Strong Convergence Results for Fixed Point Iterations in Convex Metric Spaces
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ABSTRACT
In this paper, we prove strong convergence results for some Jungck type iterative schemes in Convex metric spaces for a pair of non-selfmappings using a certain contractive condition. Our results generalize existing results in the literature.
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1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES
In 1970, Takahashi [16] introduced the notion of convex metric space and studied the fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings. He defined that a map $W: X \times [0,1] \to X$ is a convex structure in $X$ if

$$d(u,W(x,y,\lambda)) \leq \lambda d(u,x) + (1-\lambda)d(u,y)$$

for all $x, y, u \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$. A metric space $(X,d)$ together with a convex structure $W$ is known as convex metric space and is denoted by $(X,d,W)$. A nonempty subset $C$ of a convex metric space is convex if $W(x,y,\lambda) \in C$ for all $x, y \in C$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

Remark 1.1 Every normed space is a convex metric space, where a convex structure

$$W(x,y,z;\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0,1]$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$. In fact,

$$d(u,W(x,y,z;\alpha,\beta,\gamma)) = \|u - (\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z)\| \\
\leq \alpha \|u - z\| + \beta \|u - y\| + \gamma \|u - z\| \\
= \alpha d(u,x) + \beta d(u,y) + \gamma d(u,z),$$

for all $u \in X$. But there exists some convex metric spaces which cannot be embedded into normed spaces.

Let $X$ be a Banach space, $Y$ an arbitrary set, and $S,T: Y \to X$ such that $T(Y) \subseteq S(Y)$. For $x_0 \in Y$, consider the following iterative scheme:

$$Sx_{n+1} = Tx_n, \quad n = 0,1,2,... \quad (1.1)$$

is called Jungck iterative scheme and was essentially introduced by Jungck [1] in 1976 and it becomes the Picard iterative scheme when $S = I_Y$ (identity mapping) and $Y = X$.

$\alpha_n \in [0,1]$, Singh et al. [2] defined the Jungck-Mann iterative scheme as

$$Sx_{n+1} = (1-\alpha_n)Sx_n + \alpha_nTx_n, \quad n = 0,1,2,... \quad (1.2)$$

For $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in [0,1]$, Olatinwo defined the Jungck Ishikawa [3] (see also [4, 5]) and Jungck-Noor [6] iterative schemes as

$$Sx_{n+1} = (1-\alpha_n)Sx_n + \alpha_nTy_n, \quad n = 0,1,2,... \quad (1.3)$$

$$Sx_{n+1} = (1-\beta_n)Sx_n + \beta_nTz_n, \quad n = 0,1,2,... \quad (1.4)$$

respectively.

Chugh and Kumar [7] defined the Jungck-SP iterative scheme as

$$Sx_{n+1} = (1-\alpha_n)Sx_n + \alpha_nTy_n, \quad n = 0,1,2,...$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, and $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are sequences in $[0,1]$.

Remark 1.2 If $X = Y$ and $S = I_Y$ (identity mapping), then the Jungck-SP (1.5), Jungck-Noor (1.4), Jungck-Ishikawa (1.3), and the Jungck-Mann (1.2) iterative schemes, respectively, become the SP [8], Noor [9], Ishikawa [10] and the Mann [11] iterative schemes.

Jungck [1] used the iterative scheme (1.1) to approximate the common fixed points of the mappings $S$ and $T$ satisfying the following Jungck contraction:

$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq d(Sx,Sy), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (1.6)$$

Olatinwo [3] used the following more general contractive definition than (1.6) to prove the stability and strong convergence results for the Jungck-Ishikawa iteration process: there exists a real number $a \in (0,1)$ and a monotone increasing function $\phi: R^+ \to R^+$ such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and for all $x, y \in Y$, we have

$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq \alpha d(Sx,Tx) + \phi d(Sx,Sy). \quad (1.7)$$

Olatinwo [6] used the convergences of Jungck-Noor iterative scheme (1.4) to approximate the coincidence points (not common fixed points) of some pairs of generalized contractive like operators with the assumption that one of each of the pairs of maps is injective.
Motivated by the above facts, for \( \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \in [0,1] \), Chugh et al. [17] introduce the following iterative scheme:

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{x_{n+1}} &= (1-\alpha_n)S_{y_n} + \alpha_n T_{y_n}, \\
S_{y_n} &= (1-\beta_n)T_{x_n} + \beta_n T_{z_n}, \\
S_{z_n} &= (1-\gamma_n)S_{x_n} + \gamma_n T_{x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots 
\end{align*}
\]

and called it Jungck-CR iterative scheme.

**Remark 1.3.** Putting \( \alpha_n = 0 \) and \( \alpha_n = 0, \beta_n = 1 \)
in Jungck-CR iterative scheme, we get Jungck versions of Agarwal et al. [12] and Sahu and Petrusel [13] iterative schemes, respectively, as defined below:

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{x_{n+1}} &= (1-\beta_n)T_{x_n} + \beta_n T_{y_n}, \\
S_{y_n} &= (1-\gamma_n)S_{x_n} + \gamma_n T_{x_n}, \\
S_{x_n} &= T_{y_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots 
\end{align*}
\]

Now we give the above iterative schemes in the setting of convex metric spaces:

Let \((X,d,W)\) be a convex metric spaces. For \( x_0 \in X \), we have

1. **Jungck Picard iterative scheme:**

\[ S_{x_{n+1}} = T_{x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

2. **Jungck Mann iterative scheme:**

\[ S_{x_{n+1}} = W(S_{x_n},T_{x_n},\alpha_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is a real sequence in \([0,1]\).

3. **Jungck Ishikawa iterative scheme:**

\[ S_{x_{n+1}} = W(S_{x_n},T_{x_n},\alpha_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) are real sequences in \([0,1]\).

4. **Jungck Noor iterative scheme:**

\[ S_{x_{n+1}} = W(S_{x_n},T_{y_n},\alpha_n), \]

\[ S_{y_n} = W(S_{x_n},T_{z_n},\beta_n), \]

\[ S_{z_n} = W(S_{x_n},T_{x_n},\gamma_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) are real sequences in \([0,1]\).

5. **Jungck Agarwal iterative scheme:**

\[ S_{x_{n+1}} = W(T_{x_n},T_{x_n},\alpha_n), \]

\[ S_{y_n} = W(S_{x_n},T_{x_n},\beta_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

where \( \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) are sequences of positive numbers in \([0,1]\).

\[ \{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \] are sequences of positive numbers in \([0,1]\).

\[ \{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \] are sequences in \([0,1]\).

Now we give our main results:

**2. CONVERGENCE RESULTS**

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \((X,d,W)\) be an arbitrary Convex metric space and let \(S, T : Y \to X\) be nonself -operators on an arbitrary set \(Y\) satisfying contractive condition (1.7). Assume that \(T(Y) \subseteq S(Y), \quad S(Y)\) is a complete subspace of \(X\) and \(S = T = \phi\) (say). For \(x_0 \in Y\), let \(\{S_{x_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\) be the Jungck CR iteration defined by (1.1.7), where \(\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}\) are sequences of positive numbers in \([0,1]\) with \(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty\). Then, the Jungck-CR iterative process \(\{S_{x_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\) converges strongly to \(p\). Also, \(p\) will be the unique common fixed point of \(S\) and \(T\) provided that \(Y = X\), and \(S\) and \(T\) are weakly compatible.

**Proof.** First, we prove that \(p\) is the unique common fixed point of \(S\) and \(T\). Let there exist another point of coincidence say \(p^*\). Then, there exists \(q^* \in X\) such that \(S q^* = T q^* = p^*\). But from (1.7), we have

\[ 0 \leq d(p,p^*) = d(Tq^*,Tq^*) \leq \varphi d(Sq^*,Sq^*) + ad(Sq^*,Sq^*) = ad(p,p^*), \]

which implies that \(p = p^*\) as \(0 \leq \alpha < 1\).

Now, as \(S\) and \(T\) are weakly compatible and \(p = Tq = Sq\), so \(Tp = TTq = TSq = STq\) and hence \(Tp = Sp\). Therefore, \(Tp\) is a point of coincidence of \(S, T\) and since the point of coincidence is unique then \(p = Tp\). Thus, \(Tp = Sp = p\), and therefore \(p\) is unique common fixed point of \(S\) and \(T\).
Now we prove that Jungck-CR iterative process \( \{S_{nx}\}_{n=0}^\infty \) converges strongly to \( p \).

Using (1.1.7) and condition (1.7), we have

\[
d(S_{nx},p) = d(W(S_{nx},Ty_n,\alpha_n)p)
\leq (1-\alpha_n)d(S_{nx},p) + \alpha_n d(Ty_n,p)
= (1-\alpha_n)d(S_{nx},p) + \alpha_n d(Tz,Ty_n)
\leq (1-\alpha_n)d(S_{nx},p) + \alpha_n \{d(Sz,Tz) + a d(Sz,Sx)\}
= (1-\alpha_n)d(S_{nx},p) + \alpha_n a d(S_{nx},p)
= [1-\alpha_n(1-a)]d(S_{nx},p).
\]

(2.1.1)

For \( d(S_{ny},p) \), we have

\[
d(S_{ny},p) = d(W(Ty_n,Tz_n,\beta_n)p)
\leq (1-\beta_n)d(Ty_n,p) + \beta_n d(Tz_n,p)
\leq (1-\beta_n)d(Ty_n,Tz) + \beta_n d(Tz_n,Tz)
\leq (1-\beta_n)\{d(Sz,Tz) + a d(Sz,Sx)\} + \beta_n \{d(Sz,Tz) + a d(Sz,Sx)\}
\leq (1-\beta_n)a d(S_{nx},p) + \beta_n a d(S_{ny},p),
\]

(2.1.2)

\[
d(S_{nz},p) = d(W(S_{nx},Ty_n,\gamma_n)p)
\leq (1-\gamma_n)d(S_{nx},p) + \gamma_n d(Ty_n,p)
\leq (1-\gamma_n)d(S_{nx},p) + \gamma_n d(Ty_n,Tz_n)
\leq (1-\gamma_n)d(S_{nx},p) + \gamma_n \{d(Sz,Tz) + a d(Sz,Sx)\}
= (1-\gamma_n(1-a))d(S_{nx},p).
\]

(2.1.3)

It follows from (2.1.3) that

\[
d(S_{ny},p) \leq (1-\beta_n)a d(S_{nx},p) + \beta_n a(1-\gamma_n(1-a))d(S_{ny},p).
\]

(2.1.4)

Using \( (1-\beta_n)a \leq (1-\beta_n) \) and \( \beta_n a(1-\gamma_n(1-a)) \leq \beta_n a \), inequality (2.1.4) yields

\[
d(S_{ny},p) \leq (1-\beta_n)(1-a)d(S_{nx},p)
\]

(2.1.5)

From (2.1.5) and (2.1.1), we get

\[
d(S_{nx},p) \leq [1-\alpha_n(1-a)]d(S_{nx},p)
\leq [1-\alpha_n(1-a)]d(S_{nx},p)
\leq \prod_{i=0}^{n}[1-\alpha_i(1-a)]d(S_{nx},p)
\leq e^{-\alpha_0\sum_{i=0}^{n}\alpha_i}d(S_{nx},p).
\]

(2.1.6)

Since \( \alpha_0 \in [0,1], 0 \leq a < 1 \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\alpha_i = \infty \), so

\[
e^{-\alpha_0\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\alpha_i}d(S_{nx},p) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]

Hence from equation (2.1.6) we get, \( d(S_{nx},p) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \), that is \( \{S_{nx}\}_{n=0}^\infty \) converges strongly to \( p \).

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \((X,d,W)\) be an arbitrary Convex metric space and let \( S,T : Y \to X \) be nonself –operators on an arbitrary set \( Y \) satisfying contractive condition (1.7). Assume that \( T(Y) \subseteq S(Y), S(Y) \) is a complete subspace of \( X \) and \( Sx = S = p \) (say). For \( \alpha_n \in Y \), let \( \{S_{nx}\}_{n=0}^\infty \) be the iteration defined by (1.1.5), where \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \) are sequences of positive numbers in \([0,1]\) with \( \{\alpha_n\} \) satisfying \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n = \infty \). Then, the iterative process \( \{S_{nx}\}_{n=0}^\infty \) converges strongly to \( p \). Also, \( p \) will be the unique common fixed point of \( S,T \) provided that \( Y \subseteq X \) and \( S \) and \( T \) are weakly compatible.

**Proof:** Putting \( \alpha_0 = 0 \) and \( \beta_n = \alpha_n \), in iterative scheme (1.1.7), convergence of iterative scheme (1.1.5) can be proved on the same lines as in Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \((X,d,W)\) be an arbitrary Convex metric space and let \( S,T : Y \to X \) be nonself –operators on an arbitrary set \( Y \) satisfying contractive condition (1.7). Assume that \( T(Y) \subseteq S(Y), S(Y) \) is a complete subspace of \( X \) and \( Sx = S = p \) (say). For \( \alpha_n \in Y \), let \( \{S_{nx}\}_{n=0}^\infty \) be the Jungck-S iteration defined by (1.10), where \( \{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\} \) are sequences of positive numbers in \([0,1]\) with \( \{\alpha_n\} \) satisfying \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_n = \infty \). Then, the Jungck-S iterative process \( \{S_{nx}\}_{n=0}^\infty \) converges strongly to \( p \). Also, \( p \) will be the unique common fixed point of \( S,T \) provided that \( Y \subseteq X \) and \( S \) and \( T \) are weakly compatible.

**Proof:** Putting \( \alpha_0 = 0 \) and \( \gamma_n = \alpha_n, \beta_n = 1 \), in iterative scheme (1.1.7), convergence of iterative scheme (1.10) can be proved on the same lines as in Theorem 2.1.
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