ABSTRACT
In this study, we present a measurement-based model for path loss prediction in three GSM service areas at 900 MHz. Modified Hata model for rural, suburban, and urban environments were derived in this study on the basis of experimental path loss measurements with the use of least square method. The models developed predicted with reasonable accuracy the path loss of radio networks investigated with a root mean square error of < 6 dB. The developed model can be useful in network planning and optimization for the environments taken as case study for the investigation, as well as any macro- and microcell environments which is similar to the environment considered in this research.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Radio propagation path loss model is an important tool that characterizes the quality of mobile communication, determines effective radio coverage, as well as network optimization. The path loss models also predict to a high level of accuracy the true signal strength reliability of the network and the quality of coverage [1-3]. The accuracy of propagation path loss model helps to determine the optimum base station location and with appropriate propagation path loss model, the coverage area of a mobile communication system, the signal-to-noise ratio, as well as the carrier-to-interference ratio can be easily determined [4-6].

In early 21st century Tanzania witnessed a multitude of mobile phone users (Fig. 1), the more user means the cellular network must be large enough to accommodate the mobile user and hence more base station needed to solve this problem of capacity [7, 8]. To determine the optimum base station location to build the tower the accuracy of pathloss prediction model play a vital role in design to determine the coverage and to reduce the interference between the neighbors. Early eighties of the 20th century, a series investigation like the one discussed in this study have already been carried out and different propagation models as well as model performance established in those countries. Hence, this study may seem superficial to the developed world.

Nevertheless, in Tanzania where this study was carried out, the advent of modern radio mobile communication such as the Global System for Mobile communication (GSM) began its commercial operation in 2000 and yet there is any finding about suitability of the existing models. This makes this study new to our environment and will be of interest and of importance to the Tanzania community. This can be supported due to, the consumer’s satisfaction in some part of the country is not yet there. A lot of complaints such as poor quality of service, frequent call drops, echo during radio conversation, poor interconnectivity to and from other licensed networks, distortions and network congestions among other factors are disturbing issues that need to be solved. It is however, a known fact that the quality of radio coverage of any wireless network designed depends on the accuracy of the propagation model on which, the network was built. The accuracy of the model can be predicted from real-time measurement exhaustively taken from the service area, in which the network design will be deployed. Thus, the true signal strength reliability of a radio network depends on real-time measurement in the service area and the accuracy of the radio propagation model [9].

The generalization of these models, to any environment, is suitable for either particular areas (urban, suburbs and rural), or specific cell radius (macrocell, microcell, picocell) depending on the diversity of environment where mobile communications occur. In general, there is a relationship between these models and types of environments for which they are suitable.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS
The experimental data were taken in several areas in Dar es Salaam around Kigamboni, Mbagala and Posta city. The presence of leaves were noted in rural and urban due to existence of trees in these areas and for suburban few trees were noted and hence there not much of leaves, all of this factor account for signal attenuation and variation. The base antenna heights were in the range from 30 to 46 m with reference to ground level.

The base antenna transmitted continuous wave (CW) signals with a direction azimuth pattern and variable gain depends on operating frequency, for operating frequency of 806–866 MHz its gain 17dBi for operating frequency of 824–894 MHz its gain 17.2dBi, and for operating frequency of 880–960 MHz its gain 17.5dBi. The mobile antenna placed at 1-m height with respect to ground on a test van and had an omnidirectional azimuth pattern and gain of 0dBi.
Through a drive test campaign the received power level were recorded, as the van moved through the environment, TEMS software which were installed in computer recorded averaging power, presence of fast fading due to multipath was averaged yielding estimates of local mean power of the signal at a distance.

Drive Testing is a method of measuring and assessing the coverage, capacity and Quality of Service (QoS) of a mobile radio network [11]. The data collected by drive test tool in form of Log files are assessed to evaluate the various RF parameters of the network. The technique consists of using a motor vehicle containing mobile radio network air interface measurement equipment that can detect and record a wide variety of the physical and virtual parameters of mobile cellular service in a given geographical area by measuring what a wireless network subscriber would experience in any specific area especially for this case a received power level. Drive test equipment typically collects data relating to the network itself, services running on the network such as voice or data services, radio frequency scanner information and GPS information to provide location logging[12]

3. MODEL ADJUSTMENTS

The model makes use of four input parameters: the carrier frequency $f$ in MHz, the BTS antenna height ($h_{B}$) in m, the MS antenna height ($h_{P}$) in m and the distance of separation between the BTS and the MS (d) in km. The basic form of allowable path loss by the Okumura Hata [13, 14] model for an urban area is given in Equation 1, for a valid frequency range from 150 to 1500MHz:

$$P_{loss} = 69.55 + 26.16 \log(f) - 13.82 \log(h_{P}) - a(h_{P}) + (44.9 - 6.55 \log(h_{P})) \log(d)$$

where $a(h_{P})$ is mobile station (MS) antenna height correction factor that depends on the type of environment.

For medium cities to small cities Hata [13, 14] is re-written as in Equation 1.

$$P_{loss}(urban) = 69.55 + 26.16 \log(f) - 13.82 \log(h_{P}) - a(h_{P}) + (44.9 - 6.55 \log(h_{P})) \log(d)$$

(2)

$$a(h_{P}) = (1.1 \log(f) - 0.7) h_{P} - (1.56 \log(f) - 0.8)$$

(3)

For Rural environment Hata [13, 14] is written as in equation 4

$$P_{loss}(rural) = P_{loss}(urban) - 4.78(\log(10(f)))^2 + 18.33 \log(10(f)) - 40.94$$

(4)

For Suburban environment Hata [13, 14] is written as in equation 5

$$P_{loss}(suburban) = P_{loss}(urban) - 2(\log(10(f/28)))^2 - 5.4$$

(5)

Generally the Hata model can be written as equation 6

$$P_{loss}(dB) = A + A_{f}(f) + A_{h}(h_{P}) - a(h_{P}) + B_{1} - B_{2} f(h_{P}) \log(d) - k(f)$$

(6)

where $A_{f}$ and $B_{1}$ are model adjustable parameters for the Hata model, d is the link distance, $f$ is the frequency in MHz, $h_{P}$ is the effective height of the transmitting antenna in meters, $h_{P}$ is the effective height of the receiving antenna in meters.

The parameters ($A_{f}$ and $B_{1}$) in equation 6 are adjusted using Linear Least Square method. The accuracy of the optimized Hata models in different environments depend on the proper adjustment of these parameters and the results are presented in Table (1). For a given route $f$, $h_{P}$ and $h_{B}$ are the constant values, equation 6 can be modified and written as

$$P_{loss}(dB) = a_{f} + a_{h} + b_{f} \log(d)$$

(7)

where $a_{f}$ and $b_{f}$ are values added in classical Hata model and are considered as the optimizer parameter to modified Hata model. Given a pathloss model equation is in a form $P_{loss}(dB) = A + B \log(d) = (a_{f} + a_{h}) + (b_{f} + b_{h}) \log(d)$ gives the minimum error compared to the measured data.

where $A = a_{h} + a_{f}$, and $B = b_{f} + b_{h}$

By using least square algorithm start in equation 10 the value of A and B will be found

$$R(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( P_{m} - P(d_{i}) \right)^{2}$$

(8)

$$P(d_{i}) = A + B \log(d_{i})$$

(9)

Where $P_{m}$ is the measured path loss at position i in dB, $n$ is the number of the measurement sample set. To fulfil the least square [15] condition that optimizes A and B, all the partial derivatives of the $R(A, B)$ function must equal to zero (Equation 8) that yields to other equation which are given as follows:

$$\frac{\partial R(A, B)}{\partial A} = 0$$

(10)

$$\frac{\partial R(A, B)}{\partial B} = 0$$

The value of A and B solved with use of simple simultaneous equation given as follow:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
A \\
B 
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(d_{i}) \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(d_{i}) \log(d_{i})
\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{m} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{m} \log(d_{i})
\end{bmatrix}$$

(11)

From the matrix equation in equation 11 the solution for A and B are

$$A = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{m} - B \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(d_{i})}{n}$$

(12)

$$B = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{m} \log(d_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{m} \sum \log(d_{i})}{n \sum \log(d_{i}) \log(d_{i}) - (\sum \log(d_{i}))^{2}}$$

(13)

Knowing the value of A and B, the optimization value can be calculated as follow

$$a_{f} = A - a_{h}$$

(14)

$$b_{f} = B - b_{h}$$

(15)

The optimized Hata model is validated by applying it to the experiment areas in order to obtain accurate predictions closer...
to the field measurements for these areas. The performance of the optimized Hata model is compared with the measured data, and that of the classical Hata model is compared with the measured data hence the values of root mean square error (RMSE) was obtained respectively from each test. The result is shown in Table (2) for RMSE for the classical Hata model and Optimized Hata model.

The results in Table (2), show the RMSE of the adjusted model and classical Hata model. The optimized Hata models showed better agreement with the measured values, since its RMSE is within the acceptable value up to 6dB[16]. Figure (4)-(6) shows the comparison between the optimized Hata model and classical Hata model in the prediction of pathloss for three environments.

Table 1: Parameter of Hata model before and after adjusted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Before adjustment</th>
<th>After adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Suburban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_f$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_f$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>69.55</td>
<td>69.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_1$</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td>26.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_3$</td>
<td>-13.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_2$</td>
<td>-6.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Statistical RMSE of Optimized Hata and classical Hata model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Classical Hata Model</th>
<th>Optimized Hata model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>15.79</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>24.22</td>
<td>6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>36.74</td>
<td>5.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Path loss comparison of Hata model before and after model adjustment for urban area
Optimized Hata model which will be suitable for pathloss prediction in Dar es Salaam and all areas which resemble to Dar es Salaam are developed in this paper, and the model equation is shown in the equation below.

For Dar es Salaam urban city the optimized Hata model is written:

\[
P_{\text{loss}}(\text{dB}) = 82.75 + 26.16 \log(f) - 13.82 \log(h) + a(h) + (41.9 - 6.55 \log(h)) \log(d)
\]

where \( a(h) \) is mobile station(MS) antenna height correction factor which is the same applicable for equation 16, 17 and 18, \( f \) is the frequency in MHz, \( h_m \) is the mobile antenna height, \( d \) is the distance between base station and mobile station, and \( h_b \) is the base station height.

4. CONCLUSION

From the measured data in Dar es Salaam Hata model was adjusted and the optimized Hata model shows the high accuracy of pathloss prediction comparing to the classical Hata model.

The modified Hata model developed in this paper will be recommended as the accuracy path loss prediction formula specifically to Dar es Salaam and its environ also environment which related to Dar es Salaam-Tanzania and also we have shown that there is a need for network operator to prioritise the tuning of propagation model parameters at the deployment stage.
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