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ABSTRACT

The access to relevant information from a big data container is gaining immense significance. This depends on storage technics and the organization level. This work proposes an intelligent linear data structure with an integrated cognitive agent reorganizing periodically the data structure content. The reorganization is based on a confidence interval of a random variable estimated by the agent. This random variable represents the demand frequency for each element. The cognitive agent studies the client behavior and puts most popular data in the beginning of the array in order to be found quickly. That increases considerably the search algorithm performance and solves by that one of most problems of the big data field. Models and algorithms in this work are implemented with Java programming language and simulated and that proves the reliability of the approach.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Web 2.0 described by D. Dougherty (2003) allows internet end-users to interact with web pages content and exchange millions of comments, pictures and also massive data over social networks and personal pages. By that we mean that Modern information systems needs processing a huge quantity of data and manage an important network traffic: it is the Big Data. The big quantity of data presents an asset for inference algorithms by increasing precision and in the same time harms the performance which is a very important aspect that many researches are focused on and still up to now. Z. Yang and al (2010) talks, in (1), about one of the most important aspect of information system performance: it is the availability of data and servers hosting those data.

This paper presents a new linear data structure having the ability to reorganize the data in order to optimize the performance of operation research of data on it. By self reorganize we means, the determination of most popular data subset and put them on first ranges of the list to be found quickly. The estimation of popular and non popular data is done by an internal agent making the perception of the client behavior and then estimate some statistical parameters on which the decision making relies.

The content of his paper is organized as follows: the section 2 introduces some related works and some critics with discussions, while section 3 presents the statistical models. Algorithms design and computational complexity analysis are reported to the section 4, while simulation results and curves with comments are presented in section 5. Finally, Section 6 presents concluding remarks followed by discussion of future work.

2. RELATED WORKS

In (3), R. Gupta (2014) talked about the evolution time line of intelligent information technologies from the data mining fields moving to the web mining arriving actually (2014) to the big data technology. By big data we means all technics allowing the processing of very huge quantity of data and the extraction of useful information keeping performance aspects. That comes to design intelligent data container able to assure the availability of data as described by Z. Yang and al (2010) in (1). One of works touching to the all those sides are works of I. Ioannidis and al (2003) who described in (2) the idea of adaptive data structures providing performance guarantee as an IP addresses lookups application.

K. Greer and al (2009) introduces the idea self-organising infrastructure of services in (5) and, in the same year, D. Lefebvre presents in (7) some challenges for adaptive systems. The discussed last works allows us to think about the incorporation of the auto-adaptive aspect in a linear data structure in order to reorganise the content of it and then optimize some performance indication to define posteriorly.

The organization of the content of the data structure must be done based on some criteria, this is the reason of the choice of the confidence interval of the random variable representing the average of demand of each element on the data structure. M. Tanusit present in (8) the technics of estimations of a two-sides confidence intervals for a poisson means. In this case, the judgment that the means of demand follows Poisson law seems to be impossible. Knowing the average \( \bar{X} \) denoted \( X^+ \) and the variance of the
Formally:

\[ RB \approx 90\% \]

is build with a fixed confidence rate:

Based on this low, the confidence interval of the previous variable \( X \) This subsection discusses the probability law of the variable.

\[ 3.2 \text{ Probability Law} \]

Let consider a random experience repeated \( n \) times: the experience is looking for an element from \( E \) defined previously. Let \( X^{e_i} \) be the random variable representing the average of the demand of the element \( e_i \) during all the process and \( m_n \) the mean of \( X^{e_i} \). Mathematically:

\[ m_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1_{\{e_i = e_i^k\}} \]

With \( e_i^k \) is the researched element at the instant \( k \). The function \( 1_{\{e_i = e_i^k\}} \) is equals to 1 if \( e_i = e_i^k \) and equals to 0 if not. Let’s call also \( m_n \) and \( s_n \) the average and the standard deviation, respectively, of the variable \( X^{e_i} \).

\[ 3.3 \text{ Confidence Interval Estimation} \]

This subsection discuses the probability law of the variable \( X^{e_i} \). Based on this low, the confidence interval of the previous variable is build with a fixed confidence rate: 90\%, 95\%... S. Niwitpong and al (2013) affirms in (9) that with unknown standard deviation \( \sigma \). That comes to use a random variable with Student law based on the average and the standard deviation, respectively, of the variable \( X^{e_i} \).

\[ T^{m-1} \]

With \( T^{m-1} \) is the Student distribution with \( n - 1 \) degrees of freedom.

\[ 3.4 \text{ Classification Criteria} \]

In order to optimize the time of research in the linear array, The internal agent reorganizes periodically the content of the array. The organization will be done by moving most demanded class of data to the beginning of the array, and the less demanded at the end.

The CI of the average of demand allow the agent to pin point the element having the biggest chance to appear in next demand. The CI of demand average takes in consideration the popularity of the element and also the stability of it. By stability we mean, the homogeneity of the element demand, it is represented by the standard deviation. It is evident so that the element having the biggest lower bound of the CI is the most demanded stable element. This one needs to be moved in first range. Finally the agent makes an descending sort of all element of the array by the lower bound of the CI.

\[ 4.1 \text{ Reorganization Algorithm} \]

4.1 Algorithm 1: Data Reorganizer

\text{Input:} \ E: \text{Data Set}

\text{for } i = 1 \to m - 1 \text{ do}

\text{for } j = i + 1 \to m \text{ do}

\text{if } LB(\zeta_{95}(X^{e_i})) < LB(\zeta_{95}(X^{e_j})) \text{ then}

\text{vTmp} \leftarrow e_j

\text{e}_j \leftarrow e_i

\text{e}_i \leftarrow \text{vTmp}

\text{end if}

\text{end for}

Computational complexity of the algorithm is \( O(m^2) \).

\[ 4.2 \text{ Simulation Algorithm} \]

In order to evaluate the performance and the reliability of the approach, this work proposes three key performance indicator to compute during the simulation. Those keys are the cost of research after each research operation, the average of total costs after all the process of simulation and finally the cumulative cost. See the next section for more discussions.

The algorithm, whose computational complexity is \( O(mn) \), generates a fixed number of data and store them, one by one, in a traditional array in one hand and propose intelligent array in the other hand. The testing data are stored initially with the same way in the two arrays. The next step is making a search operation of the same randomized value in the two arrays and collecting costs in each case in order to compare them. This last operation is repeated quite a few times to have enough data to plot curves.

1Confidence interval.

2Lower Bound of the Confidence Interval.
Algorithm 2: Performance Simulator

Output: iCost : Costs on Intelligent Array
Output: sCost : Costs on Simple Array

// Testing Data
for i = 0 to n do
  sArray[i] ← i
  iArray[i] ← i
// Researched Data
for i = 0 to m do
  // Random Data with Normal Law
  e ← GenerateRandomValue()
  for j = 0 to n do
    if sArray[j] ≠ e then
      // Cost on simple array
      sCost[i] ← sCost[i] + 1
    else
      break
  for j = 0 to n do
    if iArray[j] ≠ e then
      // Cost on intelligent array
      iCost[i] ← iCost[i] + 1
    else
      break
// Reorganize data for each 100 operation
if m = 0[100] then
  DataReorganizer()

4.3 Normal Law Generation Algorithm

The function GenerateRandomValue(), called in the algorithm 2, generates values with normal law with a specific mean and variance. Most programming languages allow the generation of uniform random variables that are transformable to another probability law using different methods. Let consider $U_1$ and $U_2$ two uniform random variables on $[0, 1]$ and $X$ a given random variable. K. Ranga and al (2011) presents, in (12), the method introduced by Box-Muller (1958) allowing the generation of normal law $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with:

$$X = \mu + \sigma \sin (2\pi U_2) \sqrt{-2\ln U_1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The algorithm 3 is able to generate random values with gaussian law, it is introduced in order to make simulation with a normal distribution. This algorithm relies on Box-Muller method explained in equation 5. See the algorithm:

Algorithm 3: Normal Distribution Generator

Output: $\mu$ : Desired mean
Output: $\sigma$ : Desired standard deviation
Output: $X : X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

// All programming language provide this function
U1 ← UniformRandomValue()
U2 ← UniformRandomValue()
// Box-Muller method
return $\mu + \sigma \sin (2\pi U_2) \sqrt{-2\ln U_1}$

5. SIMULATION RESULTS

5.1 Research cost KPI

The algorithm 2 making the simulation computes the arrays iCost and sCost containing costs to find the same data in the intelligent array and the simple array respectively. In order to assure the visibility of curves in the figure, the algorithm makes sampling each 100 operation research and that gives the figure 1:

Fig. 1: Research Cost Variation

The figure 1 shows that the adoption of the proposed intelligent array optimizes considerably after a small training period.

5.2 Cost average KPI

Based on the two arrays iCost and sCost, Let define the average of cumulative cost on the intelligent array $\Lambda^i$ in all the simulation process on $m$ operation research as:

$$\Lambda^i = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} iCost[k]}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} (iCost[k] + sCost[k])}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

With the same way, the average of cumulative cost on the simple array $\Lambda^s$ during all the process is the following:

$$\Lambda^s = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} sCost[k]}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} (iCost[k] + sCost[k])}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The simulation program affirms in all cases that $\Lambda^i < \Lambda^s$. The table 5.2 shows the result of cumulative cost average comparative study between the two approaches after 1100 iteration. See the table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative Cost Average</th>
<th>n = 1100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda^i$ for Intelligent Array</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda^s$ for simple Array</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3 Cumulative Cost KPI

Let $\theta^i(n)$ be the cumulative cost for the intelligent array until the $n^{th}$ operation research defined in the equation 8. Formally:

$$
\theta^i(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} iCost[k] 
$$

With the same way, let define $\theta^s(n)$ for the simple array given by the equation 9. Formally:

$$
\theta^s(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} sCost[k] 
$$

The figure 2 represents the variation of $\theta^s(n)$ and $\theta^i(n)$ during the process of simulation. See the figure:

![Fig. 2: Cumulative Cost Variation](image)

Based on previous KPI, The figure 2 shows that the gain on response time is increasing using the proposed intelligent array.

6. CONCLUSION

This work proposes an intelligent array having the capacity to self-organize its content in order to optimize some performance aspects. The reorganization is based on the unilateral confidence interval of a random variable representing the average of demand of each element of the array. The approach is validated by simulation and results are more competitive comparing than the traditional approach.

This work presents also the algorithms designed implementing adopted statistical models and the algorithm of simulation designed and implemented in order to prove the reliability of the approach. Algorithms in this work are implemented with Java programming language following the Java reference of B. Eckel (2006) described in [13]. The approach is validated by simulation and that gives satisfying numerical results.

Next works will continue on this way by using more advanced automatic learning technics to optimize complex systems efficiency and then increase performance.

APPENDICES

6.1 Appendix 1 : Proof on confidence interval.

In order to find the upper and lower bound of $X^{e_i}$ with 100$(1 - \alpha)\%$. Let suppose:

$$
\pi(t_{n-1}^\alpha/2 \leq X^{e_i} - \frac{m_n}{s_n/\sqrt{n}} \geq t_{n-1}^{1-\alpha}/2) = 1 - \alpha 
$$

With $\pi$ is a probability function. Let fixe $\alpha = 0.05$, according to Student law table, we have $T_{n-1}^{1-\alpha/2} = -T_{n-1}^{\alpha/2} = 1.96$ for an infinite freedom degree. The choice of infinite freedom degree is due to the big quantity of data that will be stored in the array. Some simplifications of equation 5 gives:

$$
\pi(m_n - 1.96 \frac{s_n}{\sqrt{n}} \leq X^{e_i} \leq m_n + 1.96 \frac{s_n}{\sqrt{n}}) = 1 - \alpha 
$$

Based on equation 11 a percentage of 95%, gives $X^{e_i} \geq LB(\pi(0.95(X^{e_i})))$ and $X^{e_i} \leq RB(\pi(0.95(X^{e_i})))$.

6.2 Appendix 2 : Data container with Java.

In order to implement the approach with a programming language, let develop a Java class to contain necessary parameters. See proposed class denoted CData:

```java
import java.io.FileWriter;
import java.io.IOException;
import static java.lang.Math.*
import java.util.ArrayList;
import java.util.Random;

public class CData {
    // Data
    private int element;
    // Avarage of demand of the data
    private double average;
    // Standard deviation of demand
    private double SDeviation;
    // Left bound of the confidence interval of demand
    private double LeftBoundUIC;
    public CData() {
    }
    public CData(int element) {
        this.element = element;
        this.average = 0;
        this.SDeviation = 0;
        this.LeftBoundUIC = 0;
    }
    public int getElement() {
        return element;
    }
    public void setElement(int element) {
    }
    */
    @author : Smail TIGANI
    @version : 1.0
    */
    class CData {
```

---
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this.element = element;
}
public double getAverage() {
    return average;
}
public void setAverage(double average) {
    this.average = average;
}
public double getSDeviation() {
    return SDeviation;
}
public void setSDeviation(double SDeviation) {
    this.SDeviation = SDeviation;
}
public double getLeftBoundUIC() {
    return LeftBoundUIC;
}
public void setLeftBoundUIC(double LeftBoundUIC) {
    this.LeftBoundUIC = LeftBoundUIC;
}
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