted sum of all inputs, \( \sum w_i x_i \) is calculated.
- The output state of the neuron is set to +1 if the weighted input sum is larger or equal to 0. It is set to -1 if the weighted input sum is smaller than 0.
- A neuron retains its output state until it is updated again.

**Formula:**

\[
o = \{1 : \sum w_i x_i \geq 0 \text{ or } -1 : \sum w_i x_i \leq 0\}
\]

A Hopfield network is a network of \( N \) such artificial neurons, which are fully connected. The connection weight from neuron \( j \) to neuron \( i \) is given by a number \( w_{ij} \). The collection of all such numbers is represented by the weight matrix \( W \), whose components are \( w_{ij} \). Now given the weight matrix and the updating rule for neurons the dynamics of the network is defined if we tell in which order we update the neurons. There are two ways of updating them:

- **Asynchronous:** one picks one neuron, calculates the weighted input sum and updates immediately. This can be done in a fixed order, or neurons can be picked at random, which is called asynchronous random updating.

- **Synchronous:** the weighted input sums of all neurons are calculated without updating the neurons. Then all neurons are set to their new value, according to the value of their weighted input sum.

![Fig.8 A Hopfield network as an autoassociator](image)

Hopfield neural network has implemented for providing more secured communication in wireless sensor network. The authors have presented a key agreement scheme without the trusted third parties (TTP) by exploiting the special characteristics of Hopfield neural network. The main idea is to combine key agreement with the principle of convergence in Hopfield neural network. Experimental results shown that technique requires less memory and has lower communication overhead than the existing scheme [4].

### 2.1.9 Kohonen self-organizing maps (SOM)

Kohonen Self-Organizing Maps (or just Self-Organizing Maps, or or SOMs), are a type of neural network. They were developed in 1982 by Tuevo Kohonen, a professor emeritus of the Academy of Finland. Self-Organizing Maps are aptly named. “Self-Organizing” is because no supervision is required. SOMs learn by their own unsupervised competitive learning. “Maps” is because they attempt to map their weights to conform to the given input data. The nodes in a SOM network attempt to become like the inputs presented to them. In this sense, this is how they learn. They can also be called “Feature Maps”, as in Self-Organizing Feature Maps. Retaining principle 'features' of the input data is a fundamental principle of SOMs, and one of the things that makes them so valuable. Specifically, the topological relationships between input data are preserved when mapped to a SOM network. Self-organizing feature maps (SOFM) learn to classify input vectors according to how they are grouped in the input space. They differ from competitive layers in that neighbouring neurons in the self-organizing map learn to recognize neighbouring sections of the input space. Thus, self-organizing maps learn both the distribution (as do competitive layers) and topology of the input vectors they are trained on.

The self-organizing neural networks described in this section, also called topology-preserving maps, assume a topological structure among the cluster units. This property is observed in
the brain, but is not found in other artificial neural network. There are $m$ cluster units, arranged in a one- or two-dimensional array; the input signals are $n$-tuples [29].

The weight vector for a cluster unit serve as an exemplar of input patterns associated with that cluster. During the self-organization process, the cluster unit whose weight vector matches the input pattern most closely is chosen as the winner. The winning unit and its neighboring units update their weights. The weight vectors of neighboring units are not, in general, close to the input pattern. For example, for a linear array of cluster units, the neighborhood of radius $R$ around cluster unit $J$ consists of all units $j$ such that [33].

$$\max (1, J - R) \leq j \leq \min (J + R, m)$$

**Architecture**

Each node in the SOM is mapped to neuron in the neural network. The architecture of SOM is shown in the “Fig.9”. The neighborhood of the radii $R=2$, 1 and 0 are shown in the “Fig.10” for a rectangular grid and in “Fig.11” for hexagonal grid. In each illustration, the winning unit is indicated by the symbol “#” and the other units are denoted by “*”. Note that each unit has eight nearest neighbors in the rectangular grid, but only six in the hexagonal grid. Winning units that are close to the edge of the grid will have some neighborhoods that have fewer units than that shown in the respective figure.

In [27] authors have discussed the main concern in Wireless Sensor Networks is that how to handle with their limited energy resources. The performance of Wireless Sensor Networks strongly depends on their lifetime. This paper presents a new centralized adaptive Energy Based Clustering protocol through the application of Self organizing map neural networks (called EBC-S) which can cluster sensor nodes, based on multi parameters; energy level and coordinates of sensor nodes. We applied some maximum energy nodes as weights of SOM map units; so that the nodes with higher energy attract the nearest nodes with lower energy levels. Simulation results and comparison with previous protocols (LEACH and LEA2C) prove that our new algorithm is able to extend the lifetime of the network.

**2.2. Supervised Learning**

Supervised learning, at each instant of time, when the input is applied, the desired response of the neural network system is provided by the teacher. The distance between the actual and the desired output/response serves as an error measure; and is used to correct network parameters externally. The teacher may implement a reward-and-punishment scheme to adapt the network’s weight matrix. For instance, in learning classifications of input patterns or situations with known responses, the error can be used to modify weights so that the error decreases. This mode of learning is very pervasive. Supervised learning rewards accurate classifications or associations and punishes those which yield inaccurate responses. The teacher estimates the negative error gradient direction and reduces the error accordingly. In many situations, the inputs, outputs and the computed gradient are deterministic, however, the minimization of error proceeds over all its random realizations. As a result, most supervised learning algorithms reduce to stochastic minimization of error in multi-dimensional weight space [57].
2.2.1 Perceptron learning rule

Perceptrons [42] perhaps the most far-reaching impact of any of the early neural networks. The perceptron learning rule is more powerful learning rule than the hebb rule [33]. For the perceptron learning rule, the learning signal is the difference between the desired and actual neuron's response. Thus, learning [57] is supervised and the learning signal is given by

\[ r = d_i - o_i \]

Where, \( o_i \) is the actual output and \( o_i = \text{sgn}(w_i \cdot x) \), and \( d_i \) is the desired response. Weight adjustments in this method, \( \Delta w_i \), are obtained as follows

\[ \Delta w_i = c[d_i - \text{sgn}(w_i \cdot x)]x \]

![Fig. 12 Perceptron learning rule [57].](image)

2.2.2 Delta learning rule

The delta learning rule is only valid for continuous activation functions and in the supervised training mode [57]. The learning signal for this rule is called delta and is defined as follows

\[ r = [d_i - f'(w_i \cdot x)] f'(w_i \cdot x) \]

The term \( f'(w_i \cdot x) \) is the derivative of the activation function \( f \) (net) computed for net = \( w_i \cdot x \). This learning rule can be derived from the condition of least squared error between \( o_i \) and \( d_i \). Calculating the gradient vector with respect to \( w_i \), the squared error defined as

\[ E = \frac{1}{2}(d_i - o_i)^2 \]

For the single weight, the adjustment becomes

\[ \Delta w_i = \eta(d_i - o_i)f'(\text{net}_i)x_j, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]

![Fig. 13 Delta learning rule [57].](image)

2.2.3 Error back propagation

After an input pattern has been applied as a two-phase propagates-adapt cycle. After an input pattern has been applied as a stimulus to the first layer of network units, it is propagated through each upper layer unit an output is generated. This output pattern is then compared to the desired output, and an error signal is compared for each output unit. The error signals are then transmitted backward from the output layer to each node in the intermediate layer.

![Fig. 14 Error back propagation](image)
parallelism of neural networks but also the hops of the nodes, so that it is simple and easy to achieve in the hardware. The simulation shows the effectiveness of using virtual nodes to find sub-anchors, and indicates that sub-anchors and virtual nodes based on BP neural network could greatly improve the accuracy of the unknown nodes and reduce the costs of WSN.

3. NEURAL NETWORK BASED ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

The main concern in wireless sensor networks is how to handle with their limited energy resources. The performance of wireless sensor networks strongly depends on their lifetime. Neural Networks are not energy conservation methods and cannot independently help to conserve energy but they can help energy conservation methods as intelligent tools to work in more efficient, desirable and easier way. So the energy conservation methods are the same previous methods which can use neural network as a tool to better approach to their goals [37].

3.1 Energy efficient path discovery

The Self-Organizing Map (SOM) is an unsupervised neural network structure consists of neurons organized on a regular low dimensional grid [53].

In [48] authors proposed an intelligent method based on Self Organizing Map neural networks that optimize the routing in the terms of energy conservation and computation power of each node. This algorithm has been designed for a wireless sensor node called MODABER. The assumption is that every node has an importance due to its role in routing so that the nodes which are used more than other nodes in routing have more importance due to their positions. They defined a Network Life Time (NLT) parameter which is sum of the nodes importance in routing at time t and the amount of energy consumption of node for routing. They used a self-organizing neural network to decide for every node containing the data packet and participate in routing or dropping the packet. The Self Organizing Map (SOM) learning algorithm is used for training of neural network.

As soon as a packet arrives, its feature vector will be extracted and this vector is sent to self organizing NN of that node as input. The goal is to maximize NLT parameter. After winning of node in competition against other nodes, it is allowed to send the packet and participate in routing. Otherwise it should drop the packet. Since the learning algorithms of SOMs generally obey from linear computations, they believe that this method can be efficient to wireless nodes due to their limited computation and energy powers [37].

SIR [7] is another QoS-driven SOM based routing protocol in which a SOM neural network is introduced in every node to manage the routes that data have to follow. They proved that the inclusion of AI techniques (e.g. neural networks) in wireless sensor networks is useful tools to improve network performances.

Usually a wireless sensor network life-time ends by having a single sensor node which uses all its power while other sensors have a significant amount of remaining power. The node which is in the routing path of many nodes to the base station is called a hotspot. In order to predict hotspots in a WSN, Authors in [24] defined a set of attributes for each sensor which were used as the inputs of our 3-layered back propagation neural network. These attributes belong to one wireless sensor node and by using them as the inputs of the neural network. They can predict the power level of the sensor at the end of WSN’s lifetime. The predicted hotspots are then used in an Agent-based WSN route discovery and task management [37].

![Fig. 15 Back Propagation neural network applied in [24] to predict the final power level of the node.](image)

3.2 Energy efficient node clustering

WSN has been divided into clusters; the communication between nodes can be intra-cluster or inter-cluster. Intra-cluster communication comprises the message exchanges between the participating nodes and the CH. Inter-cluster communications includes the transmission of messages between the CHs or between the CH and the BS. In all the cluster-based protocols we can identify three main phases during the clustering establishment process:

(a) Cluster head election,
(b) Cluster formation (set-up phase),
(c) Data transmission phase (steady-state phase) [13].

Cluster based routing are the most frequently used energy efficient routing protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks which avoid single gateway architecture through dividing of network nodes into several clusters while cluster head of each cluster play the role of a local base station[37]. The authors in [5] used Kohonen SOM neural networks for clustering and their analysis to study unpredictable behaviors of network parameters and applications. Clustering of sensor nodes using Kohonen Self Organizing Map (K SOM) is computed for various numbers of nodes by taking different parameters of sensor node such as direction, position, number of hops, energy levels, sensitivity, latency, etc.

SOM is an excellent tool for clustering of Wireless Sensor Networks because it is able to reduce dimensions of multi-dimensional input data and visualize the clusters into a map. Energy Based Clustering Self organizing map (EBC-S) [37] is a new topologic energy based clustering method through using Self Organizing Map neural networks which can efficiently extend the network lifetime and network coverage.
In paper [60], authors have clustered the sensor environmental data taken temperature as parameter. There are four clusters are created through which one cluster has been selected for transfer of data towards the base station. The clusters are shown in figure represented in different colors.

Kohonen’s Self-Organization Map (SOM) neural network algorithm has been efficiently used for data clustering; that learns to classify data without any supervision i.e. in unsupervised learning mode. Authors have analyzed and reduced the real data to make the network less bulky, communication gets faster as due to lager volume of data is get reduced, and end-to-end delay and power consumption of communication network also gets lowered.

3.3 Cluster head selection

The authors in [14] have proposed a new LEACH like routing protocol in which the election of Cluster Heads is done with SOM neural networks where SOM inputs are intended parameters for cluster heads. SOM cluster the nodes according to their cluster head qualities. However a minimum separation filter should be applied on SOM output then to ensure a minimum separation distance between selected CHs in figures 17. The simulation Results show a 57% profit of this protocol over LEACH (in the terms of first dead time) as shown in table II and table III as follows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>LEACH Algorithm with ART1 neural network (high vigilance)</th>
<th>LEACH Algorithm with ART1 neural network (low vigilance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FND/seconds</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNA/seconds</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitted packets</td>
<td>90504</td>
<td>101400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclusters</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Data aggregation/fusion

One of important issues of data fusion of WSNs is necessity of using an intelligent system which can fuse heterogeneous data obtained from different sources, accurately, automatically and efficiently. Data fusion can reduce the size of data. Moreover Even if the data had been affected by noise or intentional manipulating, data fusion method must be able to classify and identify the data. Sensor data fusion is a certain requirement of target detection and tracking applications in WSNs. One comprehensive survey study on sensor fusion approaches in target tracking is presented in [Smith and Singh (2006)]. One of the intelligent tools for data fusion is Neural Network [37].

A neural network method based on Hopfield structure proposed in [55] for this problem which always finds the optimal solution in 17.4 percent of the times and finds a way that approximate the proper solution in remained time.

One of the earliest applications of neural networks multi-sensor data fusion for identification was in [12] which applied a Back Propagation neural network. In a Back Propagation Neural Network, the data is given to the network and the difference between the input and output is calculated. Weights are changed to improve the result [37].

3.5 Mobile data association

The mobile sensor data association in target tracking is one of most important techniques for WSN. The main issue in data association tracking algorithms is to partition the sensor data into sets of observations produced by the same target, and the other one is to avoid the couple effect exists between the
mobile sensors for the same target. Data Association Algorithms (DAAs) consist of three parts: acquiring, processing and combining. Mobile sensor tracking with DAA is a prerequisite step for mobile sensor surveillance systems over WSN deployment [17] [37].

Neural network approaches based on Hopfield Neural networks (HNN) have been proposed in [46] to solve this problem. HNNs which take weighted objective cost and constraints into an overall energy function are employed to combine with the neural network approaches to work out good tracking results. The difficulty for applying this method in DAA was that the determination of weight values was too difficult and it usually fell into irrational results [37].

In [17] the authors tried to take advantages of HNN so they improved the Competitive Hopfield Neural Networks (CHNN) algorithm which already had been applied in image processing applications. CHNN method can solve the above said problems by artfully managing of the weight updating function and the cost measurements. CHNN is an improved HNN in which a decision is made cooperatively [37].

The competitive updating scheme of weights can solve the problem of determination of weight values, guarantee the convergence into a stable solution and avoid from falling into irrational solutions [37].

### 3.6 Context/Data classification

Sensor nodes in an area usually form a sensing cluster and work together in a distributed and parallel way similarly to a layer of neurons. The data from all member sensors of a sensing cluster are from the same context but they are different because every sensor has a different point of view due to its different condition e.g. its position toward the event. Therefore these different data of cluster nodes have to be compressed and fused by in-network processing techniques [37].

In [38] a SOM neural network has been used for reduction and classification of similar patterns. They used SOM in hierarchical (cluster based) network architecture in which the nodes are organizes in several clusters with a cluster head or fusion centers. While reducing the amount of data to be transmitted, the SOM performs clustering of similar patterns. This characteristic enables the determination of relations between patterns which leads to their classification. This method can be applied in an event driven applications in which SOM can classify the event and increase the reliability of the decision [37].

In [35] researchers focused on using classification methods based on ART1 neural networks with the goal of reducing data traffic of node resulting in energy conservation. Sensor data which have too much redundancy, first, have to be classified by neural network in each node. Then, classified data were sent. In this way communication bandwidth increased efficiently [37].

### 3.7 Data prediction

New sensing methods with energy efficiency through prediction of sensor measurements have shown great ability in reducing communications in sensor networks. In these methods, sink node extract model of time series to predict local readings instead of communicate with sensor nodes and receive actual measurements which consume too much energy [37].

The authors in [49] used neural networks to schedule duty cycling of sensor nodes by event prediction. They proposed a neural method to decide which nodes and when have to be woken through prediction of the occurrence time of next event. The authors used neural network is a three layered Back Propagation which uses Morlet Wavelet transform at hidden layer. The nodes which are at deeper sleep, consumes more energy to wake up. So state of the nodes can be determined with prediction of time series of next event and by defining a threshold relative to remained energy of nodes and comparing of those with each other [37].

In [49] methods of neural networks i.e. unsupervised and supervised, are implemented in wireless sensor network for enhancement of Quality of Services (QoS). QoS is basically focused on the end-to-end real-time and reliability, entirety sensor covered area in the wireless sensor network which is used to detect the events. Whenever the event occurs, it can be detected and transmitted rapidly into the WSN with low-energy consumption. The QoS mechanism should improve the network communication efficiency and reduce the energy consumption of sensor nodes to delay the network’s survival time. Supervised learning neural networks algorithms like perceptron model, error back propagation work efficiently and effectively for the localization of sensor network and hence reduction in cost of sensor networks. On the other hand, unsupervised learning neural networks like ART, Fuzzy ART, ART1 and ART2 work at greater extent to improve the QoS mechanism which is fit for the WSN with limited resources; and it has the advantages such as less energy consumption, less computation amounts, less communication data etc. Self Organizing Map (SOM) neural network is very effective for the energy efficiency up-to a greater extent. SOM is also excellent in path discovery, node clustering, cluster head selection, data fusion, and context/data classification. Error back propagation (EBP) also gives better results in path discovery, data fusion and data prediction. ART1 is very efficient in context/data classification. Hopfield network works very well in mobile data association. However there is a good future scope in many neural network methods like winner-take-all, perceptron learning, ART, FUZZY ART, ART1, ART2 learning approaches to be used in energy conservation of wireless sensor network. So we can say that artificial neural networks play a very important role to improve the QoS parameters in WSNs.
5. REFERENCES


