

Decomposability of Projective Curvature Tensor in Recurrent Finsler Space ($WR - F_n$)

Meenakshy Thakur
Department of Mathematics
and Statistics
Dr. R.M.L. Avadh University,
Uttar Pradesh, India

C. K. Mishra
Department of Mathematics
and Statistics
Dr. R.M.L. Avadh University,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Gautam Lodhi
Department of Mathematics,
BBD University, Lucknow
Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

The decomposition of curvature tensor field was studied by K. Takano[1]. The decomposability of curvature tensor in Finsler manifold was studied by Pandey[2]. The purpose of the present chapter is to decompose the Projective curvature tensor in recurrent Finsler space and study the properties of conformal decomposition tensor.

Keywords

Finsler space, projective curvature tensor, recurrent Finsler space.

1. INTRODUCTION

We considered an n -dimensional Finsler space F_n in which the projective curvature tensor, projective tensor field and deviation tensor field are defined by Rund[3]

$$(1.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } W_{jkh}^i = H_{jkh}^i + \frac{2\delta_j^i}{n+1}H_{[jk]} + \frac{2\dot{x}^i}{n+1}\partial_j H_{[kh]} \\ \quad + \frac{\delta_k^i}{n^2-1}(nH_{jh} + H_h + \dot{x}^r\partial_j H_{hr}) - \\ \quad \frac{\delta_h^i}{n^2-1}(nH_{jk} + H_{kj} + \dot{x}^r\partial_j H_{kr}) \\ \text{(b) } W_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i + \frac{\dot{x}^i}{n+1}H_{[jk]} \\ \quad + 2\left\{\frac{\delta_{[j}^i}{n^2-1}(nH_{k]} - \dot{x}^r H_{k]r})\right\} \end{array} \right.$$

$$(1.2) \quad W_j^i = H_{jk}^i - H\delta_j^i - \frac{1}{n+1}(\partial_r H_j^r - \partial_j H)\dot{x}^i,$$

respectively.

The following relations which will be used in our discussion follow from (1.1)(a) and (1.2)(b)

$$(1.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{a) } W_{jkh}^i \dot{x}^j = W_{kh}^i, \\ \text{b) } W_{kh}^i \dot{x}^k = W_h^i, \\ \text{c) } W_h^i \dot{x}^h = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

The deviation tensor W_k^i is homogeneous of second degree in its directional arguments. The Projective tensor W_{jk}^i is skew-symmetric in its lower indices and projectively homogeneous of degree one in their directional arguments and the projective curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i is skew-symmetric in its indices k and h and is positively homogeneous of degree zero in its directional arguments.

Sinha and Singh[4] have defined that an F_n is called Projective recurrent of the first order if the Berwald's covariant derivative of the projective curvature tensor satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad W_{jkh(l)}^i = V_l W_{jkh}^i,$$

Where V_l is a recurrent vector field. The space equipped with such recurrent vector field and projective curvature tensor is called recurrent Finsler space.

Transvecting (1.4) successively by \dot{x}^j and \dot{x}^k and therefore using (1.3)(a) and (1.3)(b), we get

$$(1.5) \quad W_{kh(l)}^i = V_l W_{kh}^i,$$

$$(1.6) \quad W_{h(l)}^i = V_l W_h^i,$$

In view of (1.5) and (1.6). We observe that projective deviation tensor W_h^i and the Projective tensor W_{kh}^i are recurrent.

The projective curvature tensor satisfied the identity by Kumar, Shukla and Tripathi[7]:

$$(1.7) \quad W_{hjk(l)}^i + W_{hkl(j)}^i + W_{hlj(k)}^i = 0.$$

Sinha and Singh [5] have also defined that an F_n is called projective recurrent of second order, If the Weyl's projective curvature tensor satisfies

$$(1.8) \quad W_{jkh(l)(m)}^i = U_{lm} W_{jkh}^i,$$

where U_{lm} is a recurrence tensor. Transvecting (1.8) successively by \dot{x}^j and \dot{x}^k , we get

$$(1.9) \quad W_{kh(l)(m)}^i = U_{lm} W_{kh}^i,$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad W_{h(l)(m)}^i = U_{lm} W_h^i.$$

Accordingly, we can state that Projective deviation tensor and projective tensor satisfies the second order recurrent condition, if so is Weyl's curvature tensor.

The recurrent curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i satisfies the relation Sinha and Singh[6]:

$$(1.11) \quad H_{jkh(l)}^i = V_l H_{jkh}^i$$

Where V_l is recurrence vector. Transvecting (1.12) successively by \dot{x}^j and \dot{x}^k and, we get

$$(1.12) \quad H_{kh(l)}^i = V_l H_{kh}^i$$

and

$$(1.13) \quad H_{h(l)}^i = V_l H_h^i,$$

The curvature tensor field of second order satisfies the relations Sinha and Singh[6]:

$$(1.14) \quad H_{jkh(l)(m)}^i = K_{lm} H_{jkh}^i,$$

where $K_{lm} = V_m + V_{l(m)}$ is the recurrence tensor. Transvecting successively by \dot{x}^j and \dot{x}^k , we have

$$(1.15) \quad H_{kh(l)(m)}^i = K_{lm} H_{kh}^i,$$

and

$$(1.16) \quad H_{h(l)(m)}^i = K_{lm} H_h^i,$$

2. DECOMPOSITION OF PROJECTIVE CURVATURE TENSOR IN RECURRENT FINSLER SPACE ($WR - F_n$)

Let us consider the projective curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i in the form

$$(2.1) \quad W_{jkh}^i = Y_j^i B_{kh},$$

where Y_j^i is non zero tensor and B_{kh} is skew symmetric decomposition tensor.

The space equipped with such decomposition of projective curvature tensor with recurrent Finsler space is called decomposition of Projective curvature tensor in recurrent Finsler space and we denote it by $WR - F_n$.

Differentiating (2.1) covariantly with respect to x^l in the sense of Berwald's, we get

$$(2.2) \quad W_{jkh(l)}^i = Y_{j(l)}^i B_{kh} + B_{kh(l)} Y_j^i.$$

Using the equation (1.4), (2.1) in (2.2), we get

$$(2.3) \quad W_{jkh(l)}^i = \beta_l Y_j^i B_{kh} + B_{kh(l)} Y_j^i.$$

Where

$$(2.4) \quad Y_{j(l)}^i = \beta_l Y_j^i.$$

From equation (2.1) and equation (2.3), we get

$$(2.5) \quad B_{kh(l)} = (V_l - \beta_l) B_{kh}.$$

Let us assume that $(V_l \neq \beta_l)$ then the equation (2.5) may be written as

$$(2.6) \quad B_{kh(l)} = \gamma_l B_{kh},$$

where $\gamma_l = (V_l - \beta_l)$.

Conversely,

If the above equation (2.6) is true then (2.3) yield

$$(2.7) \quad V_l B_{kh} = (\beta_l + \gamma_l) B_{kh}$$

Accordingly, we have the

Theorem 2.1: In $WR - F_n$, the necessary and sufficient condition for the decomposition tensor B_{kh} to be recurrent is that the recurrent vector V_l is not equal to recurrent vector β_l .

Let us assume that the vector V_l is equal to recurrent vector β_l such that

$$(2.8) \quad V_l = \beta_l$$

In view of equation (2.8), equation (2.6) immediately reduces to

$$(2.9) \quad B_{kh(l)} = 0.$$

Using equation (2.9) in (2.3), we have

$$(2.10) \quad W_{jkh(l)}^i = Y_{j(l)}^i B_{kh}$$

$$\text{or } W_{jkh(l)}^i = \beta_l Y_j^i B_{kh}$$

Adding the expressions obtained by cyclic change of (2.10) with respect to the indices k , h and l , we have

$$(2.11) \quad W_{jkh(l)}^i + W_{jhl(k)}^i + W_{jlk(h)}^i = Y_j^i (\beta_l B_{kh} + \beta_k B_{hl} + \beta_h B_{lk}).$$

In view of (1.4) equation (2.11) reduces to

$$(2.13) \quad Y_j^i (\beta_l B_{kh} + \beta_k B_{hl} + \beta_h B_{lk}) = 0.$$

Since Y_j^i is non zero tensor, it implies

$$(2.13) \quad \beta_l B_{kh} + \beta_k B_{hl} + \beta_h B_{lk} = 0$$

$$\text{or } V_l B_{kh} + V_k B_{hl} + V_h B_{lk} = 0$$

Accordingly state:

Theorem 2.2: In $WR - F_n$, under the decomposition (2.1), if the vector V_l is equal to β_l , the decomposition tensor satisfies the following identity (2.13).

Differentiating (2.10) covariantly with respect to x^m in the sense of Berwald's and using (2.9), we get

$$(2.14) \quad W_{jkh(l)(m)}^i = \beta_{l(m)} Y_j^i B_{kh} + \beta_l Y_{j(m)}^i B_{kh}$$

In view of (2.4) the above equation may be written as

$$(2.15) \quad W_{jkh(l)(m)}^i = (\beta_{l(m)} + \beta_l \beta_m) Y_j^i B_{kh},$$

Using equation (1.10) and (2.1), we get

$$(2.16) \quad U_{lm} Y_j^i B_{kh} = (\beta_{l(m)} + \beta_l \beta_m) Y_j^i B_{kh},$$

From (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$(2.17) \quad U_{lm} = (\beta_{l(m)} + \beta_l \beta_m)$$

Thus we conclude that

Theorem 2.3 In $WR - F_n$, under the decomposition (2.1), if the vector V_l is equal to β_l for which recurrence vector field β_l satisfies the condition $\beta_{l(m)} + \beta_l \beta_m \neq 0$.

Interchanging the indices l and m in (2.15) and subtracting the equation thus obtained to (2.15), we have

$$(2.18) \quad W_{jkh(l)(m)}^i - W_{jkh(m)(l)}^i \\ = (U_{lm} - U_{ml}) Y_j^i B_{kh} B_{kh}.$$

or

$$W_{jkh(l)(m)}^i - W_{jkh(m)(l)}^i = (\beta_{l(m)} - \beta_{m(l)}) Y_j^i B_{kh}.$$

Accordingly we state:

Corollary 2.1: In $WR - F_n$, Under the decomposition (2.1) if the vector V_l is equal to β_l , the projective curvature tensor satisfies the following identity (2.18).

Differentiating (2.6) covariantly with respect to x^m in the sense of Berwald's, we get

$$(2.19) \quad B_{kh(l)(m)} = \gamma_{l(m)} B_{kh} + \gamma_l B_{kh(m)} \\ = (V_{l(m)} - \beta_{l(m)}) B_{kh} + (V_l - \beta_l) B_{kh(m)}$$

In view of (2.6), the equation (2.19) may be written as

$$(2.20) \quad B_{kh(l)(m)} = (V_{l(m)} - \beta_{l(m)}) B_{kh} + \gamma_l (V_l - \beta_l) B_{kh}$$

or

$$(2.21) \quad B_{kh(l)(m)} = (V_{l(m)} - \beta_{l(m)}) B_{kh} + \\ (V_m - \beta_m) (V_l - \beta_l) B_{kh}$$

$$(2.22) \quad B_{kh(l)(m)} = (V_{l(m)} - \beta_{l(m)} + V_m V_l \\ - V_m \beta_l - V_l \beta_m + \beta_l \beta_m) B_{kh}$$

Theorem 2.4: In $WR - F_n$, Under the decomposition (2.1), the second order covariant derivative of decomposition tensor B_{kh} satisfies the relation (2.22).

In view of equation (2.8), equation (2.22) immediately reduces to

$$(2.23) \quad B_{kh(l)(m)} = 0$$

Corollary 2.2: $WR - F_n$, the second order covariant derivative of decomposition tensor B_{kh} vanish, If the vector V_l is equal to β_l .

3. REFERENCES

- [1] K. Takano, 1961, Affine motion in non Riemannian K^* -space I, & II, III (with M. Okumura), IV & V, Tensor, N. S., 11, 137-143, 161-173, 174-181, 245-253, 270-278.
- [2] P. N. Pandey, 1981, On decomposability of curvature tensor of a Finsler manifold, Acta Mathematica, Academia Scientiarum Hungaricae, Tomus 38(1-4) (1981), 109-116.
- [3] H. Rund, 1959, The Differential Geometry of Finsler spaces. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [4] B. B. Sinha, S. P. Singh, 1971, On Recurrent Finsler spaces. Rev. Roum. Pures Et Appl Tome XVI, No. 6, Bucarest, 977-986.
- [5] B. B. Sinha, S. P. Singh, 1970, On recurrent spaces of second order in Finsler spaces, Yokohama Math. J. 18, 27-32.
- [6] B. B. Sinha, S. P. Singh, 1973, Recurrent Finsler Space of second order II, Rep. Indian J. Of pure and App. Math, Vol. 4, No. 1, 45-50.
- [7] B. B. Sinha, S. P. Singh and R. P. Tripathi, 1971, On Recurrent Finsler spaces. Rev. Roum. Pures Et Appl Tome XVI, No. 6 Bucarest, 1971, 977-986.